1	The joint application of metaheuristic algorithm and Bayesian Statistics approach for
2	uncertainty and stability assessment of nonlinear Magnetotelluric data
3	*Mukesh Mukesh, *Kuldeep Sarkar, and Upendra K. Singh
4	Department of Applied Geophysics, IIT(ISM) Dhanbad, Dhanbad-826 004, Jharkhand, India
5	* Corresponding authors: Mukesh Mukesh (mukesh4593@gmail.com) and
6	Kuldeep Sarkar (kuldeepsarkar39@gmail.com)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

27 Abstract

In this paper, we have developed the Matlab code for a weighted hybrid of particle swarm 28 optimization (PSO) and gravitational search algorithm (GSA) known as wPSOGSA, GSA, and 29 30 PSO algorithms to interpret one-dimensional magnetotelluric (MT) data for some corrupted and non-corrupted synthetic data, as well as two examples of MT field data over different geological 31 terrains: (i) geothermal rich area, Island of Milos, Greece, and (ii) Southern Scotland due to the 32 occurrence of a significantly high electrical conductivity anomaly under crust and upper mantle 33 extending from the Midland Valley across the Southern Uplands into northern England. Even 34 35 though the fact that many models provide a good fit in a large predefined search space, specific models do not fit well. As a result, we used a Bayesian statistical technique to construct and assess 36 the posterior probability density function (PDF) rather than picking the global model based on the 37 38 lowest misfit error. This is proceeded by 68.27 % confidence interval for selecting a region where PDF is more prevalent to estimate the mean model which is more accurate and close to the true 39 model. For illustration, correlation matrices show a significant relationship among layer 40 41 parameters. The findings indicate, the wPSOGSA is less sensitive to model parameters and produces well, more stable and reliable results with the least uncertainty in the model that is 42 compatible with existing borehole samples. Furthermore, the present methods resolve two 43 additional geologically significant layers, one highly conductive (less than 1.0 Ω m) and another 44 resistive (300.0 Ω m) over the Island of Milos, Greece, characterized by alluvium and volcanic 45 46 deposits, respectively, as corroborated by borehole stratigraphy.

47 Keywords: Magnetotelluric; Inversion; Uncertainty; wPSOGSA; Posterior; Bayesian.

- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52

53 **1.0 Introduction**

The magnetotelluric (MT) method is a natural source electromagnetic method that explores 54 various natural resources, namely hydrocarbon, minerals, geothermal prospects, groundwater, 55 56 metalliferous ores, etc. (Nabighian and Asten, 2002; Simpson and Bahr, 2005). Due to its instability, non-unique solution, and algorithm sensitivity, the MT data interpretation is thought-57 provoking. Many researchers have attempted and developed various inversion algorithms to 58 59 interpret, improve the model accuracy, convergence speed, stability and reduce the uncertainty of the solutions (Kirkpatrick, et al., 1983; Constable et al., 1987; Rodi and Mackie, 2001; Li et al., 60 61 2018; Zhang et al., 2019; Khishe and Mosavi, 2020). There are mainly two categories of the inversion algorithm: first, the local optimization methods namely Conjugate gradient, Levenberg-62 Marquardt/Ridge regression, Newton-Gauss, Steepest descent, and Occam inversion, requires 63 64 good initial guess (Shaw and Srivastava, 2007; Wen et al., 2019; Roy and Kumar, 2021) and another is global optimization techniques (i.e., Ant colony optimization, Genetic algorithm, 65 Particle swarm optimization, Gravitational search algorithm, Simulated annealing, etc.) does not 66 67 require initial guess. Many researchers have carried out numerous metaheuristic optimization algorithms to invert MT data (Dosso and Oldenburg, 1991; Pérez-Flores and Schultz, 2002; 68 Miecznik et al., 2003; Sen and Stoffa, 2013). These algorithms are inspired by the natural 69 phenomenon and have various geophysical application include Particle Swarm Optimization 70 (Kennedy and Eberhart, 1995; Essa et al., 2023), Genetic Algorithm (Whitley, 1994), Bat 71 algorithm (Yang, 2010a; Essa and Diab, 2023), Differential Evolution (Storn and Price, 1997), 72 biogeographically based Optimization (Simon, 2008), Firefly algorithm (Yang, 2010b), Grey 73 Wolves Optimizer (Mirjalili et al., 2014), Ant Colony (Colorni et al., 1991), Gravitational Search 74 Algorithm (Rashedi et al., 2009) and novel barnacles mating optimization algorithm (Ai et al., 75 2022). 76

However, unique characteristics, namely exploration and exploitation, persist in any globaloptimization algorithms. For example, the PSO algorithm has a very high potential for

exploitation, which implies that the algorithm performs well in local search but is inferior in exploration (Şenel et al., 2019). This suggests that the algorithm has a limited capacity to estimate the best model in an extensive search range. Because of low exploration characteristics, it gets trapped at the local minima (Mirjalili and Hashim, 2010). So, integrating the two algorithms with opposite characteristics is the best way to balance exploration and exploitation characteristics to achieve better solutions than the results obtained from an individual algorithm.

85 Here, we utilized wPSOGSA, a new global optimization method that takes into account the algorithm based on natural behavior seen in birds, fish, and insects known as Particle swarm 86 87 optimization (PSO) and gravity-based Newton's law (with high exploration capability) known as Gravity search algorithm (GSA). Researchers interested in artificial intelligence and developing 88 effective optimization algorithms for comparative analysis of different metaheuristic algorithms 89 90 (Pace et al., 2022) have been drawn to notable characteristics in such social behavior. The wPSOGSA, PSO, and GSA are used to estimate resistivity distribution of 1D multi-layered earth 91 92 model using synthetic (noise free and noisy) data for three and four layers cases taken from (Shaw 93 and Srivastava, 2007)) and Xiong et al. (2018), respectively and field MT sounding data for six and four layers cases taken from (Hutton et al., 1989) and (Jones and Hutton, 1979) respectively.F 94 95 Furthermore, numerous (here 10000) models that fit well are optimized for getting the mean model, which is proceeded by calculating posterior PDF based on Bayesian concepts 96 using all accepted models to find the optimal mean solution with the least uncertainty, as well 97 98 as a correlation matrix to determine the relationships among the layer parameters. Thus, the research reveals that the wPSOGSA method may be utilized to provide a more accurate and 99 reliable model with superior stability, a quick rate of convergence, and the least amount of 100 101 model uncertainty.

102

103

- 105 **2.0 Data and Methodology**
- 106 **2.1 Synthetic and field data**
- 107 Different MT datasets are utilized to evaluate the proposed wPSOGSA algorithm's effectiveness,
- 108 sensitivity, stability, and robustness in outlining the genuine subsurface structure. These datasets
- 109 are noise-free and Gaussian noise synthetic data produced for several geological formations, and
- 110 two MT field data have been optimized for analysis.
- 111 To demonstrate and evaluate the robustness of the present algorithms, we have generated
- a synthetic MT apparent resistivity and apparent phase data without noise and with noise levels
- 113 (10 % and 20 % noise) considering a three-layer typical continental crustal model with a total
- thickness of 33000 m (i.e., 33.0 km) having a resistivity of upper-crust 30000.0 Ω m with 15000
- 115 m (i.e., 15 km) thickness (high resistive layer) and resistivity of middle crust 5000.0 Ω m with
- 116 18000 m (i.e., 18.0 km) thickness (reasonable low resistive layer) underlain by 1000 Ω m (low
- 117 resistive) half space taken from (Shaw and Srivastava, 2007).
- 118 For the second example of the synthetic data, a typical four-layer HK-type of earth model
- taken from Xiong et al. (2018) is generated by forward modeling equations for the demonstration
- 120 of the wPSOGSA, PSO, and GSA algorithms and compared their performance with Improved
- 121 Differential Evolution (IDE) results obtained by Xiong et al. (2018).
- 122 We utilized the first example of field data taken from (Hutton et al., 1989), the Island of
- 123 Milos, Greece. Milos is a part of the South Aegean Active Volcanic Arc, an example of an
- 124 emergent volcanic edifice (Stewart and McPhie, 2006) formed by monogenetic effusive and
- 125 explosive magmatism pulses. Milos is the world's biggest exporter of bentonite, and it also has a
- 126 diverse variety of metalliferous and non-metalliferous mineral reserves. It's a conserved on-land
- 127 laboratory for studying shallow underwater hydrothermal ore-forming processes. The
- 128 accompanying shallow subsurface hydrothermal venting fields have developed significantly less
- 129 attention. In ("Dawes, 1986), used magnetotelluric data to assess the resistivity structure of the
- 130 geothermal area on Milos west side. With around 3.0 km spacing, 37 MT probes in the bandwidth

- of 100-0.01 Hz and 12 investigations in the bandwidth of 0.01-0.0001 Hz were installed along
- 132 with various profiles that were perpendicular to the Zephyria graben in the W-E direction, as well
- 133 as along the graben in S-N direction (Hutton et al., 1989).
- Another field example of MT data from (Jones and Hutton, 1979) was picked to illustrate 134 our technique from Newcastleton (2.796° W, 55.196° N in Geographic coordinates), Southern 135 Uplands of Scotland. By the Southern Uplands fault, the Southern Uplands are isolated from the 136 Midland Valley. The bulk of the Southern Uplands comprises Silurian/Lower Paleozoic 137 sedimentary deposits such as greywackes and shales that originated in the Iapetus Ocean during 138 the late Neoproterozoic and early Paleozoic geologic eras. These rocks emerged from the seafloor 139 as an accretionary wedge during the Caledonian orogeny. The majority of the rocks are coarse 140 greywacke, a kind of sandstone that has been poorly metamorphosed and contains angular quartz, 141 142 feldspar, and small rock fragments. The Midland Valley and Northern England, on the other hand, are known for their thick Carboniferous layers, which are used to measure coal. 143
- 144

145 **2.2 Forward Modelling- Magnetotelluric formulation for 1-D earth**

The ability to formulate an effective inversion method requires a thorough understanding of the forward modeling technique for the issue of interest. Factors like frequency range, actual resistivity, and layer thickness are used to create synthetic MT apparent resistivity, $\rho_a(\omega)$ and apparent phase, $\varphi_a(\omega)$ data sets. The electromagnetic impedance (*Z*) for layered structures is described in terms of an orthogonal electric field, magnetic field, wavenumber (*k*), reflection coefficient (R), and exponent factor (τ_f) with angular frequency (ω) as (Ward and Hohmann, 1988):

153
$$Z = \frac{\mu_0 \omega}{k} = \frac{E_x}{H_y} = -\frac{E_y}{H_x}$$
(1)

where, the wavenumber(k) = $\sqrt{-i\mu_0\omega/\rho}$, component of electric field (E_x and E_y) and magnetic field component (H_x and H_y). 156 If displacement currents are not taken into account, Eq. (1) becomes

157
$$Z = \frac{\mu_0 \omega}{\sqrt{-i\mu_0 \omega/\rho}} = \sqrt{i\mu_0 \omega\rho} = \sqrt{\mu_0 \omega\rho} e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}} = \omega \frac{(1 - R\tau_f)}{(1 + R\tau_f)}$$
(2)

158 Noisy impedance is calculated by the following equation

159
$$Z_{noisy} = Z + Z \times (2 \times rand - 1) \times noise_{percent}$$
(3)

160 If the angle between impedance phase with E_x is 45⁰, then the resistivity (ρ) in half-space of 161 impedance $Z(\omega)$ and time period (T) can be written as

162
$$\rho(\omega) = \frac{1}{\mu_0 \omega} |Z(\omega)|^2 = \frac{0.2T}{\mu_0} \left| \frac{E_x}{H_y} \right|^2$$
(4)

163 Thus, the apparent resistivity and apparent phase are defined (Cagniard, 1953; Ward and164 Hohmann, 1988) as follows:

165 Apparent resistivity,
$$\rho_a(\omega) = \frac{1}{\mu_0 \omega} [Z(\omega) Z^*(\omega)]$$
 (5)

166 Apparent phase,
$$\varphi_a(\omega) = tan^{-1} \left(\frac{img(Z(\omega))}{real(Z(\omega))} \right)$$
 (6)

where, the exponent factor, $\tau_f = \exp(-2\gamma h)$, the induction parameter $\gamma = \sqrt{i\omega\mu_0/\rho}$, *h* is the layer thickness, μ_0 is the magnetic permeability for free space, Z^* is the complex conjugate of impedance, and the *rand* is used for generating random number between 0 and +1.

170

171 **2.3 Global optimization technique**

The techniques that we have used for joint modeling of metaheuristic global optimization namely PSO, GSA, and wPSOGSA in Step-1 and posterior Bayesian probability density function technique in Step-2 to obtain the global model by utilizing the synthetic data generated by using forward modelling and field MT apparent resistivity and phase curves is depicted in the schematic diagram (Fig. 1).



Figure 1 Schematic diagram demonstrating the essential processes considered for joint modeling
of metaheuristic global optimization (Step-1) and posterior PDF technique (Step-2) for obtaining
the global model by utilizing the synthetic and field MT data.

181

182 **2.4 Optimization and Error Estimation**

183 In the present study, we have implemented a new innovative global optimization technique known 184 as wPSOGSA, in which swarm particles and mass particles provide the best particle, i.e., the best model. The best model is chosen based on the fitness of the particles, and the cost function or 185 objective function is used to estimate this fitness. Thus magnetotelluric (MT) inverse problem can 186 187 be formulated through the forward modelling operator, f(x), aim at achieving the resistivity model, which illuminates the observed data ρ and ϕ in the foremost. This operator combines the 188 189 problem of physics and inverts the observed apparent resistivity and phase data to the resistivitydepth model, *x*, as: 190

191

$$(\rho, \varphi) = f(\mathbf{x}) \tag{7}$$

192 The cost function (fitness of the particle) is a mathematical relation between observed and 193 calculated data and it is defined as the root mean square error (RMS):

194
$$RMS = \sqrt{\left\{\frac{(\rho - \rho_C)^2}{N} + \frac{(\varphi - \varphi_C)^2}{N}\right\}}$$
 (8)

195 Where *N* is the total observed data points, ρ and φ are the observed apparent resistivity and phase, 196 ρ_c and φ_c are the computed apparent resistivity and phase data.

197

198 **2.5 Particle swarm optimization**

The particle swarm optimization (PSO) technique is a widespread evolutionary optimization 199 approach for determining the optimal global solution to a nonlinear inverse problem (Kennedy 200 201 and Eberhart, 1995). This technique is analogous to the particle's natural behavior in search of food with the help of collaborative support from the population represented by geophysical 202 203 resistivity solutions/models (known as particles) in a swarming group. The best model/position 204 obtained among the particles so far is stored for each iteration, which helps in search for the global best solution, defined by the fitness of each particle estimated using Eq. (8). The particles' velocity 205 and location in the search space are defined for k^{th} particle at t^{th} iteration is given below: 206

207
$$v_k(t+1) = wv_k(t) + c_1 \times rand \times \left(x_p - x_k(t)\right) + c_2 \times rand \times \left(x_g - x_k(t)\right)$$
(9)

208

$$x_k(t+1) = x_k(t) + v_k(t+1)$$
(10)

where *w* is the inertia weight set in between 0 and 1, c_1 and c_2 are a personal learning coefficient and a global learning coefficient, respectively, $v_k(t)$ is the velocity of the k^{th} particle at t^{th} iteration, and *rand* is used for a random number between 0 and 1, x_p is the present best solution. x_g is the global best solution, $x_k(t)$ is the position of the k^{th} particle at t^{th} iteration. Particles change their position at each iteration to approach an optimum solution. The first, second, and third terms in Eq. (9) represent exploratory ability, private thought, and particle collaboration, respectively.

215

216 **2.6 Gravitational search algorithm**

The gravitational search algorithm (GSA) is a meta-heuristic algorithm based on Newton's gravitational law (Rashedi et al., 2009), which states that mass particles attract each other with a gravitational force that is directly proportional to the product of their masses and inversely proportional to the square of the distance between them. It signifies that massive particles (here, particle represents the resistivity layer model/solution) attract to the neighboring lighter particles. Similar to PSO, the Gravitational search optimizer works with a population of particles known as mass particles in the universe. Thus the best model/solution/particle is achieved among the mass particles. The best model is defined by each particle's capability (i.e., the fitness) calculated using Eq. (8). The initialization of their position in the search spaces is given by:

$$x = rand(N, D) \times (up - down) + down \tag{11}$$

where *N*, *D* are the number of particles/models, the dimension of the model; and *up*, and *down*are the upper and lower limit of the search range, respectively.

During execution time, the gravitational acting force on agent k^{th} from agent j^{th} at a specific time (*t*) is defined as:

231
$$F_{k,j}(t) = G(t) \frac{M_{p,k}(t) * M_{a,j}(t)}{R_{k,j}(t) + \epsilon} \left(x_j(t) - x_k(t) \right)$$
(12)

where, $M_{a,j}$, and $M_{p,k}$ are the active and passive gravitational masses for particle *j* and *k*, respectively, $x_j(t)$ is the position of the particle *j* at a time *t* for various parameters, $R_{k,j}(t)$ is Euclidian distance between two particles, and ε is a small constant.

Here, gravitational constant G(t) at a specific time *t* is defined as (Kunche et al., 2015) and acceleration of k^{th} agent at t^{th} iteration for models is $ac_k(t)$ is defined as:

237
$$ac_k(t) = \frac{F_k(t)}{M_k(t)}$$
(13)

Where, $F_{k,j}(t)$ the gravitational acting force on agent *k* from agent *j* and $M_k(t)$ is the mass of the object at a specific time (*t*).

240 $G(t) = G_0 \times \exp\left(-\alpha \times \frac{iter}{maxiter}\right)$ (14)

where α , G_0 , *iter*, and *maxiter* are descending coefficients, starting value of gravitational constant, current iteration, and maximum iterations, respectively. 243 The following equations are used to update the particle's velocity and location:

244
$$v_k(t+1) = rand \times v_k(t) + ac_k(t) \tag{15}$$

(16)

245
$$x_k(t+1) = x_k(t) + v_k(t+1)$$

All the particles are randomly placed in the search range using Eq. (11) and then initializes the particle's velocity. Meanwhile, the gravitational constant, total forces and acceleration are computed, and the locations are updated. The end criteria is the misfit error (i.e. 10⁻⁹) is taken in our study.

250

251 **2.7 Weighted hybrid PSOGSA (wPSOGSA)**

The weighted hybrid of PSO and GSA algorithm known as the wPSOGSA algorithm integrates two essential characteristics, exploration (i.e., the ability of an algorithm to search the whole range of a given parameter) and exploitation (i.e., the ability to converge the solution nearest to the best solution) of the global optimization algorithm that increases its efficiency and converges the objective function to achieve global minima. The velocity and location of the particles updated in the wPSOGSA algorithm are illustrated in the schematic diagram (see Fig. 2).

The wPSOGSA combines the characteristic of social thinking of PSO and the searching capability of GSA; thus, the particle's velocity is defined as

260
$$v_k(t+1) = w \times v_k(t) + c_1 \times rand \times ac_k(t) + c_2 \times rand \times (x_q - x_k(t))$$
(17)

Where $v_k(t)$ is the velocity of the particle *k* at iteration *t*, *w* is the weight function (i.e., the constant which helps to control the momentum of the algorithm to perform optimization properly), $ac_k(t)$ is the acceleration of agent k, x_g is the best solution, and the rand is a random number lies between 0 and 1. At each iteration, particles updated their location to achieve the best solution defined as

265

$$x_k(t+1) = x_k(t) + v_k(t+1)$$
(18)

The algorithm starts by randomly initializing the velocity, mass, and acceleration of the particles. The cost function is evaluated for all particles for specified iterations to get the most optimal solution, and inverted results are updated at each iteration. Equation (12), (17), and (18)
are used to update the gravitational force, velocity, and location of particles after initialization.
However, the velocity and position stop updating their values when the algorithm converge and
reaches the least error of the cost function.



Figure 2 Flow chart of the weighted hybrid Particle Swarm Optimization and Gravity Search
Algorithm known as the wPSOGSA algorithm (after (Mirjalili and Hashim, 2010)).

293 **2.8 Bayesian probability density function**

In a Bayesian framework, the probability distribution of the model parameters (known as posterior 294 probability distribution) is computed using given observed data and models obtained from 295 296 inversion. The posterior for a model is calculated using Bayes' theorem and previous model space information. Individual model parameter ranges are incorporated in the prior knowledge. The two 297 fundamental stages in the Bayesian statistics method are the representation of previous knowledge 298 as a probability density function and calculating the likelihood functional derived from data misfit 299 (Tarantola and Valette, 1982). Specific characteristics, such as the best fitting model, mean model, 300 301 and correlation matrix may be determined from posterior distribution of models. According to the Bayes' theorem, 302

$$Posterior = prior \times likelihood$$
(19)

As a result, our priori distribution function ($f(\mu)$) for the parameter, x_u , mean priori information, M, and t^2 is the mean uncertainty is defined as

306
$$f(\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t^2}} exp\left\{-\frac{(x_u - M)^2}{2t^2}\right\}$$
(20)

307 and likelihood function is

308
$$f(X|\mu) = \prod_{u=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x_u - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$$
(21)

Hence the posterior density function calculated for a parameter (x_u) using mean (μ) and variance (σ^2) defined (Lynch, 2007) as

311
$$f(\mu|X) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^2 \sigma^2}} \exp\left\{\frac{-(\mu - M)^2}{2t^2} + \frac{\sum_{u=1}^n (x_u - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$$
(22)

The posterior Bayesian PDF is calculated from accepted models within a set of parameters, asshown below:

314
$$P(X|E) = \frac{P(X)L(E|X)}{\sum_{X} P(X)L(E|X)}$$
(23)

where, P(X|E) is the posterior probability distribution of the parameter (X) given the evidence (E), P(X) is the prior information of (X) and L(E|X) is the likelihood function of X. After the application of PDF, the study is further proceeded by choosing Confidence Interval (CI) of 68.27 % that is based on the empirical rule, known as the 68-95-99.7 rule (Ross, 2009). The model parameters below 68.27 % CI are discarded, and the remaining parameters are used for determining the mean model and uncertainty. Thus, the mean model (P_j) is calculated using the best models having PDF within a 68.27 % CI, defined in the following equation:

322
$$P_j = exp \frac{1}{Nd} \sum ln(P_{j,k})$$
(24)

Here accepted models are used to calculate the correlation matrix (i.e., correlation among model parameters lie between -1 and 1) using the following equation (Tarantola, 2005):

325
$$CovP(l,j) = \frac{1}{Nd} \sum (P_{l,k} - P_l) (P_{j,k} - P_l)$$
 (25)

326 and
$$CorP(l,j) = \frac{CovP(l,j)}{\sqrt{CovP(l,l) \times CovP(j,j)}}$$
 (26)

Here, *N* is the total number of models, *d* is used for the number of the layer parameters, $P_{j,k}$ is the j^{th} model parameter of k^{th} model where *l* and *j* both vary from 1 to *d* (number of layer parameters). CovP(l,j) is the covariance matrix between model parameter *l* and *j*, $P_{l,k}$ is the model parameter l^{th} model parameter of k^{th} model and CorP(l,j) is the correlation matrix between model parameter l and *j*.

332

333 **3.0 Results and analysis**

The effectiveness, sensitivity, stability, and robustness of the proposed wPSOGSA algorithm in

335 identifying the authentic subsurface structure are evaluated using various MT datasets. These

- 336 datasets consist of synthetic data with no noise and Gaussian noise, which simulate different
- 337 geological formations. Additionally, two MT field datasets have been optimized for analysis.
- 338
- 339
- 340

341 **3.1 Application to synthetic MT data-Three layers case**

347

This synthetic MT data that was executed for 10000 runs keeping the same lower and upper bounds as given in Table 1, and iteration to 1000. Figure 3 shows (a) the observed apparent resistivity with the computed data, (b) the observed apparent phase with the computed data, (c) 1D inverted model by wPSOGSA (red color), GSA (green color) and PSO (blue color) with a true model (black color), and 2(d) shows the relation between misfit and iterations for the noise-free synthetic data.



Figure 3 The inverted MT response by PSO (blue color), GSA (green color), and hybrid wPSOGSA (red color) with a true model (black color) over three-layer synthetic data as shown in (a) observed and calculated apparent resistivity curve, (b) observed and calculated apparent phase curve, (c) 1D depth inverted model, and (d) misfit error versus iterations.

The misfit curve as shown in Fig. 3(d) is gradually decreasing with increasing iterations and becomes constant, where the algorithm converges. The PSO, GSA, and wPSOGSA converge at iterations 492, 35, and 316 with associated errors 1.51e-6, 3.97e-6, and 1.035e-8, and the associated computational time is 27.06 seconds, 1.75 seconds, and 3.35 seconds, respectively. Thus, the curves describes that wPSOGSA converges at the least RMS error. Whereas PSO, GSA, and wPSOGSA using 10 % noisy synthetic data converge at 102, 88, and 358 iterations with an
associated error are 0.00435, 0.00439, and 0.00426, and associated computational times are 5.61
seconds, 4.40 seconds, and 3.80 seconds, respectively.

The 20 % noisy synthetic MT data that was executed for 10000 runs keeping the same lower bound, upper bound, and iteration. The well fitted inverted MT response (see Fig. 4) as follows: (a) the corrupted synthetic and calculated apparent resistivity data, (b) the corrupted synthetic and calculated apparent phase data, (c) the inverted 1D depth model, and (d) convergence response in terms of misfit error versus iterations. We analyzed Fig. 4(d) and found that the PSO, GSA, and wPSOGSA using noisy synthetic data converge at iterations 236, 7, and 73 with associated errors 0.0394, 0.0408, and 0.0393, respectively.



Figure 4 The inverted MT response by PSO (blue color), GSA (green color), and hybrid wPSOGSA (red color) with a true model (black color) over three-layer synthetic data with 20 % random noise as shown in (a) observed and calculated apparent resistivity curve, (b) observed and calculated apparent phase curve, (c) 1D depth inverted model, and (d) misfit error versus iterations.

372 **3.1.1 Bayesian analysis and uncertainty in model parameters**

Two methods are used to estimate mean solution and uncertainty: one method is the mean solution 373 for all accepted best-fitted solutions acquired from 10,000 runs for all three global optimization 374 375 techniques; another method is the model derived from all approved solutions using posterior Bayesian PDF within one standard deviation. To get the global best solutions in our study, we 376 incorporated posterior PDF based on the Bayesian approach to enhance the efficacy of the inverted 377 model and minimize the uncertainty in the model. The process for obtaining the mean solution is 378 proceeded by selecting an initial threshold error which is essential because smaller the threshold 379 380 value, more significant number of models with lesser uncertainty in the model parameters (Sharma, 2012). Thus, a more considerable threshold gives a lesser number of models with 381 enormous uncertainty in the model parameter (Sen and Stoffa, 1996; Sharma, 2012). This is further 382 383 proceeded by calculating the PDF for each parameter value using Eq. (22). In order to select values of each parameter that having higher posterior PDF, a 68.27 % CI is used. The mean model 384 obtained from selected model parameters is near to the actual model. 385



386

Figure 5 Posterior Bayesian probability density function (PDF) with 68.27 % CI for wPSOGSA
for three-layered synthetic data.

Figure 5 shows the output of posterior Bayesian PDF, which select model parameterswith lesser error. The straight lines (dashed lines) present the actual value of the respective layer

391parameters. The first layer thickness, second layer thickness, and first layer resistivity have392higher uncertainties, i.e., 61.25 m, 51.47 m, and 210.61 Ωm, respectively, whereas the second393layer resistivity and third layer resistivity have lower uncertainty, i.e., 17.71 Ωm and 0.03 Ωm,394respectively.

Table 1 True model, search range, and inverted layer parameters by hybrid wPSOGSA, GSA, and
PSO for three-layer with different noise (0 %, 10 %, and 20 %) synthetic MT apparent resistivity
and apparent phase data.

Layer parameters		$\rho_1(\Omega m)$	$ ho_2 \left(\Omega \mathrm{m} \right)$	$ ho_{3}\left(\Omega\mathrm{m} ight)$	<i>h</i> ₁ (m)	<i>h</i> ₂ (m)
True model		30000	5000	1000	15000	18000
Search Range		5000 -	1000 -	50 -	5000 -	10000-
		50000	10000	5000	25000	25000
(Shaw &	PSO	26981.80	6230.30	1011.70	13090	19720
Srivastava,						
2007)	GA	40800	10000	1010	6210	25000
2.0 % Gaussian						
random noise	RR	43424.40	3097.10	980.70	17010.00	16960.00
0 % noisy data	PSO	27463.86	4664.57	999.48	16112.66	17080.01
	GSA	32017.78	4721.69	1004.05	16195.26	17928.07
	wPSOGSA	30243.42	5007.04	1000.02	14969.33	18029.76
10 % noisy data	PSO	19861.54	7659.73	1022.19	15971.66	14774.31
	GSA	27538.91	6534.61	1018.04	14117.82	17408.14
	wPSOGSA	27589.85	6043.87	998.99	14902.89	18221.87
20 % noisy data	PSO	26981.8	6230.3	1011.7	13090.00	19720.00
	GSA	28823.57	5825.19	1089.65	16861.84	20795.48
	wPSOGSA	29208.75	5282.77	1055.09	16573.22	18398.94

398

Table 1 shows the inverted layer parameters using wPSOGSA, GSA, and PSO for noisefree and noisy synthetic MT data based on posterior Bayesian PDF, as well as the actual model and the search range. In addition, layered properties of synthetic data corrupted with 10 % and 20
% random noise are compared and statistically analyzed. Our findings, as shown in Table 1, were
compared to those obtained using the Genetic Algorithm (GA), Ridge Regression (RR), and PSO
by (Shaw and Srivastava, 2007)), which consistently outperforms GA and RR is closer to the
genuine model.



406

407 Figure 6 Histogram of selected models for misfit error below a defined threshold error of408 wPSOGSA.

Mean value of the accepted model parameters (30243.42 ± 471.26 , 5007.04 ± 39.59 , 1000.02±0.064, 14969.33±136.82, 18029.76±114.90) with high uncertainty of the parameters (1.5 %, 0.78 %, 0.0064 %, 0.91 % and 0.63 %). On the basis of low posterior PDF and high uncertainty, we have taken (ρ_1) and (h_1) for the exercise to show the models are not biased to the selected models.

As well as based on the histograms (see Fig. 6), posterior PDF and uncertainty of the inverted layer parameters resistivity (ρ_1) and thickness (h_1) for the three-layered synthetic MT data have been taken to depict the global solution using presented algorithm. Here we prepared

the cross-plots of ρ_1 versus h_1 using (a) wPSOGSA, (b) PSO, and (c) GSA, showing all accepted 417 models (red circle), selected models with misfit error less than a threshold error of 10^{-4} (magenta 418 circle), models of a PDF greater than 95 % (blue circle), models of a PDF greater than 75 % (green 419 circle), models of a PDF greater than 68.27 % (yellow circle), and mean model, i.e., model 420 parameters which having a PDF greater than 68.27 % (black asterisk) as shown in Fig. 7. It is 421 noticed that all inverted results give the global solution which has a good agreement with the true 422 423 model, whereas wPSOGSA gives the more accurate results than the other two algorithms PSO and GSA as shown in Table 2. 424



425

Figure 7 Cross-plots of thickness and resistivity of first layer for the three-layered synthetic resistivity model using (a) wPSOGSA, (b) PSO, and (c) GSA, displaying all accepted models (red circle), selected models with misfit error less than a threshold error (magenta circle), models (pdf > 95 % CI, blue circle), models (pdf > 75 % CI, green circle), models (pdf > 68.27 % CI, yellow

430 circle), and mean model i.e. model parameters which having a PDF greater than 68.27 % (black431 asterisk).

432

433 **3.1.2 Sensitivity, correlation matrix, and model parameters**

The accepted models, which have posterior PDF value within 68.27 % CI, are used to calculate the correlation matrix. This correlation matrix gives the relationship among model parameters. Thus, the lesser correlation value gives weak relation among the parameters and vice versa. The correlation matrix of PSO, GSA, and wPSOGSA was examined on one set of synthetic data, as shown in Fig. 8, Fig. 9 and Fig. 10, demonstrating the sensitivity among inverted model parameters. The value of correlation matrix, 1.0 indicates that the two parameters are strongly correlated.

441 Figure 8 shows that first layer resistivity is correlated highly positively with a first-layer thickness (0.97) and second layer thickness (0.98), while the second layer resistivity (-0.99) and 442 third layer resistivity (-0.81) are substantially negative connected. Second layer resistivity is 443 444 correlated with the third layer resistivity (0.87) which has a significant positive relationship; while second layer resistivity has a significant negative correlation with the first layer thickness (-0.99) 445 446 and the second layer thickness (-1.00). First layer thickness (-0.92) and second layer thickness (-0.90) are very negatively associated with third layer resistivity, while first layer thickness is 447 448 extremely positively correlated with a second layer thickness (0.99).

Figure 9 indicates that first layer resistivity is highly associated with a second layer thickness (1.00) and weakly with second layer resistivity (-1.00), third layer resistivity (-1.00), and first layer thickness (-1.00). Second layer resistivity (-1) is highly linked with a second layer thickness (-1.00), while third layer resistivity (1.00) and first layer thickness are strongly correlated (1.00). Third layer resistivity has a highly positive correlation with a first-layer thickness (1.00) and a strong negative correlation with a second layer thickness (-1.00), whereas first layer thickness has a significant negative correlation with a second layer thickness (-1.00).



456

457 **Figure 8** Correlation matrix calculated from PSO inverted model using a three-layer noise-free





459

460 Figure 9 Correlation matrix calculated from GSA inverted model using a three-layer noise-free461 synthetic MT apparent resistivity and apparent phase data.

Figure 10 shows the correlation matrix of wPSOGSA. The analyses reveal that the first layer resistivity is strongly negative with the second layer resistivity, substantially negative (-0.92) with the third layer resistivity, weakly positive (0.30) with the first layer thickness, and 465 considerably (0.63) with the second layer thickness. Second layer resistivity is slightly positive 466 (0.31) when compared to third layer resistivity (0.43) but substantially negative when compared 467 to first layer thickness. Third layer resistivity has a slightly negative correlation (-0.23) with first 468 layer thickness, but a moderately negative correlation (-0.71) with second layer thickness and first 469 layer thickness has a negative correlation (-0.71). Thus, the conclusion can be made that the layer 470 parameters are independent of others, so changing one will have no effect on the other compared 471 to the result obtained via PSO and GSA algorithms.



472

473 Figure 10 Correlation matrix calculated from wPSOGSA inverted model using a three-layer
474 noise-free synthetic MT apparent resistivity and apparent phase data.

475

476 **3.1.3 Stability analysis**

We used two different search ranges for stability evaluation of proposed wPSOGSA algorithms and executed the algorithms over three layers of synthetic MT data. One of which is expanded, and the other is contracted by 10 % of the initial search range. We infer from three layers of synthetic data, results fluctuate by approximately 3 % from the true value when the search range

- 481 is changed. This variation is about 10 % on average for synthetic data corrupted with 30 % random
- 482 noise, as shown in Table 2.

Layer parameters	Layer parameters		$ ho_2 \ (\Omega m)$	$ ho_{3}$ (Ω m)	<i>h</i> ₁ (m)	<i>h</i> ₂ (m)
Search Range		5000- 50000	1000- 10000	50-5000	5000- 25000	10000- 25000
Search Range - Case 1		4500- 55000	900- 11000	45-5500	4500- 27500	9000- 27500
wPSOGSA inverted model	0 %	31092.47	5085.79	1000.14	14700.83	18251.85
	30 %	30113.82	5016.75	1137.05	15880.95	23970.22
Search Range - Case 2		5500- 45000	1100- 9000	55-4500	5500- 22500	11000- 22500
wPSOGSA inverted model	0 %	29078.26	4922.85	999.91	15273.25	17767.45
	30 %	27815.97	5464.88	1156.46	17398.41	18119.61

Table 2 Stability analysis of a hybrid algorithm for three layers of synthetic data.

484

485 **3.2 Application to synthetic MT data-Four layers case**

For the second example of the synthetic data, a typical four-layer HK-type of earth model to 486 487 analyse the performance of the present algorithm with Improved Differential Evolution (IDE) results obtained by Xiong et al. (2018). Analysis over noisy synthetic data is done by corrupting 488 synthetic data with 10 % and 20 % Gaussian random noise to mimic the real field data because 489 different types of noises influence apparent resistivity data. Following that, all three optimization 490 methods are run using the noisy synthetic data. As the misfit error increases with the noise in the 491 492 data, the Bayesian PDF of 68.27 % CI is calculated with respect to the threshold misfit error of 493 0.01 and thus the mean model is calculated.

Enormous uncertainty is shown in the inverted results; hence, we calculated the mean model for 68.27 % CI using posterior Bayesian PDF to reduce the uncertainty and produce the global best solution. The optimized results obtained from the posterior PDF and the true model 497 are shown in Table 3. Figure 11 illustrate the inverted responses for PSO, GSA, and wPSOGSA 498 are well-fitting as follows (a) observed and calculated apparent resistivity data, (b) observed and 499 calculated apparent phase data, (c) 1-D depth model, and (d) convergence response of present 500 algorithms. We have estimated the layer parameters for synthetic data corrupted with 20 % random 501 noise for comparative analysis and found that the PSO, GSA, and wPSOGSA converge at 502 iterations 96, 556, and 187 with associated errors 3.69, 4.04, and 3.69, respectively.



Figure 11 The inverted MT response by PSO (blue color), GSA (green color), and hybrid wPSOGSA (red color) with a true model (black color) over four-layer synthetic data as shown in (a) observed and calculated apparent resistivity curve, (b) observed and calculated apparent phase curve, (c) 1D depth inverted model and (d) convergence curve.

503

Additionally, the synthetic data corrupted with 10 % random noise is also used for the execution of inversion keeping the search range, a number of particles, and iterations same as before, and observed that the PSO, GSA, and wPSOGSA converge at iterations 151, 2 and 250 with associated error 1.7609, 1.95 and 1.76 respectively. The posterior Bayesian PDF for threshold data with 68.27 % CI is calculated similarly as a three-layer case to minimize the uncertainty ininverted results.

Table 3 Comparison of the result obtained from improved Differential Evolution (IDE) and inverted results of PSO, GSA, and hybrid wPSOGSA obtained by using posterior PDF for fourlayer synthetic apparent resistivity data with different Gauss noise levels (0 %, 10 %, and 20 %) and True model.

Layer parameters		$ ho_1$ (Ω m)	$ ho_2$ (Ω m)	$ ho_3$ (Ω m)	$ ho_4$ (Ω m)	<i>h</i> ₁ (m)	<i>h</i> ₂ (m)	<i>h</i> ₃ (m)
True model		30.00	200.00	10.00	100.00	100.00	2000.00	3000.00
Search Range		25-35	100-250	5-15	50-150	50-200	1000-3000	2000-3500
0 % noise	IDE	30.00	200.00	9.99	100.01	100.00	1991.98	3000.24
	PSO	30.00	200.001	10.00	100.00	100.00	2000.00	3000.00
	GSA	29.95	199.79	9.99	99.99	99.67	2000.70	2995.37
	wPSOGSA	30.00	200.00	10.00	100.00	100.00	2000.00	3000.00
10 % noise	IDE	30.24	210.28	08.92	99.67	109.83	1994.63	2667.13
	PSO	32.86	224.99	11.51	107.65	109.71	1971.78	3499.92
	GSA	29.77	209.78	9.50	106.78	92.38	2073.14	2754.77
	wPSOGSA	30.46	197.18	9.97	102.01	100.50	1974.83	3079.35
20 % noise	IDE	30.30	212.41	11.44	97.92	102.40	1930.17	3347.24
	PSO	34.99	247.04	11.80	114.56	115.16	1986.08	3499.99
	GSA	29.52	225.61	9.74	113.46	87.55	2081.26	2753.29
	wPSOGSA	34.88	246.08	11.75	114.54	114.58	1990.98	3489.10

518

519 **3.2.1 Stability analysis**

For the stability evaluation of presented algorithms over four layers of synthetic MT data, similarto the three-layer case, we used two different search ranges and executed the algorithms for 1000

- 522 iterations. The method exhibits good results with four layers of synthetic data and reveals minimal
- variation for noise-free data. For 30 % contaminated data, the variation is approximately 10 % and
- 524 12 % in case 1 and case 2, respectively. The outputs don't change much across runs and provide
- 525 consistent results, as shown in Table 4.
- **Table 4** Stability analysis of a hybrid algorithm for four layers of MT synthetic data.

Layer parameters		ρ_1 (Om)	ρ_2	ρ_3 (Om)	ρ_4 (Om)	h_1 (m)	h_2 (m)	h_3 (m)
parameters		(22111)	(22111)	(32111)	(22111)	(111)	(111)	(111)
Search Range		25-35	100-	5-15	50-	50-	1000-	2000-
			250		150	200	3000	35000
Search Range-Ca	ase 1	27.50-	110-	5.50-	55-	55-	1100-	2200-
		31.50	225	13.50	135	180	2700	3150
wPSOGSA	0 %	29.99	199.99	10.00	99.99	99.99	1999.99	3000.00
inverted model	30 %	31.5	220.79	11.17	109.18	99.48	2150.07	3150
	2	22.50	00	4.50	45	45.000	000	1000
Search Range-Ca	ase 2	22.50-	90-	4.50-	45-	45-220	900-	1800-
		38.50	275	16.50	165		3300	3850
wPSOGSA	0 %	29.99	199.99	10.00	99.99	99.99	1999.99	3000.00
inverted model	30 %	35.47	264.27	11.95	103.13	116.22	2020.37	3040.95

527

528 **3.3 Application to field MT data-Island of Milos, Greece**

In one-dimensional MT data for site G5 near borehole M2 (Hutton et al., 1989), as shown in Fig. 12, the apparent resistivity and phase values are inverted using the wPSOGSA, PSO, and GSA, keeping the same set of controlling parameters as for noisy synthetic data, such as the swarm size, inertia weight (*w*), personal learning coefficient (c_1) and a global learning coefficient (c_2), descending coefficient (α), and the initial value of universal gravitational constant (G_0).



Figure 12 The location of the MT site and geology of the Island of Milos, Greece (after (Stewart and McPhie, 2006)).

Figure 13 shows the calculated data and model parameters as (a) match between observed 537 and computed apparent resistivity data, (b) match between observed and computed apparent phase 538 data, and (c) 1D inverted model and (d) convergence response of wPSOGSA (red color), GSA 539 (green color), and PSO (blue color) along with true model (black color). In subfigure Fig. 13(c) 540 depicts alluvium deposits with a resistivity of 1.0 Ω m with 15 m thickness as the top layer, and 541 volcanic deposits with a resistivity of 300 Ω m and 10 m thickness lie beneath the alluvium 542 deposits. A very high conducting layer of resistivity less than 1.0 Ω m is estimated, equivalent to 543 the green lahar under the high resistivity volcanic deposits. The next layer below, with higher 544

resistivity, corresponds to the crystalline foundation. In the geothermal zone's depths, the resistivity drops again. The resistivity in the depth range of about 1000 m, which is similar to earlier studies, was explored, and the findings of the proposed algorithm discovered to be in good agreement with model developed by Dawes in Hutton et al. (1989).

In subfigure Fig. 13(d) reveals that the algorithms converge at iterations 218, 1, and 425 with corresponding errors of 0.0494, 0.0518, and 0.0493 for PSO, GSA, and wPSOGSA, respectively. The hybrid algorithm has the least error between observed and computed data. The algorithms are executed for 1000 iterations and 10000 models, and findings are compared with available stratigraphy, and the result is derived using the Monte-Carlo technique by Hutton et al. (1989). After examining our optimized effects from Fig. 13 and Table 5, hybrid wPSOGSA outperformed PSO and GSA.



Figure 13 The inverted MT response by PSO (blue color), GSA (green color), and hybrid wPSOGSA (red color) with a true model (black color) over the geothermal area, Island of Milos, Greece, as shown in (a) observed and calculated apparent resistivity curve, (b) observed and calculated apparent phase curve, (c) 1D depth inverted model and (d) convergence curve.

561 **3.3.1 Bayesian analysis and uncertainty in model parameters**

A posterior Bayesian method determines the global model and related uncertainty. Figure 14 562 shows another uncertainty study that examined the six-layered resistivity model over the 563 564 geothermal field, Island of Milos, Greece, and found that the peak values of the posterior PDF for all model parameters are very nearer to the actual value of the layer parameters, providing less 565 uncertainty. We have analyzed the wPSOGSA inverted results from the Fig. 14 and Table 5, and 566 found that the first, second, third, fourth, fifth, and sixth layers' resistivity with uncertainty in 567 associated layer parameters is 1.23±0.49 Ωm, 297.61±53.43 Ωm, 0.55±0.02 Ωm, 2.41±0.16 Ωm, 568 14.18 \pm 1.76 Ω m and 99.92 \pm 0.37 Ω m. Similarly, the associated thicknesses with uncertainty are 569 14.51±1.35 m, 9.85±1.35 m, 127.39±6.01 m, 823.01±7.57 m and 2750.88±63.07 m. Thus, the 570 571 analysis suggests the lesser uncertainties in each layer's parameters except resistivity of the first 572 and second layers.



Figure 14 Posterior Bayesian probability density function (PDF) with 68.27 % CI for
wPSOGSA over a geothermal field, Island of Milos, Greece.

Table 5 compares optimized results obtained from all three presented algorithms based on posterior Bayesian PDF under 68.27 % CI condition. However, the 1D depth model inverted from wPSOGSA shows good agreement with the available borehole M-2 (Hutton et al., 1989). As a result, the hybrid algorithm is functioning better and the findings are encouraging.

580

Table 5 Search range and inverted results by posterior PDF (68.27 % CI) and PSO, GSA, and
hybrid wPSOGSA for six-layered field data.

Layer p	arameters	$\begin{array}{c} \rho_1 \\ (\Omega m) \end{array}$	$ ho_2$ (Ω m)	$ ho_{3}$ (Ω m)	$ ho_4$ (Ω m)	$ ho_5$ (Ω m)	$ ho_6$ (Ω m)	<i>h</i> ₁ (m)	<i>h</i> ₂ (m)	<i>h</i> ₃ (m)	<i>h</i> ₄ (m)	<i>h</i> ₅ (m)
Search Range		0.1- 5	50- 500	0.1- 5	1- 10	10- 30	50- 100	10- 20	5- 15	110- 150	800- 850	2500- 3000
	PSO	1.71	493.81	0.62	2.82	13.22	99.97	10.39	7.44	135.4	843.77	2861.35
Mean	GSA	2.28	299.28	0.54	2.76	18.25	76.03	14.08	8.81	130.75	825.32	2753.07
Posterior	wPSOGSA	1.23	297.61	0.55	2.41	14.18	99.92	14.51	9.85	127.39	823.01	2750.88

583

584 **3.3.2 Sensitivity, correlation matrix, and model parameters**

585 Here, a similar study of the correlation matrix is carried out for field example from the Island of Milos, Greece using all accepted models, which have posterior PDF values within 68.27 % CI. 586 587 The correlation matrix of PSO, GSA, and wPSOGSA was examined over the field MT data as shown in Fig. 15, Fig. 16 and Fig. 17 demonstrating the sensitivity among inverted model 588 parameters and found an almost similar correlation among the layer parameters for three-layer 589 590 synthetic study. From correlation analyses, we noticed that the values are showing moderate and weak correlation among parameters in the wPSOGSA case, indicating that wPSOGSA is linearly 591 592 independent of layer parameters. This indicates that the parameter is less affected by other layer 593 parameters and resistivity curves. Whereas the correlation among layer parameters for field data using GSA and PSO is either strongly positive or strongly negative, which describes that the 594

parameters are dependent on each other. Thus a change in one parameter affects the other, andalso apparent resistivity curve is very much involved.



Figure 15 Correlation matrix of field data taken from the geothermal rich area, Island of Milos,Greece for PSO.



Figure 16 Correlation matrix of field data taken from island geothermal rich area of Milos, Greecefor GSA.





609 **3.4 Application to field MT data-Newcastleton, Southern upland, Scotland**

Another field example of MT data was picked to illustrate our technique from Newcastleton
(2.796° W, 55.196° N in Geographic coordinates), Southern Uplands of Scotland. The Southern
Uplands are isolated from the Midland Valley by the Southern Uplands fault. The location of the
MT site and the geology of the study area are shown in Fig. 18.

During nine days, in the frequency range of 0.1 Hz to 0.0001 Hz, the variations of the 614 615 magnetic and telluric fields concerning the time at four sites along a line perpendicular to the anomaly's strike were recorded, keeping a high signal to noise ratio where the anisotropy ratios 616 617 are so near to one and the skew factor is less than 0.1 for the majority of periods. Due to low anisotropy ratios and skew factor, the resistivity distribution under this location is one-dimensional 618 619 (Jones and Hutton, 1979). Here one set of MT data is inverted using PSO, GSA, and wPSOGSA 620 to obtain the best fitting apparent resistivity curve, apparent phase curve, and 1D depth model as shown in subfigures Fig. 19(a), Fig. 19(b), and Fig. 19(c), respectively. Figure 19 shows a realistic 621 one-dimensional resistivity variation with a phase response ranging from 60° at 100 seconds to 622 623 35° at 1000 seconds, which can only be obtained by establishing a conducting zone at lower 624 crustal/upper mantle levels (Jones and Hutton, 1979).

The execution time for wPSOGSA (33 seconds) is the least as compared to GSA (34 seconds) and PSO (53 seconds). The convergence iterations of PSO, GSA, and wPSOGSA are 79, 101, and 65, and its associated misfit errors are 3.79, 4.72, and 3.70, respectively.

The inverted MT model is illustrated in subfigure Fig. 19(c), which depicts two low conductive zones at a depth of 21 km and 400 km. The first conductive layer (70 Ω m) with a thickness of 28 km is underlain by a high resistive top layer of thickness of 21 km, and the second very high conductive layer (less than 1.0 Ω m) at a depth of 400 km is underlain by high resistive layer (550 Ω m) of thickness 351 km. Thus, the last layer of a very high conductive zone (i.e., resistivity less than 1.0 Ω m) as a lower crust/upper mantle conductor at a depth of 400 km is estimated. At 400 m depths, a conducting zone meets both the amplitude and phase long period 635 responses. This explanation is directly equivalent to accepted models derived from Monte-Carlo

636 models for the structure underlying the Southern Uplands.









Figure 19 The inverted MT response by PSO (blue color), GSA (green color), and hybrid wPSOGSA (red color) with a true model (black color) over Newcastleton, Southern Scotland, as shown in (a) observed and calculated apparent resistivity curve, (b) observed and calculated apparent phase curve, (c) 1D depth inverted model and (d) convergence curve.

645

Table 6 Search range, inverted results by posterior PDF (68.27 % CI) using PSO, GSA, and
wPSOGSA for field data.

Layer		$ ho_1$	$ ho_2$	$ ho_3$	$ ho_4$	h_1	h_2	h_3
parameters		(Ωm)	(Ωm)	(Ωm)	(Ωm)	(m)	(m)	(m)
Search		300-	10-	250-	0.1-5	10000-	15000-	10000-
Range		1000	150	1500		30000	35000	450000
	PSO	304.47	92.66	591.52	4.93	20894.01	34776.15	379563.48
Mean	GSA	507.65	69.38	548.46	2.66	20493.18	24182.99	382090.23
Posterior	wPSOGSA	444.27	78.94	554.53	1.91	20591.39	28177.40	382181.50
Jones and	Monte-	<mark>500.00</mark>	<mark>70.00</mark>	<mark>750.00</mark>	<mark>1.00</mark>	<mark>22000.00</mark>	<mark>28000.00</mark>	<mark>350000.00</mark>
Hutton	Carlo							
<mark>(1979a)</mark>	Inversion							

649 **4.0 Discussions**

- ⁶⁵⁰ The analysis on the two synthetic MT datasets shows that the proposed algorithm work very well
- 651 and provide encouraging well fitted calculated apparent resistivity and phase data with the
- observed data. Also from the study, it is noted that the proposed algorithm is less sensitive to the
- 653 search range and the constraints used in this algorithm. And the comparison of the model
- 654 parameters show that the output from the proposed algorithm is very precise to the true model and
- 655 faster than the individual algorithms and the other algorithms used in the previous papers.
- 656
- 657 **Table 7** Inverted results by Dawes method, Jupp and Vozoff method, the Fischer inversion method
- 658 (resistivity in Ω m) and Parker D+ Inversion.

Layer	The	The Jupp	The	Parker Parker	Layer	The	The Jupp	The	Parker
Paramete Paramete	<mark>Dawes</mark>	and	Fischer	D+	<mark>Param</mark>	<mark>Dawes</mark>	and	Fischer	<mark>D+</mark>
<mark>rs</mark>	method	<mark>Vozoff</mark>	<mark>inversio</mark>	Conduc-	eters	method	<mark>Vozoff</mark>	<mark>inversio</mark>	
	<mark>- a</mark>	method [http://www.com/actional comparison of the second s	<mark>n</mark>	tance		<mark>- a</mark>	method	<mark>n</mark>	
	<mark>hybrid</mark>			<mark>(Siemen</mark>		<mark>hybrid</mark>			
	<mark>Monte</mark>			<mark>s)</mark>		<mark>Monte</mark>			
	Carlo					Carlo			
<mark>ρ₁ (Ωm)</mark>	<mark>20.258</mark>	<mark>14.60088</mark>	<mark>10.2178</mark>	<mark>46.00</mark>	<mark>h₁ (m)</mark>	<mark>12.981</mark>	<mark>13.17125</mark>	<mark>12.5805</mark>	<mark>34.00</mark>
	<mark>75</mark>	<mark>9</mark>	<u>01</u>			<mark>36</mark>	<mark>86</mark>	<mark>75</mark>	
<mark>ρ₂ (Ωm)</mark>	<mark>0.5101</mark>	<mark>0.512765</mark>	<mark>0.51350</mark>	<mark>65.00</mark>	<mark>h₂ (m)</mark>	<mark>136.28</mark>	<mark>136.3130</mark>	<mark>21.9621</mark>	<mark>64.20</mark>
	<mark>94</mark>	62	<mark>27</mark>			<mark>89</mark>	<mark>07</mark>	<mark>87</mark>	
<mark>ρ₃ (Ωm)</mark>	<mark>3.6638</mark>	<mark>4.463949</mark>	<mark>0.62833</mark>	<mark>70.00</mark>	<mark>h₃ (m)</mark>	<mark>1298.2</mark>	<mark>1670.172</mark>	<mark>103.199</mark>	<mark>198.77</mark>
	<mark>92</mark>	<u> </u>	<mark>45</mark>			<mark>06</mark>	67	<mark>52</mark>	
<mark>ρ₄ (Ωm)</mark>	<mark>35.832</mark>	<mark>202.7330</mark>	<mark>3.72224</mark>	<mark>172.00</mark>	<mark>h₄ (m)</mark>	<mark>12846.</mark>	<mark>1705.783</mark>	<mark>31.2491</mark>	<mark>737.04</mark>
	<mark>18</mark>	<mark>3</mark>	<mark>32</mark>			<mark>85</mark>	<mark>3</mark>	<mark>7</mark>	
<mark>ρ₅ (Ωm)</mark>	<mark>69.230</mark>	<mark>28.90750</mark>	<mark>6.66450</mark>	<mark>177.00</mark>	h ₅ (m)	<mark></mark>	<mark>9777.828</mark>	<mark>68.6035</mark>	<mark>1062.3</mark>
	<mark>81</mark>	<mark>5</mark>	<mark>56</mark>				<mark>6</mark>	<mark>2</mark>	<mark>5</mark>
<mark>ρ₆ (Ωm)</mark>		<mark>93.94277</mark>	<mark>3.67707</mark>		<mark>h₆ (m)</mark>	<mark></mark>		<mark>1485.82</mark>	
		<mark>4</mark>	<mark>24</mark>					<mark>84</mark>	
<mark>ρ₇ (Ωm)</mark>		<mark></mark>	<mark>19.8269</mark>		<mark>h₇ (m)</mark>	<mark></mark>		<mark>5607.57</mark>	
			<mark>15</mark>					<mark>19</mark>	
ρ ₈ (Ωm)			<mark>33.3728</mark>						
			<mark>76</mark>						

659

A research conducted by Hutton et al. (1989), compare various techniques, including
Parker H+, Dawes (a combined Monte Carlo/Hedgehog approach developed by Dawes), JuppVozoff, Fischer, and Parker D+ inversions, to interpret the subsurface geology of the Island of
Milos, Greece. Among these techniques, the Dawes algorithm proved to be the most effective in

664	identifying a reservoir interface at the borehole's depth. Consequently, these methods were utilized
665	to compile 1-D models along several traverses. All three models, Parker H+, Parker D+, and
666	Dawes, indicate the presence of a resistivity boundary at a depth of approximately 1000 m (Huttor
667	et al., 1989), where the geothermal reservoir has been detected. The research findings through
668	proposed algorithm reveal that the resistivity of the crystalline basement beneath the geotherma
669	site is abnormally low (< 20 Ω m) in the uppermost portion and remains below 50 Ω m at depths o
670	at least 10 km.
671	The subfigure in Fig. 19(c) presents the inverted MT model of the Southern upland
672	Scotland, displaying two zones of low conductivity at depths of 21 km and 400 km. Contrary to
673	the findings of Jain and Wilson (1967), there is a strong evidence suggesting that the conducting
674	zone (70 Ω m) beneath the Southern Uplands exists at a depth exceeding 20 km. Furthermore, there
675	is a second layer of extremely high conductivity (representing lower crust/upper mantle of less
676	than 1.0 Ω m) at a depth of 400 km, which is underlain by a highly resistive layer (550 Ω m)
677	spanning a thickness of 351 km. At a depth of 400 m, this conducting zone shows a direc
678	alignment with both the amplitude and phase responses of long period measurements, which car
679	be notice in the model derived from Monte-Carlo simulations of the structure underlying the
680	Southern Uplands (see Fig. 19). The results from proposed algorithm as well as from PSO and
681	GSA (see Fig. 19), demonstrate the presence of a highly conductive layer at depths exceeding 20
682	km and 400 km, corroborating with the findings of (Jones and Hutton, 1979).
683	
684	
685	
686	
687	
688	
689	

690 **5.0 Conclusions**

The study presented the wPSOGSA algorithm along with PSO and GSA to evaluate their efficacy 691 and applicability to the MT data, which narrates the appraisal of 1D resistivity models from 692 693 apparent resistivity, apparent phase, and the frequency data sets. So, synthetic and field MT data from various geological terrains were used to demonstrate the relevance of these methods, which 694 are further carried out by applying multiple runs, generating a large number of models that fit the 695 apparent resistivity and apparent phase curves. Furthermore, these best-fitting models within a 696 specified range are then chosen for statistical analysis. The statistical analysis includes posterior 697 698 PDF based on the Bayesian approach with 68.27 % CI, correlation matrix, and stability analysis are used to understand the accuracy of the mean model and its uncertainty. However, the solution 699 700 from the posterior PDF based on the Bayesian of wPSOGSA is better than GSA and PSO, 701 explaining the reliability of the proposed inversion algorithm. In general, conventional techniques can effectively resolve the model in random noise, but they can miscarry in methodical error or 702 inappropriate models, also, the performance of the proposed algorithms on field datasets has been 703 analyzed based on the mean model, uncertainty, correlation and stability of layered earth models, 704 and found that the results obtained from wPSOGSA are reliable, stable, and more accurate than 705 the available results, that are well adapted to borehole lithology. 706

707

708 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the IIT(ISM), Dhanbad, for providing a pleasant environment to pursue this study and support for the research. We also express our gratitude to the Editor-in-Chief, Associate Editor, and anonymous reviewers, whose suggestions and comments enabled us to better understanding of the issue and considerably improve our manuscript.

714

715

717	Competing interests
718	The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose and no competing
719	interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article. All authors certify that they have
720	no affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest or non-
721	financial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.
722	
723	Data availability statement
724	The datasets used for the present study and analysis have been taken from published paper, cited
725	in the manuscript.
726	
727	Authors' contribution statement
728	Mukesh: Conceptualization of the study, Methodology, Computer code, Analysis, Drafting of
729	the manuscript.
730	Kuldeep Sarkar: Methodology, Computer code, Analysis, Drafting the manuscript
731	Upendra K. Singh: Supervision, Suggestions, and editing.
732	
733	
734	
735	
736	
737	
738	
739	
740	
741	

Declarations

716

- 742 **References**
- 743 Ai, H., Essa, K. S., Ekinci, Y. L., Balkaya, Ç., Li, H., and Géraud, Y.: Magnetic anomaly
- ⁷⁴⁴ inversion through the novel barnacles mating optimization algorithm, Sci. Rep., 12, 22578,
- 745 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-26265-0, 2022.
- 746 Cagniard, L.: Basic theory of the magneto-telluric method of geophysical prospecting, Geophys,
- 747 18, 605–635, https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1437915, 1953.
- 748 Colorni, A., Dorigo, M., and Maniezzo, V.: Distributed Optimization by Ant Colonies,
- Proceedings of the First European Conference on Artificial Life, Paris, France, 134–142 pp.,
 1991.
- 751 Constable, S. C., Parker, R. L., and Constable, C. G.: Occam's inversion: A practical algorithm
- for generating smooth models from electromagnetic sounding data, Geophys, 52, 289–300,
- 753 https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1442303, 1987.
- "Dawes, G. J. K. ": Magnetotelluric feasibility study: Island of Milos, Greece, Luxembourg,1986.
- 756 Dosso, S. E. and Oldenburg, D. W.: Magnetotelluric appraisal using simulated annealing,
- 757 Geophys. J. Int., 106, 379–385, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1991.tb03899.x, 1991.
- 758 Essa, K. S. and Diab, Z. E.: Gravity data inversion applying a metaheuristic Bat algorithm for
- various ore and mineral models, J. Geodyn., 155, 101953,
- 760 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2022.101953, 2023.
- 761 Essa, K. S., Abo-Ezz, E. R., Géraud, Y., and Diraison, M.: A successful inversion of magnetic
- anomalies related to 2D dyke-models by a particle swarm scheme, J. Earth Syst. Sci., 132, 65,
- 763 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12040-023-02075-4, 2023.

- Hutton, V. R. S., Galanopoulos, D., Dawes, G. J. K., and Pickup, G. E.: A high resolution
- magnetotelluric survey of the Milos geothermal prospect, Geothermics, 18, 521–532,

766 https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-6505(89)90054-0, 1989.

- Jain, S. and Wilson, C. D. V.: Magneto-Telluric Investigations in the Irish Sea and Southern
- Scotland, Geophys. J. Int., 12, 165–180, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1967.tb03113.x,
 1967.
- Jones, A. G. and Hutton, R.: A multi-station magnetotelluric study in southern Scotland I.
- Fieldwork, data analysis and results, Geophys. J. Int., 56, 329–349,
- 772 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1979.tb00168.x, 1979.
- 773 Kennedy, J. and Eberhart, R.: Particle swarm optimization, in: Proceedings of ICNN'95 -
- International Conference on Neural Networks, 1942–1948 vol.4,
- 775 https://doi.org/10.1109/ICNN.1995.488968, 1995.
- Khishe, M. and Mosavi, M. R.: Chimp optimization algorithm, Expert Syst. Appl., 149, 113338,
- 777 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2020.113338, 2020.
- 778 Kirkpatrick, S., Gelatt C., D., and Vecchi M., P.: Optimization by Simulated Annealing, Science,
- 220, 671–680, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.220.4598.671, 1983.
- 780 Kunche, P., Sasi Bhushan Rao, G., Reddy, K. V. V. S., and Uma Maheswari, R.: A new
- approach to dual channel speech enhancement based on hybrid PSOGSA, Int. J. Speech
- 782 Technol., 18, 45–56, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10772-014-9245-5, 2015.
- Li, S.-Y., Wang, S.-M., Wang, P.-F., Su, X.-L., Zhang, X.-S., and Dong, Z.-H.: An improved
- grey wolf optimizer algorithm for the inversion of geoelectrical data, Acta Geophys., 66, 607–
- 785 621, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11600-018-0148-8, 2018.

- Lynch, S. M.: Introduction to applied Bayesian statistics and estimation for social scientists,Springer, New York, 2007.
- 788 Miecznik, J., Wojdyła, M., and Danek, T.: Application of nonlinear methods to inversion of 1D
- magnetotelluric sounding data based on very fast simulated annealing, Acta Geophys. Pol., Vol.
- 790 51, nr 3, 307–322, 2003.
- 791 Mirjalili, S. and Hashim, S. Z. M.: A new hybrid PSOGSA algorithm for function optimization,
- in: 2010 International Conference on Computer and Information Application, 374–377,
- 793 https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCIA.2010.6141614, 2010.
- Mirjalili, S., Mirjalili, S. M., and Lewis, A.: Grey Wolf Optimizer, Adv. Eng. Softw., 69, 46–61,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2013.12.007, 2014.
- Nabighian, M. N. and Asten, M. W.: Metalliferous mining geophysics—State of the art in the
- ⁷⁹⁷ last decade of the 20th century and the beginning of the new millennium, Geophysics, 67, 964–
- 798 978, https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1484538, 2002.
- 799 Pace, F., Raftogianni, A., and Godio, A.: A Comparative Analysis of Three Computational-
- 800 Intelligence Metaheuristic Methods for the Optimization of TDEM Data, Pure Appl. Geophys.,
- 801 179, 3727–3749, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-022-03166-x, 2022.
- 802 Pérez-Flores, M. A. and Schultz, A.: Application of 2-D inversion with genetic algorithms to
- magnetotelluric data from geothermal areas, Earth Planets Space, 54, 607–616,
- 804 https://doi.org/10.1186/BF03353049, 2002.
- Rashedi, E., Nezamabadi-pour, H., and Saryazdi, S.: GSA: A Gravitational Search Algorithm,
 Inf. Sci., 179, 2232–2248, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2009.03.004, 2009.

- Rodi, W. and Mackie, R. L.: Nonlinear conjugate gradients algorithm for 2-D magnetotelluric
 inversion, Geophysics, 66, 174–187, https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1444893, 2001.
- 809 Ross, S.: Probability and statistics for engineers and scientists, Elsevier, New Delhi, 2009.
- 810 Roy, A. and Kumar, T. S.: Gravity inversion of 2D fault having variable density contrast using
- particle swarm optimization, Geophys. Prospect., 69, 1358–1374, https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-
- 812 2478.13094, 2021.
- 813 Sen, M. K. and Stoffa, P. L.: Bayesian inference, Gibbs' sampler and uncertainty
- estimation in geophysical inversion1, Geophys. Prospect., 44, 313–350,
- 815 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2478.1996.tb00152.x, 1996.
- 816 Sen, M. K. and Stoffa, P. L.: Global Optimization Methods in Geophysical Inversion,
- Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511997570, 2013.
- Şenel, F. A., Gökçe, F., Yüksel, A. S., and Yiğit, T.: A novel hybrid PSO–GWO algorithm for
 optimization problems, Eng. Comput., 35, 1359–1373, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00366-0180668-5, 2019.
- 821 Sharma, S. P.: VFSARES—a very fast simulated annealing FORTRAN program for
- 822 interpretation of 1-D DC resistivity sounding data from various electrode arrays, Comput.
- 823 Geosci., 42, 177–188, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2011.08.029, 2012.
- 824 Shaw, R. and Srivastava, S.: Particle Swarm Optimization: A new tool to invert geophysical
- data, Geophysics, 72, https://doi.org/10.1190/1.2432481, 2007.
- 826 Simon, D.: Biogeography-Based Optimization, IEEE Trans. Evol. Comput., 12, 702–713,
- 827 https://doi.org/10.1109/TEVC.2008.919004, 2008.
- 828 Simpson, F. and Bahr, K.: Practical Magnetotellurics, Cambridge University Press, 2005.

- 829 Stewart, A. L. and McPhie, J.: Facies architecture and Late Pliocene Pleistocene evolution of a
- felsic volcanic island, Milos, Greece, Bull. Volcanol., 68, 703–726,
- 831 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-005-0045-2, 2006.
- 832 Storn, R. and Price, K.: Differential Evolution A Simple and Efficient Heuristic for global
- 833 Optimization over Continuous Spaces, J. Glob. Optim., 11, 341–359,
- https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008202821328, 1997.
- Tarantola, A.: Inverse Problem Theory and Methods for Model Parameter Estimation,
 https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9780898717921, 2005.
- Tarantola, A. and Valette, B.: Generalized nonlinear inverse problems solved using the least
 squares criterion, Rev. Geophys., 20, 219–232, https://doi.org/10.1029/RG020i002p00219,
 1982.

840 Ward, S. H. and Hohmann, G. W.: 4. Electromagnetic Theory for Geophysical Applications, in:

- 841 Electromagnetic Methods in Applied Geophysics: Volume 1, Theory, Society of Exploration
- 842 Geophysicists, 130–311, https://doi.org/10.1190/1.9781560802631.ch4, 1988.
- 843 Wen, L., Cheng, J., Li, F., Zhao, J., Shi, Z., and Zhang, H.: Global optimization of controlled
- source audio-frequency magnetotelluric data with an improved artificial bee colony algorithm, J.
- Appl. Geophys., 170, 103845, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappgeo.2019.103845, 2019.
- 846 Whitley, D.: A genetic algorithm tutorial, Stat. Comput., 4, 65–85,
- 847 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00175354, 1994.
- Xiong, J., Liu, C., Chen, Y., and Zhang, S.: A non-linear geophysical inversion algorithm for the
- 849 mt data based on improved differential evolution, Eng. Lett., 26, 161–170, 2018.

- 850 Yang, X.-S.: A New Metaheuristic Bat-Inspired Algorithm, in: Nature Inspired Cooperative
- 851 Strategies for Optimization (NICSO 2010), edited by: González, J. R., Pelta, D. A., Cruz, C.,
- Terrazas, G., and Krasnogor, N., Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 65–74,
- 853 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-12538-6_6, 2010a.
- Yang, X.-S.: Firefly algorithm, stochastic test functions and design optimisation, Int J Bio
- 855 Inspired Comput, 2, 78–84, https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.1003.1409, 2010b.
- 856 Zhang, Z., Ding, S., and Jia, W.: A hybrid optimization algorithm based on cuckoo search and
- differential evolution for solving constrained engineering problems, Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell., 85,
- 858 254–268, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2019.06.017, 2019.