





50 was considered by Benkadda et al. (2011) who emphasized on the interaction of high frequency  
51 drift waves and those with lower frequencies.

52  
53 Recently, it is implied that such coupled Rossby-Khantadze (RK) waves could be  
54 propagated and could be self-organized into dipolar (solitary) vortices (Kaladze, 2014). In the  
55 present work, the spatially inhomogeneous coriolis parameter and ambient magnetic field along  
56 the parallels is considered illustrating propagation of such coupled RK waves. The numerical  
57 simulation of the same problem was done in (Kaladze, et al. 1999) where the possibility of  
58 modulation mechanism in ionospheric plasmas was discussed, leading to the formation and  
59 transport of such dust flows and particles respectively. Numerical solutions of such shear RK  
60 waves with sheared zonal flow in such weakly ionized ionospheric E-plasma region were  
61 investigated (Futatani et al., 2015). In this work the splitting of the vortices which carried the  
62 energy by sheared flow into multiple pieces is pointed out. The equatorial Rossby waves were  
63 discussed under similar sheared flows (Qiang et al., 2001). Existence of solitons was observed  
64 by *Freja and Viking satellites* (Qiang et al., 2001; Bostrom, 1992; Lindqvist et al., 1994;  
65 Dovner et al. 1994, YunLong Shi et al. 2018). The production of shear flow by Rossby-  
66 Khantadze waves in E-ionospheric region were discussed (Kaladze, et al. 2014). Kaladze et al.  
67 (2009) studied the solitary properties of Rossby (magnetized) waves with the interaction of  
68 zonal flows (shear) and developed the 1D mKdV equation for Rossby waves. By considering  
69  $\beta$ -plane approximation, similar work was studied (Jian et al., 2009).

70 The novelty of present paper, is by consideration of magnetic field we studied the MKdV  
71 equation for Rossby-Khantadze waves which is not reported so far.

72 The present problem is not reported before and the novelty of the present work is to  
73 study the solitary Rossby-Khantadze (RK) waves by incorporating the sheared zonal flows in  
74 partially ionized ionospheric E-region plasma. In Sec. 2, by using multiple scale analysis and  
75 perturbation approach; from a system of nonlinear two-dimensional equations we derive one-  
76 dimensional MKdV equation describing solitary Rossby-Khantadze waves' dynamics along  
77 with zonal (shear) flows is obtained. In next Sec. 3, includes the discussion of the results.

78  
79

## 80 2. Mathematical Preliminaries

81

82 We have considered weakly conductive E-ionospheric region comprising of electrons,  
83 ions and neutrals. The ionospheric plasma is enclosed in a geomagnetic field. The local  
84 geomagnetic field's components are  $\mathbf{B}_0 = (0, B_{0y}, B_{0z})$  alongwith the angular velocity of the  
85 earth, as  $\mathbf{\Omega} = (0, \Omega_{0y}, \Omega_{0z})$ . In this layer, the wave motion is considered two-dimensional and  
86 defined in terms of stream function  $\psi(x, y, t)$ ,  $\mathbf{v} = (u, v, 0)$ , with  $u = -\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial y}$  and  $v = \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x}$ .

87

88 The nonlinear behavior of considered sheared Rossby-Khantadze waves is pointed out  
89 by a system having two-dimensional nonlinear equations. The first system of equation is  
90 obtained from the z-component of the curl of vorticity with  $\zeta_z = \Delta\psi$  and the second one is  
91 obtained from the z-component of magnetic field of the Faraday's law by considering the  
92 spatial inhomogeneous background magnetic field and Coriolis parameter,  $f = 2\Omega_{0z}$  (Kaladze,  
93 et al., 2014):

$$94 \begin{cases} \frac{\partial\Delta\psi}{\partial t} + \beta \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x} + J(\psi, \Delta\psi) - \frac{1}{\mu_0\rho} \beta_B \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + J(\psi, h) + \beta_B \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x} + c_B \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

95

96



97 where  $h$  represents the z-component of the perturbed field (magnetic);  $\beta = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{2\partial\Omega_{0z}}{\partial y}$   
 98 describes the latitudinal inhomogeneity in vertical component of angular velocity; also  $c_B =$   
 99  $\beta_B/en\mu_0$  with  $\beta_B = \frac{\partial B_{0z}}{\partial y}$  is the latitudinal inhomogeneity in the background magnetic field ;  
 100  $J(a, b) = \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} \frac{\partial b}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial a}{\partial y} \frac{\partial b}{\partial x}$  is the Jacobian (vector nonlinearity) and  $\Delta = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2$  .

101

102 The boundary conditions that are fulfilled in this system are given by

103

104

$$\psi(0) = \psi(1) = 0, \quad (2)$$

105

106 which represents the flow's edges, specifically along the south and north direction [1, 2].

107

## 108 2.1 Perturbation and weakly nonlinear approach

109

110 The background stream function is considered as:

111

$$\Psi(y) = - \int [U(y) - c_0] dy. \quad (3)$$

113 Here  $U(y)$  describes the basic background flow with  $c_0$  as a constant eigenvalue. We consider  
 114 the whole stream function  $\psi$  is the sum of background (zonal flow) stream function  $\Psi(y)$  and  
 115 a disturbed stream  $\psi'$  function, along with a normalized small parameter  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ , forms a weak  
 116 nonlinear problem, that is the subject of this study. While the perturbed magnetic field is also  
 117 characterized by a small a parameter  $\varepsilon$ . Therefore the stream function and the magnetic  
 118 perturbations takes the form,

$$\begin{aligned} 119 \quad \psi &= \Psi(y) + \varepsilon\psi' = - \int [U(y) - c_0] dy + \varepsilon\psi', \\ 120 \quad h &= \varepsilon h' \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

121

122 Using Eq (4) into (1) gives

123

$$\begin{cases} 124 \quad \frac{\partial \Delta \psi'}{\partial t} + (U(y) - c_0) \frac{\partial \Delta \psi'}{\partial x} + (\beta - U'') \frac{\partial \psi'}{\partial x} + \frac{\beta_B}{\mu_0 \rho} \frac{\partial h'}{\partial x} + \varepsilon J(\psi', \Delta \psi') = 0, \\ \frac{\partial h'}{\partial t} + \varepsilon J(\psi', h') + (U(y) - c_0) \frac{\partial h'}{\partial x} + \beta_B \frac{\partial \psi'}{\partial x} + c_B \frac{\partial h'}{\partial x} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

125

126 where  $U'' = \frac{d^2 U}{dy^2}$  . It is also noted that parameter  $\varepsilon$  involves magnitude of nonlinear products.

127

128 By using the multiple scale analysis, we obtain the asymptotic solution where we can  
 129 take the spatial and temporal parameters as  $X = \varepsilon x$  and time  $T = \varepsilon^3 t$  respectively of such  
 130 nonlinear investigations. Also, by eliminating  $h'$  from 5(b) into 5(a) we get the single  
 131 equation for  $\psi'$

132

$$133 \quad \mathcal{L}_0(\psi) + \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{L}_1(\psi) + \varepsilon J\left(\psi, \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2}\right) + \varepsilon^3 J\left(\psi, \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2}\right) + \varepsilon^4 \frac{\partial^3 \psi}{\partial T \partial x^2} = 0. \quad (6)$$

134

135 Throughout the apostrophe of perturbed stream function is dropped.

136

137 Here we introduce the following linear differential operators:



138  
 139

$$140 \quad \mathcal{L}_0 = \left[ (U - c_0) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + p(y) + \frac{\alpha(y)}{U - c_0 + c_B} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad \mathcal{L}_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + (U - c_0) \frac{\partial^3}{\partial X^3}, \quad (7)$$

141

142 where  $\alpha(y) = \frac{\beta_B^2}{\mu_0 \rho}$  and  $p(y) = \beta - U''$ . Here the parameter  $\alpha$  involves the spatial  
 143 inhomogeneous background magnetic field which is not considered before in Kaladze et al.  
 144 [16].  
 145

146 Furthermore, we denote the disturbed stream function  $\psi$  (the asymptotic expansion) as:

147

$$148 \quad \psi = \psi_0 + \varepsilon \psi_1 + \varepsilon^2 \psi_2 + \dots \quad (8)$$

149

150 By using Eq. (8) into Eq. (6), from the lowest order  $O(\varepsilon^0)$ , we get the following equation  
 151 with conditions of boundary:

152

153

$$154 \quad \mathcal{L}_0[\psi_0] = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \psi_0 = 0 \text{ for } y = 0, 1. \quad (9)$$

155

156 The above equation (9) is a linear differential equation. By performing a separation of variables  
 157 method for  $\psi_0 = A(X, T) \Phi_0(y)$  into this form and substitute it into Eq. (7) we get the  
 158 following equation with conditions of boundary:

159

$$160 \quad \left( \frac{d^2}{dy^2} + \frac{p(y)}{U - c_0} + \frac{\alpha(y)}{(U - c_0)(U - c_0 + c_B)} \right) \Phi_0 = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \Phi_0(0) = \Phi_0(1) = 0. \quad (10)$$

161

162 Here we consider  $U - c_0 \neq 0$  and  $U - c_0 + c_B \neq 0$ . This is an eigenvalue problem for eigen  
 163 value  $c_0$ . By specifying  $p(y)$  and  $\alpha(y)$ ,  $\Phi_0(y)$  can be found. Since  $p(y)$  and  $\alpha(y)$  have  
 164 dependent on the variable  $y$ , it is not easy to solve this eigen problem analytically. From the  
 165 lowest order  $O(\varepsilon^0)$ , we have used a couple of approximations: the first is the wave space  
 166 structure is clear; the other one is about it is not time-dependent equation. Thus, it didn't help  
 167 to find information about amplitude of such waves. From the next order  $O(\varepsilon^1)$   
 168

$$169 \quad \mathcal{L}_0[\psi_1] = -J \left( \psi_0, \frac{\partial^2 \psi_0}{\partial y^2} \right) \equiv F_1 = A \frac{\partial A}{\partial X} \left( \frac{p(y)}{U - c_0} + \frac{\alpha}{(U - c_0)(U - c_0 + c_B)} \right)_y \Phi_0^2, \quad (11)$$

170 Furthermore, we use  $\psi_1 = \frac{1}{2} A^2(X, T) \Phi_1(y)$  for non-singular neutral solutions into (11)

171

$$172 \quad \left( \frac{d^2}{dy^2} + \frac{p(y)}{U - c_0} + \frac{\alpha}{(U - c_0)(U - c_0 + c_B)} \right) \Phi_1 = \left( \frac{p(y)}{U - c_0} + \frac{\alpha}{(U - c_0)(U - c_0 + c_B)} \right)_y \frac{\Phi_0^2}{(U - c_0)}, \quad (12)$$

173

174 from the given boundary conditions  $\Phi_1(0) = \Phi_1(1) = 0$ . To get amplitude we will solve  
 175 next such as  $O(\varepsilon^2)$  which gives

176

$$177 \quad \mathcal{L}_0[\psi_2] = -\mathcal{L}_1[\psi_0] - J \left( \psi_0, \frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial y^2} \right) - J \left( \psi_1, \frac{\partial^2 \psi_0}{\partial y^2} \right) \equiv F_2, \quad (13)$$

178

179 with  $\psi_2(0) = \psi_2(1) = 0$ .

180

181 Here it is pointed out that the dispersion effect competes with weakly nonlinear effect.

182



183 Furthermore, we take  $\psi_2 = B(X, T)\Phi_2(y)$  and multiply Eq. (13) by  $\psi_0$  and integration over  
 184  $y$  give

$$186 \int_0^1 dy \frac{F_2}{U-c_0} \Phi_0 = 0. \quad (14)$$

187 By substituting  $F_2$  and use  $\psi_1 = \frac{1}{2} A^2(X, T) \Phi_1(y)$  into above equation (14) give the modified  
 188 KdV (MKdV) equation

$$190 \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} + N A^2 \frac{\partial A}{\partial X} + D \frac{\partial^3 A}{\partial X^3} = 0. \quad (15)$$

191 Here

$$194 N = \frac{I_2}{I_0}, \quad D = -\frac{I_1}{I_0}, \quad (16)$$

$$196 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} I_0 = \int_0^1 dy \Phi_0^2(y) \left[ \frac{p(y)}{(U-c_0)^2} + \frac{\alpha}{(U-c_0)^2(U-c_0+c_B)} \right], \\ I_1 = \int_0^1 dy \Phi_0^2(y) \\ I_2 = \int_0^1 dy \frac{\Phi_0^2(y)}{U-c_0} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{p(y)}{U-c_0} + \frac{\alpha}{(U-c_0)(U-c_0+c_B)} \right)_y \Phi_1(y) \\ -\frac{1}{2} \Phi_0^2(y) \left[ \left( \frac{p(y)}{U-c_0} + \frac{\alpha}{(U-c_0)(U-c_0+c_B)} \right)_y \frac{1}{U-c_0} \right]_y \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right. \quad (17)$$

197  
 198 Kaladze et al. [16] and Jian et al. [17] also obtained the same MKdV equation for Rossby waves  
 199 as equation (15) and pointed out that the background flow shear is a necessary condition for  
 200 the existence of solitary waves, whereas in this work, we get the MKdV for the Rossby-  
 201 Khantadze waves where the coefficients have been modified by inclusion of inhomogeneity in  
 202 geomagnetic field. Moreover, the effect of shear basic flow on the spatial structure, propagation  
 203 velocity and wave width of solitary Rossby waves have been studied.

204  
 205 Here the function  $\beta(y)$ ,  $\alpha(y)$  and  $U(y)$  are related to the coefficients  $N$  and  $D$ .

206  
 207 The solution of modified KdV equation (15) is,

$$209 A(x, t) = \pm \sqrt{\frac{6c}{N}} \operatorname{sech} \left( \sqrt{\frac{c}{D}} (x - ct) \right). \quad (18)$$

210

211

### 212 3. Discussion

213

214 In the given paper, we studied the nonlinear dynamics of large-scale electromagnetic  
 215 Rossby-Khantadze waves with zonal flows in E-ionospheric plasma. Both the latitudinal  
 216 inhomogeneities in angular velocity of the earth's rotation and the geomagnetic field are taken  
 217 into account. The inhomogeneity of the magnetic field with latitude is responsible for coupled  
 218 and Rossby-Khantadze waves. Such coupling results in an appearance of dispersion of  
 219 Khantadze waves. To derive the nonlinear modified KdV we particularly, used the weakly  
 220 nonlinear perturbation and multiple scale approaches. From the lowest order of  $O(\varepsilon^0)$ , we get  
 221 an eigen value problem with constant eigen value  $c_0$  alongwith boundary conditions. The  
 222 parameters  $p(y)$  and  $\alpha(y)$  have dependent on the variable  $y$ , it is not easy to solve this eigen



223 problem analytically. From the next order  $O(\varepsilon^1)$ , by using separation of variables techniques  
224 and after doing some mathematical manipulations we get modified KdV equation of one  
225 dimension. The derived quantity  $\sqrt{\frac{6c}{N}}$  describes the amplitude of solitary waves. The obtained  
226 coefficients  $N$  and  $D$  depend on spatial inhomogeneous Coriolis force  $\alpha(y)$  and background  
227 magnetic field  $\beta(y)$ , respectively. The parameter  $\sqrt{\frac{6c}{N}}$  obtained above describes the amplitude  
228 of obtained such Rossby-Khantadze waves.

#### 230 AUTHOR DECLARATIONS:

231

##### 232 Conflict of Interest

233 The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

234

##### 235 Data Availability

236 The data that support the findings of this study are available within the article.

237

238

#### 239 References

240

241 [1] Pedlosky J., Geophysical Fluid Dynamics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.

242 [2] Satoh M., Atmospheric Circulation Dynamics and General Circulation Models,

243 Springer, New York, 2004

244 [3] Champeaux S. & Diamond P.H., Streamer and zonal flow generation from envelope  
245 modulations in drift wave turbulence, Phys. Lett. A **288**, 214–219, 2001.

246 [4] Shukla P.K., & Stenflo L., Generation of zonal flows by Rossby waves, Phys. Lett. A,  
247 **307**, 154–157, 2003.

248 [5] Onishchenko O.G., Pokhotelov O.A., Sagdeev R.Z., Shukla P.K., & Stenflo L., Gen-  
249 eration of zonal flows by Rossby waves in the atmosphere, Nonlinear Process. Geophys. **11**,  
250 241–244, 2004.

251 [6] Kaladze T.D., Wu D.J., Pokhotelov O.A., Sagdeev R.Z., Stenflo L., & Shukla P.K.,  
252 Rossby-wave driven zonal flows in the ionospheric E-layer, J. Plasma Phys. **73**, 131–140,  
253 2007.

254 [7] Kaladze T.D., Pokhotelov O.A., Stenflo L., Rogava J., Tsamalashvili L.V., & Tsik-  
255 Lauri M., Zonal flow interaction with Rossby waves in the Earth's atmosphere: a  
256 numerical simulation, Phys. Lett. A **372**, 5177–5180, 2008.

257 [8] Benkadda S., Klochkov D.N., Popel S.I., & Izvekova Yu.N., Nonlinear excitation of  
258 zonal flows and streamers in plasmas, Phys. Plasmas **18**, 052306, 2011.

259 [9] Kaladze T., Kahlon L., Horton W., Pokhotelov O., & Onishchenko O., Shear flow driven  
260 Rossby-Khantadze electromagnetic planetary vortices in the ionospheric E-layer, Europhys.  
261 Lett. **106**, 29001, 2014.

262 [10] Kaladze T., Magnetized Rossby waves in the Earth's ionosphere, Plasma Phys.  
263 Rep. **25** (4), 284–287, 1999.

264 [11] Futatani S., Horton W., Kahlon L.Z., & Kaladze T.D., Rossby-Khantadze  
265 electromagnetic planetary waves driven by sheared zonal winds in the E-layer ionosphere,  
266 Phys. Plasmas **22**, 012906, 2015.

267 [12] Qiang Z., Zuntao F., & Shikuo L., Equatorial envelope Rossby solitons in a  
268 shear flow, Adv. Atmos. Sci. **18**, 418–428, 2001.

269 [13] Bostrom R., Observations of weak double layers on auroral field lines, IEEE  
270 Trans. Plasma Sci. **20**, 756–763, 1992.

271 [14] Lindqvist P.A., Marklund G.T., & Blomberg L.G., Plasma characteristics determined



- 272 by the Freja electric field instrument, *Space Sci. Rev.* **70**, 593–602, 1994.  
273 [15] Dovner P.O., Eriksson A.I., Bostrom R., Holback B., Freja multiprobe observations  
274 of electrostatic solitary structures, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **21**, 1827–1830, 1994.  
275 [16] Kaladze T., Tsamalashvili L., Kaladze D., Ozcan O., Yesil A., & Inc M., Modified KdV  
276 equation for magnetized Rossby waves in a zonal flow of the ionospheric E-layer, *Physics*  
277 *Letter A*, **383**, 125888, 2009.  
278 [17] Jian S., & Lian-Gui Y., Modified KdV equation for solitary Rossby waves with  $\beta$   
279 effect in barotropic fluids, *Chin. Phys. B* **18** (7) 2873–2877, 2009.  
280 [18] Shi Y., Yang D., Feng X., Qi J., Yang H. and Yin B., One possible mechanism for eddy  
281 distribution in zonal current with meridional shear, *Scientific Reports*, **8**, 10106, 2018,  
282 DOI:10.1038/s41598-018-28465-z.  
283  
284  
285