



Hilbert problems for the geosciences in the 21st century – 20 years later

Michael Ghil^{1,2}

¹Ecole Normale Supérieure and PSL University, Paris, France
 ² University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA
 Correspondence to: M. Ghil(ghil@atmos.ucla.edu)

Abstract. The scientific problems posed by the Earth's atmosphere, oceans, cryosphere — along with the land surface and biota that interact with them — are central to major socio-economic and political concerns in the 21st century. It is natural, therefore, that a certain impatience should prevail in attempting to solve these problems. The point of a review paper published in this journal in 2001 was that one should proceed with all diligence, but not excessive haste: "festina lente," i.e. "hurry in

5 a measured way." The earlier paper traced the necessary progress through the solutions of ten problems, starting with "What can we predict beyond one week, for how long, and by what methods?" and ending with "Can we achieve enlightened climate control of our planet by the end of the century?"

A unified framework was proposed to deal with these problems in succession, from the shortest to the longest time scale, i.e. from weeks to centuries and millennia. The framework is that of dynamical systems theory, with an emphasis on successive

10 bifurcations and the ergodic theory of nonlinear systems, on the one hand, and on pursuing this approach across a hierarchy of climate models, from the simplest, highly idealized ones, to the most detailed ones. Here, we revisit some of these problems, 20 years later,¹ and extend the framework to coupled climate-economics modeling.

1 Introduction and motivation

In order to assess to what extent and in which ways we are modifying our global environment, it is essential to understand how
this environment functions. In the past two decades, it has become abundantly clear that we do affect the climate system, both globally and locally (IPCC, 1990, 2001, 2007, 2014a), but many of the uncertainties and missing details are still with us.

We take, therefore, herein a planetary view of the Earth's climate system, of the pieces it contains, and of the way these pieces interact. This will allow us to eventually understand, predict with confidence and with known error margins, and ultimately exert some rational control on the individual pieces, and thus on the whole of such a complex system.

20

An important extension of the dynamical-systems and model hierarchy framework of Ghil (2001) was carried out during the past two decades by several research groups, from deterministically autonomous to nonautononomous and random dynamical systems (NDS and RDS: Ghil et al., 2008; Chekroun et al., 2011; Bódai and Tél, 2012). This framework allows one to deal in a self-consistent way with the increasing role of time-dependent forcing applied to the Earth system by humanity, as well

¹With an obvious nod to "Vingt ans après," the sequel of Alexandre Dumas to his "Three Musketeers."

episodic and oscillatory description?





as by natural processes, such as solar variability and volcanic eruptions. Ghil (2019, Sec. 5.3) and Ghil and Lucarini (2020, Sec. IV.E) provided recently a fairly complete review of these advances and we shall thus mention them herein only in passing. The ten problems proposed in Ghil (2001) to achieve this goal were:

- 1. What is the coarse-grained structure of low-frequency atmospheric variability, and what is the connection between its
- 5
- 2. What can we predict beyond one week, for how long, and by what methods?
- 3. What are the respective roles of intrinsic ocean variability, coupled ocean-atmosphere modes, and atmospheric forcing in seasonal-to-interannual variability?
- 4. What are the implications of the answer to the previous problem for climate prediction on this time scale?
- 10 5. How does the oceans' thermohaline circulation change on interdecadal and longer time scales, and what is the role of the atmosphere and sea ice in such changes?
 - 6. What is the role of chemical cycles and biological changes in affecting climate on slow time scales, and how are they affected, in turn, by climate variations?
 - 7. Does the answer to the question above give us some trigger points for climate control?
- 15 8. What can we learn about these problems from the atmospheres and oceans of other planets and their satellites?
 - 9. Given the answer to the questions so far, what is the role of humans in modifying the climate?
 - 10. Can we achieve enlightened climate control of our planet by the end of the century?

These problems were listed in increasing order of time scale, from the shortest to the longest one, i.e. from weeks to centuries and millennia. Ghil (2001) emphasized the fact that, in mathematics, clearly formulated problems can be given fully
satisfactory solutions. Thus, in his "Lecture delivered before the International Congress of Mathematicians at Paris in 1900," David Hilbert² proposed ten problems, whose number was increased to 23 in a subsequent publication (Hilbert, 1900). In fact, of the properly formulated Hilbert problems, the ten problems {3,7,10,11,13,14,17,19,20,21} have a resolution that is accepted by a general consensus of the mathematical community. On the other hand, the seven problems {1,2,5,9,15,18,22}

25

That leaves problems 8 (the Riemann hypothesis), 12 and 16 unresolved, while 4 and 23 were too vaguely formulated to ever be described as solved. Problem 6 is of particular interest to us here. Its overall heading (Hilbert, 1900) is "Mathematical treatment of the axioms of physics," meaning that one should treat them in the same way as the "foundations of geometry." This problem has been interpreted as having two subproblems: (a) an axiomatic treatment of probability that will yield limit

have solutions for which it is only partially accepted whether they actually do resolve the corresponding problem.

²The author of this paper is a great-great-grandson of D.H., through the sequence M.G.–P.D. Lax–K.O. Friedrichs–R. Courant–D. H., cf. https://www.genealogy.math.ndsu.nodak.edu/id.php?id=33687, but that is where any similarity or proximity stops.





theorems for the foundation of statistical physics; and (b) a rigorous theory of limiting processes "which lead from the atomistic view to the laws of motion of continua," e.g. from Boltzmann's equations of statistical mechanics to the partial differential equations of continuous media. The mathematical community considers that the axiomatic formulation of probability theory by Kolmogoroff (1933, reissued in 2019) is an entirely satisfactory solution of part (a), although alternative formulations do exist; part (b) is work in progress.

5

To the contrary, problems in the physical sciences — let alone in the life sciences or socio-economic sciences — cannot be "solved" in general to everybody's satisfaction in finite time. Apparently, though, social media do entertain the notion of "Hilbert Problems for Social Justice Warriors," whatever that may mean.

The ten original problems of Ghil (2001) could easily be completemented with 13 more and the unanswered problems of the climate sciences would still be far from exhausted. We illustrate instead in the rest of this paper how the attempts to solve 10 four of the ten problems above — Problems 1, 2, 3 and 10 — have fared over the intervening two decades and do so quite succintly. Sections 2 and 3 deal, respectively, with Problems 1 and 2, and Problem 3. Sections 4 and 5, in turn, address two complementary aspects of Problem 10: the climate and coupling part vs. the economic part. Concluding remarks follow in Sec. 6 and Appendix A provides some technical details on the results concerning fluctuation-dissipation in macroeconomics.

2 Problems 1 & 2. Low-frequency atmospheric variability and medium-range forecasting 15

In the climate sciences, like in all the sciences, terms like "low-frequency" and "long-term" have to be defined quantitatively. The dominant frequency band in midlatitude day-to-day weather is the so-called synoptic frequency of the evolution of extratropical weather systems, which corresponds to periodicities of 5-10 days. Thus, for the atmosphere, low-frequency variability (LFV) and medium-range forecasting refer to time intervals longer than 10 days.

As recently mentioned in Ghil et al. (2018) and Ghil and Lucarini (2020), it was John von Neumann's (1903–1957), at the 20 very beginnings of climate dynamics, who made an important distinction (Von Neumann, 1960) between weather and climate prediction. To wit, short-term numerical weather prediction (NWP) is the easiest form of prediction — i.e., it is a pure initialvalue problem; long-term climate prediction is next easiest — it corresponds to studying the system's asymptotic behavior; while intermediate-term prediction is hardest — both initial and boundary values are important. In this case, the boundary values refer mainly to the boundary conditions at the air-sea and air-land interfaces. 25

Essentially, the first of the three problems above corresponds to E. N. Lorenz's predictability of the first kind, while the second one corresponds to his predictability of the second kind (Lorenz, 1967; Peixoto and Oort, 1992). It is the intermediateterm prediction that requires going beyond the initial-value problem but without reaching all the way to a statistical equilibrium for very long times. It is this problem that requires a unified treatment of slower climate change in the presence of faster climate

30 variability and we return to it in Secs. 3 and 4.

Concerning the study of atmospheric LFV and medium-range forecasting, Ghil (2001) had little to say about them at the time. Both areas of inquiry, though, have taken huge strides over the last two or three decades (e.g., Kalnay, 2003; Palmer, 2017): the weather forecast for planning one's holidays at the beach or in the mountains next week has become considerably





5

more reliable. Still, a key issue associated with Problem 1 was formulated by Ghil and Robertson (2002), namely whether it is the "wave" point of view or the "particle" one that is more helpful in observing, describing and predicting LFV. To wit, is it (i) oscillatory modes with periods of 30 days and longer, namely the waves, or (ii) persistent anomalies with durations of 10 days or longer and the Markov chains of transitions between more or less persistent regimes, namely the particles, that are more interesting and useful in coming to grips with medium-range forecasting?



Figure 1. Schematic overview of atmospheric LFV mechanisms. Reproduced from Ghil et al. (2018) with permission.

Ghil et al. (2018) have reformulated this problem more completely in Fig. 1. Here the diagram (a) represents Markov chains between two or more flow regimes with distinct spatial patterns and stability properties, such as blocked (B) and zonal (Z)(Charney and DeVore, 1979, and references therein) or Pacific-North-American (PNA), Reverse PNA (RNA) and the blocked phase of the North Atlantic Oscillation (BNAO) (Kimoto and Ghil, 1993a; Smyth et al., 1999).

10

Diagram (b) in the figure is associated with the idea of oscillatory instabilities of one or more of the multiple fixed points that can play the role of regime centroids. Thus, Legras and Ghil (1985) found a 40-day oscillation due to a Hopf bifurcation off their blocked regime B, while Z_1 and Z_2 in their model were generalized saddles that both had zonal-flow patterns. An ambiguity arises, though, between this point of view and a complementary possibility, namely that the regimes are just slow phases of such an oscillation, caused itself by the interaction of the mid-latitude jet with topography Thus, Kimoto and Ghil

15 (1993b) found, in their observational data, closed paths within a Markov chain whose states resemble well-known phases of an intraseasonal oscillation. Furthermore, multiple regimes and intraseasonal oscillations can coexist in a two-layer model on the sphere within the scenario of "chaotic itinerancy" (Itoh and Kimoto, 1997).

Diagram (c) in Fig. 1 is a sketch the linear point of view that persistent anomalies in mid-latitude atmospheric flows on 10-100-day time scales are just due to the slowing down of Rossby waves or to their linear interference (Lindzen, 1986). An

interesting extension of this approach into the nonlinear realm is due to N. Nakamura and associates (Nakamura and Huang, 20 2018; Paradise et al., 2019). The traffic jam analogy for blocking in this work is somewhat similar to the hydraulic jump analogy of C. G. Rossby and collaborators (1939); see also Malone et al. (1951/1955/2016, p. 432).





Finally, diagram (d) corresponds to the effects of stochastic perturbations on any of the (a)–(c) scenarios (Hasselmann, 1976; Kondrashov et al., 2006; Palmer and Williams, 2009).

Recently, Lucarini and Gritsun (2020) made an interesting step in reconciling scenarios (a) and (b) in the figure. These authors used a fairly realistic, three-level quasi-geostrophic (QG3) model (Marshall and Molteni, 1993; Kondrashov et al., 2006) to study blocking events through the lens of unstable periodic orbits (UPOs: Cvitanović and Eckhardt, 1989; Gilmore, 1998). UPOs are natural modes of variability that populate densely a chaotic system's attractor. Lucarini and Gritsun (2020)

5

10

1998). UPOs are natural modes of variability that populate densely a chaotic system's attractor. Lucarini and Gritsun (2020) found that blockings occur when the system's trajectory is in the neighborhood of a specific class of UPOs.

The UPOs that correspond to blockings in the QG3 model are more unstable than the UPOs associated with zonal flow; thus blockings are associated with anomalously unstable atmospheric states, as suggested theoretically by Legras and Ghil (1985) and confirmed experimentally in a rotating annulus with bottom topography by Weeks et al. (1997); see also Ghil and Childress

(1987/2012, Ch. 6). Different regimes (particles) may be associated with different bundles of UPOs (waves). Given this perspective on atmospheric LFV, what can be said about the predictability of flow features in the 10–100-day window, between the limit of detailed, deterministic predictability, on the one hand (e.g., Lorenz, 1969), and the large changes induced in the atmospheric circulation by the march of seasons, on the other? Clearly, the occurrence of certain flow patterns

15 that are more frequently observed, and thus associated with clusters or regimes, should be more predictable. The relative success of Markov chains in describing the transitions between qualitatively different regimes is consistent with the results of Lucarini and Gritsun (2020).

Ghil et al. (2018) have carried out a detailed review of many studies on what used to be called intraseasonal atmospheric variability and is being called more recently subseasonal-to-seasonal (S2S) variability. They concluded that the number and

20 variety of methods that have been used to identify and describe LFV regimes are leading up to a tentative consensus on their existence, robustness, and characteristics. S2S forecasting has become operationally viable and is under intensive investigation (e.g., Robertson and Vitart, 2018, and references therein).

3 Problem 3. Oceanic interannual variability

A remarkable feature of human nature is tending to always put the blame elsewhere than at one's own doorstep. Thus meteorologists tended to blame sea surface temperatures (SSTs) for changes in atmospheric circulation on S2S time scale and longer, while oceanographers blamed changes in the wind stress for such changes in the upper ocean. It is more judicious, though, to ask "What are the respective roles of intrinsic ocean variability, coupled ocean-atmosphere modes, and atmospheric forcing in seasonal-to-interannual variability?" — as done in Problem 3 of Ghil (2001).

The difference between the two approaches is largely one between linear thinking, in which changes in a system at frequen-30 cies not present in its free modes have to be due to external agencies, and nonlinear thinking, in which combination tones and other more complex spectral features may be present. Moreover, interactions between subsystems and between any subsystem and time-dependent forcing can be much richer in a nonlinear world. We will briefly sketch here the evolution of the latter point of view in the study of oceanic interannual variability.





A paradigmatic example of how complex intrinsic LFV can arise in the ocean circulation is the so-called double-gyre problem (e.g., Ghil et al., 2008; Ghil, 2017). Note that the synoptic time scale in the oceans is associated with the oceanic counterpart of "weather" — i.e., with the lifetime of so-called mesoscale eddies — and it is of months rather than a week or two (Gill, 1982; Pedlosky, 1996). Hence LFV in the ocean corresponds to several years rather than to one-to-three months.

5

Veronis (1963) already obtained bistability of steady solutions in a single-gyre configuration, as well as a stable limit cycle for time-independent wind stress. Jiang et al. (1995) studied the successive-bifurcation tree all the way to chaotic solutions in a double-gyre model with steady, time-independent forcing. The periodic solutions they obtained were pluriannual, had the characteristics of relaxation oscillations, and were termed gyre modes because of the strong vortices they exhibited on either side of the separation of the model's eastward jet from the western boundary (Dijkstra and Ghil, 2005).

Pierini et al. (2016, 2018) applied to simplified double-gyre models the previously mentioned NDS theory. These authors found that, even in the presence of time-dependent forcing and of a unique global pullback attractor (PBA), two local PBAs with very different stability properties can coexist and that their mutual boundary appears to be fractal; see Fig. 2 here and the more detailed explanations in Ghil (2019, Fig. 12). Ghil (2017) and Ghil and Lucarini (2020) reviewed both the fundamental ideas of NDS and RDS theory and their applications to climate problems; hence little more will be said herein on these topics.



Figure 2. Numerical evidence for the existence of two local PBAs in the wind-driven mid-latitude ocean circulation. Plotted is a mean normalized distance Δ for 15 000 trajectories of the double-gyre ocean model of Pierini et al. (2016, 2018); the cold colors correspond to very quiescent behavior, while the warm colors are associated with unstable, chaotic motion on the PBA. The parameter values in the two panels are, respectively, subcritical and supercritical in the autonomous version of the model with respect to the homoclinic bifurcation that gives rise to relaxation oscillations in the latter: (a) $\gamma = 0.96$ and (b) $\gamma = 1.1$. Reproduced from Pierini et al. (2016). ©American Meteorological Society; used with permission.

Feliks et al. (2004, 2007) showed that a narrow and sufficiently strong SST front with the 7-year periodicity of the oceanic gyre modes could give rise to a similar near-periodicity in the atmospheric jet stream above the oceanic eastward jet, provided



5



the resolution of the atmospheric model was sufficiently high; see also Minobe et al. (2008). Groth et al. (2017) studied reanalysis fields for both ocean and atmosphere over the North Atlantic basin and adjacent land areas (25 N–65 N, 80 W– 0); they found their results to be in good agreement with the dominant 7-8-year periodicity of the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) being due to the barotropic gyre modes' intrinsic periodicity. The agreement with the alternative theory of the turbulent oscillator (Berloff et al., 2007) playing a key role in the NAO was less evident, since the latter depends in an essential way on strong baroclinic activity and has a much broader spectral peak that does not emphasize the NAO's 7-8-yr peak.

On the other hand, Vannitsem et al. (2015) investigated oceanic LFV in a coupled ocean–atmosphere model with a total of 36 Fourier modes. Their results included stable decadal-scale periodic orbits with a strong atmospheric component, as well as chaotic solutions that were still dominated by the decadal behavior. Projecting atmospheric and oceanic reanalysis data sets

10 onto the leading modes of the Vannitsem et al. (2015) model, Vannitsem and Ghil (2017) confirmed that a dominant LFV signal with a 25–30-year period (Timmermann et al., 1998; Frankcombe and Dijkstra, 2011) is a common mode of variability of the atmosphere and oceans.

Clearly, the separation between the wind-driven circulation addressed by Problem 3 and the buoyancy-driven circulation addressed by Problem 5 is rather a matter of convenience: a water particle in the oceans is affected by both types of forces.

- 15 Moreover, recently, Cessi (2019, and references therein) have argued that the meridional overturning is actually powered by momentum fluxes and not by buoyancy fluxes. This argument is not quite generally accepted; see, for instance, Tailleux (2010). Given the lack of consensus in the matter, the thermohaline circulation of Problem 5 is termed increasingly the oceans' meridional overturning circulation, thus avoiding a definite attribution of its physical causes.
- In the studies of atmospheric, oceanic and coupled variability of the climate system, considerable progress has been made in applying dynamical systems theory and, in particular, bifurcation theory to models subject to time-dependent forcing (Alkhayuon et al., 2019) or further towards the high end (Rahmstorf et al., 2005; Hawkins et al., 2011) of the model hierarchy proposed by Schneider and Dickinson (1974), Ghil (2001) and Held (2005), among others.

4 Problem 10A. Climate change and its control: Integrated thinking

4.1 Background

- 25 Much more has been done on this ultimate problem over the last two decades than over the two previous ones. First of all, it has become obvious that we cannot wait till the end of the century to achieve control over the climate. Some combination of reduction of greenhous gas emissions, increase of capture and sequestration, and a variety of adaptation and mitigation strategies has to be implemented to avoid the most dire consequences (Stern, 2007; Nordhaus, 2013; IPCC, 2014b). Large uncertainties, however, remain and have to be taken into account in the decision processes leading to near-optimal and affordable strategies, as well as in the implementation thereof.
- 30 as well as in the implementation thereof.

Before addressing these issues, it is worth mentioning that important strides have been taken in the field of detection and attribution of individual events to climate change (Stone and Allen, 2005; Hannart et al., 2016). Given the substantial impact of extreme events on human life and socio-economic well being (e.g., Ghil et al., 2011; Chavez et al., 2015; Lucarini et al.,



5

10



2016), an important step in achieving greater rigor in this field is a greater reliance on the counterfactual theory for necessary and sufficient causation (Pearl, 2009) in the attribution of such extreme events.

Returning forthwith to the issues of near-optimal control of climate change, it is important to realize that what needs to be controlled is not just the global-and-annual mean surface air temperature \overline{T} , as originally studied in the Charney et al. (1979) report. Some progress has been made in proceeding from the thinking in Fig. 3(a) through Fig. 3(b) and on to Fig. 3(c). The case of panel (b) in the figure can be thought of as an idealized climate system in which the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) would be perfectly periodic, rather than having an irregular, 2–7-year periodicity, with additional periodicities and chaotic

components present, as in panel (c). Recently, increasing attention has been paid by high-end modelers to the difficulties posed by the presence of internal variability in the climate system and by this variability's imperfect simulation in attempts at predicting future climates on multidecadal time scales (e.g., Deser et al., 2020, and references therein).



Figure 3. Climate sensitivity (a) for an equilibrium model; (b) for a non-equilibrium, oscillatory model; and (c) for a non-equilibrium, chaotic model, including possibly random perturbations. As a forcing (atmospheric CO_2 concentration, say, dash-dotted line) changes suddenly, global temperature (light solid) undergoes a transition: in panel (a) only the mean temperature changes; in panel (b) the mean adjusts, as it does in panel (a), but the period, amplitude and phase of the oscillation can also decrease, increase or stay the same, while in panel (c) the entire intrinsic variability changes as well, including the distribution of extreme events. From Ghil (2017), with permission from the American Institute of Mathematical Sciences.

Concerning ENSO's distribution of extreme events, Ghil and Zaliapin (2015) investigated its dependence in an idealized delay differential equation (DDE) model on several model parameters. They also found that plotting the model's PBA with respect to the seasonally periodic forcing provided a much better understanding of the role of the seasonal cycle in the model.

Chekroun et al. (2018) found that parameter dependence in such a DDE model can lead to a critical transition between two

15

types of chaotic behavior, which differ substantially in their distribution of extreme events. This contrast is clearly apparent in Fig. 4 and it illustrates the types of nonequilibrium climate changes suggested by Fig. 3(c) above.

The changes in the invariant, time-dependent measure μ_t supported on this ENSO model's PBA are plotted in panels (a)–(c), as a function of the control parameter *a*. The change in the PBA is clearly associated with the population lying towards the ends of the elongated filaments apparent in the figure. This population represents large warm, El Niño and cold, La Niña events.



5

10





Figure 4. Critical transition in extreme-event distribution in an idealized ENSO model: the invariant, time-dependent measure μ_t supported on the PBA of the ENSO DDE model of Tziperman et al. (1994) is plotted here via its embedding into the (h(t), h(t+1))-plane for $a = (1.12 + \delta))/180$, $t \simeq 147.64$ yr and, respectively, (a) $\delta = 0.0$; and (b) $\delta = 0.01500$; and (c) $\delta = 0.015707$. The red curves in the three panels represent the singular support of the measure. After Chekroun et al. (2018), with permission.

The PBA experiences a critical transition at a value a_* ; here h(t) is the thermocline depth anomaly from seasonal depth values at the domain's eastern boundary, with t in years, $a = (1.12 + \delta))/180$ and $0.015700 < \delta_* < 0.015707$. Thus, $\mu_t(a)$ encripts faithfully the disappearance of such extreme events as $a \nearrow a_*$. Adding stochastic perturbations to the model can smooth out the transition, which might make it less drastic in high-end models as well as in observations. Again the study of the model's PBA greatly facilitates the understanding of the processes involved.

4.2 Integrated assessment models (IAMs)

So-called integrated assessment models (IAMs) have been so far the main tool to assess the future impact of climate change on the global economy and, even more ambitiously, on one or more regional ones (e.g., Stern, 2007; Nordhaus, 2014; Clarke et al., 2014; IPCC, 2014b; Hughes, 2019). The main purpose of IAMs is to provide reasoned, scientific input into major socioeconomic and political decisions that will affect both the present and future generations of humanity, as well as planet Earth as a whole. In doing so, IAMs attempt to weigh the cost and effectiveness of competing or complementary adaptation and mitigation measures by applying various methods of cost–benefit analysis (Clarke et al., 2014; IPCC, 2014b; Hughes, 2019) and decision theory (e.g., Barnett et al., 2020, and references therein).

- IAMs attempt to link major features of economy and society with the climate system and biosphere into one modelling framework, a lofty purpose that clearly has to overcome major obstacles. Some of the latter have to do with the complexity of the coupled system's distinct components, others with the different cultures and research styles of the scientific communities involved. Finally, the data sets necessary to estimate model parameters are short, incomplete and often rather inaccurate. The United Nation's Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has dedicated substantial efforts over the last three decades to overcoming these various obstacles (IPCC, 1990, 2001, 2007, 2014a, b).
- Mostly, IAMs have used both climate and economic modules that were conceived in the spirit of Fig. 3(a), i.e., (i) of socalled equilibrium climate sensitivity (ECS), as studied by Charney et al. (1979) four decades ago, for the climate module; and (ii) of general equilibrium theory (Walras, 1874/1954; Pareto, 1919; Arrow and Debreu, 1954) going back to the late 19th





century, for the economic module. We have considered in Secs. 2 and 3 above how to formulate a more active climate module that might behave more like Figs. 3(b) or even 3(c), given changes in radiative forcing induced by anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases and aerosols. For illustration purposes, we will merely sketch a highly idealized counterpart of such behavior for the economic module of an IAM.

- General equilibrium theory is a cornerstone of today's mainstream economics, often referred to as neoclassical (Aspromourgos, 1986). This theory relies heavily on equilibrium in both the labor and product markets: prices of goods and wages of labor are assumed to be flexible and to adjust so as to achieve equilibrium in the product and labor markets at all times. As a result, it is possible to maximize an intergenerational utility functional, following the planning approach of Ramsey (1928). Moreover, the mean growth of the economy (Solow, 1956) is only perturbed by exogenous shocks that lead to random fluctuations
 reverting to a stable equilibrium, such as can be modeled by auto-regressive processes of order 1, called AR(1) processes.
- The economic modules of most IAMs used so far in the IPCC process (e.g., IPCC, 2014b, and references therein) rely on general equilibrium theory and its consequences. These IAMs differ largely by the values they prescribe for various parameters; among the latter, the most important one is the discount factor, which essentially gives the future value of a currency unit vs. its value today. Large differences among the value of this factor assumed in the work of Stern (2007) vs. that of Nordhaus (2014),
- 15 for instance, have lead to very different conclusions about mitigation policies recommended by these two authors. More generally, Wagner and Weitzman (2015, among others) have emphasized how uncertainty in the climate system's dynamics could create fat-tailed distributions of potential damages, while Pindyck (2013) and Morgan et al. (2017) find existing IAMs to be of little value in guiding prudent adaptation and mitigation policy. More radically, Davidson (1991) already questioned the extent to which certain types of economic uncertainties could be represented judiciously by probabilistic approaches,
- 20 as done routinely in the IAMs' estimation of utility functionals associated with the system's future trajectories. Farmer et al. (2015) have also emphasized the need for better uncertainty estimates, better accounting for technological change and for heterogeities in the coupled system, as well as for more realistic damage functions.

More specifically, Barnett et al. (2020) have recently emphasized that the uncertainties associated with assessing the future impact of climate change, and hence with devising adaptation and mitigation policies, go well beyond the well-known uncertainties in the discount factor and in other parameters of either the climate or the economic module of coupled models. They suggest three much broader types of uncertainties:

- (i) risk uncertainty within a model: uncertain outcomes with known probabilities;
- (i) ambiguity uncertainty across models: unknown weights for alternative possible models; and
- (i) *misspecification* uncertainty about models: unknown flaws of approximating models.
- 30 It is worth considering, in this context, uncertainties associated with the economic counterpart of natural or intrinsic variability in the climate system; such variability is called endogenous in the economic literature. Following a parallel line of reasoning, Hallegatte (2005) has argued for closed-loop climate–economy modeling, i.e., a two-way feedback interaction that also accounts for multiple time scales in both modules. We turn therewith to the economic part of the modeling and data analysis,





as highly pertinent to a truly integrated way of thinking about the Earth system, including the humans that affect it more and more, whatever the exact time at which the Anthropocene (Crutzen, 2006) might have started (Lewis and Maslin, 2015).

5 Problem 10B. Nonequilibrium economics, fluctuation-dissipation, and synchronization

5.1 Nonequilibrium economic models and a vulnerability paradox

- 5 There is no denying that, superimposed on overall global growth in economic activity, are ups and downs well known as recessions and upswings. These shorter-term variations may appear only as small wiggles on a long-term exponential tendency of economic indicators like gross domestic product (GDP) but they are quite severe in the individual experience of households, firms, countries and even the world as a whole. There are two rather distinct approaches to modeling these so-called business cycles: "real" business cycle (RBC) theory and endogenous business cycle (EnBC) theory. The "real" in RBC theory refers to
- 10 the fact that the theory explains macroeconomic fluctuations as the result of real productivity shocks and does not emphasize monetary or financial aspects of the economy. A good starting point for this literature is Brock and Mirman (1972).

RBC theory is closely tied to the mainstream economics approach (Kydland and Prescott, 1982), in which the expectations of households and firms are rational, supply equals demand, and there is no involuntary unemployment. In RBC models, the fluctuations are entirely due to external, exogenous shocks and the models' response to such shocks is purely via AR(1)

- 15 processes. This theory is adopted by a very large fraction of practicing economists, and many modifications to it have tried to bring it in closer agreement with the observed behavior of real economies (e.g. Hoover, 1992). One way this approach has been criticized is that it describes the world as it ought to be, rather than how it is, and considerable controversy still exists as to its explaining major aspects of observed macroeconomic fluctuations (e.g., Summers, 1997; Romer, 2011).
- In contradistinction, EnBC theory relies on a number of heterodox i.e., non-conformist economic ideas, most im-20 portantly on post-Keynesian economics (Kalecki, 1935; Keynes, 1936/2018; Malinvaud, 1977). EnBC theory acknowledges 20 up front at least some of the imperfections of real economies; in this theory, economic fluctuations are due to intrinsic pro-27 cesses that endogenously destabilize the economic system (Kalecki, 1935; Samuelson, 1939; Flaschel et al., 1997; Chiarella 28 et al., 2005). Even F. A. Hayek, a leading liberal, anti-Keynesian economist, had interesting disequilibrium ideas on the delay 29 between decision and implementation time in investments (Hayek, 1941/2007).
- At this point, it might be worth noting that, in equilibrium macroeconomic models, output is supply driven, while in nonequilibrium models it is demand driven, a feature that is inherited by the corresponding models that attempt to assess climate damage. An interesting recent example of the latter is the post-Keynesian DEFINE (Dynamic Ecosystem-FINance-Economy) model, which explicitly includes banks, in addition to firms and households (Dafermos et al., 2018).
- The Nonequilibrium Dynamic Model of Hallegatte et al. (2008). We present here concisely one particular EnBC model and
 the role that active economic dynamics may have in modifying the effect of natural hazards on such an economy (Hallegatte and Ghil, 2008). The non-equilibrium dynamical model (NEDyM) of Hallegatte et al. (2008) is a neoclassical model based on the Solow (1956) model, in which equilibrium constraints associated with goods and labor markets clearing are replaced by dynamic relationships that involve adjustment delays. The model has eight state variables which include production,







Figure 5. Bifurcation diagram of NEDyM, showing its transitions from equilibrium to purely periodic and on to chaotic behavior. The investment parameter α_{inv} is on the abscissa and the investment ratio Γ_{inv} on the ordinate. The model has a unique, stable equilibrium for low values of α_{inv} , with $\Gamma_{inv} \simeq 0.3$. A Hopf bifurcation occurs at $\alpha_{inv} \simeq 1.39$, leading to a limit cycle, followed by transition to chaos at $\alpha_{inv} \simeq 3.8$. The 'x' symbols indicate first the stable equilibrium and then the orbit's minima and maxima, while dots indicate the Poincaré intersections with the hyperplane H = 0, when the goods inventory H vanishes. Reproduced from Groth et al. (2015a) with permission.

capital, number of workers employed, wages and prices — and the evolution of these variables is modeled by a set of ordinary differential equations. For a brief summary of the model equations, please see Groth et al. (2015a, Appendix A); the parameters and their values are listed in Hallegatte et al. (2008, Table 3).

- NEDyM's main control parameter is the investment flexibility α_{inv} , which measures the adjustment speed of investments 5 in response to profitability signals. This parameter describes how rapidly investment can react to a profitability signal: if α_{inv} is very large, investment soars when profits are high and collapses when profits are small, while a small α_{inv} entails a much slower adjustment of the investment to the size of the profits. Introducing this parameter is equivalent to allocating an investment adjustment cost, as proposed by Kydland and Prescott (1982) and by Kimball (1995); these authors found that introducing adjustment costs and delays helps in matching key features of macroeconomic models to the data.
- In NEDyM, for small α_{inv} , i.e. slow adjustment, the model has a stable equilibrium, which was calibrated to the economic state of the European Union (EU-15) in 2001 (Eurostat, 2002). As the adjustment flexibility increases, this equilibrium loses its stability and undergoes a Hopf bifurcation, after which the model exhibits a stable periodic solution (Hallegatte et al., 2008).

Business cycles in NEDyM originate from the instability of the profit-investment feedback, which is quite similar to the Keynesian accelerator-multiplier effect. Furthermore, the cycles are constrained and limited in amplitude by the interplay of

15 three processes: (i) A reserve army of labor effect, namely labor costs increasing when the employment rate is high; (ii) the inertia of production capacity; and (iii) the consequent inflation in goods prices when demand increases too rapidly. The model's bifurcation diagram is shown in Fig. 5.







Figure 6. Endogenous limit cycle behavior of NEDyM for an investment flexibility of $\alpha_{inv} = 2.5$; for all other parameter values please see Hallegatte et al. (2008, Table 3). Reproduced from Groth et al. (2015a) with permission.

For somewhat greater investment flexibility, the model exhibits chaotic behavior, because a new constraint intervenes, namely limited investment capacity. In this chaotic regime, the cycles become quite irregular, with sharper recessions and recoveries of variable duration. In the present paper, we concentrate, for the sake of simplicity, on model behavior in the purely periodic regime, i.e. we have regular EnBCs, but no chaos. Such periodic behavior is illustrated in Fig. 6.

5

The NEDyM business cycle is consistent with many stylized facts described in the macroeconomic literature, such as the phasing of the distinct economic variables along the cycle, called co-movements in the economic literature. The model also reproduces the observed asymmetry of the cycle, with recessions that are much shorter than the expansions. This typical sawtooth shape of a business cycle is not well captured by RBC models, whose linear, auto-regressive character gives intrinsically symmetric behavior around the equilibrium. The amplitude of the price-wage oscillation, however, is too large in NEDyM, calling for a better calibration of the parameters and further refinements of the model. 10

In the setting of the 2008 economic and financial crisis, the banks' and other financial institutions' large losses clearly reduced access to credit; such a reduction obviously affects very strongly investment flexibility. The EnBC model can thus help explain how changes in α_{inv} can seriously perturb the behavior of the entire economic system, by either increasing or





decreasing the variability in macroeconomic variables, cf. Fig. 5. Moreover, these losses also lead to a reduction in aggregated demand that, in turn, can lead to a reduction in economic production and a full-scale recession.

Regime-dependent effect of climate shocks. The immediate damage caused by a natural disaster is typically augmented by the cost of reconstruction, a major concern when considering the disaster's socio-economic consequences. Reconstruction may

5 also lead, though, to an increase in productivity, by allowing for technical changes to be included in the reconstructed capital; technical changes can also sustain the demand and help economic recovery. Economic productivity may be reduced, however, during reconstruction because some vital sectors are not functional, and reconstruction investments crowd out investment into new production capacity (e.g., Hallegatte, 2016, and references therein).

In particular, Benson and Clay (2004, among others) have suggested that the overall cost of a natural disaster might depend on the preexisting economic situation. For instance, the Marmara earthquake in 1999 caused destructions that amounted to 1.5-3 % of Turkey's GDP; its cost in terms of production loss, however, is believed to have been fairly modest due to the fact that the country was experiencing a strong recession of -7 % of GDP in the year before the disaster (World Bank, 2003).

To study how the state of the economy may influence the consequences of natural disasters, Hallegatte and Ghil (2008) introduced into NEDyM the disaster-modeling scheme of Hallegatte et al. (2007), in which natural disasters destroy the pro-

15 ductive capital through a modified production function. Furthermore, to account for market frictions and constraints in the reconstruction process, the reconstruction expenditures are limited.

These authors showed that the transition from an equilibrium to a non-equilibrium regime can radically change the longterm response to exogenous shocks in an EnBC model. Idealized as it may be, NEDyM shows that the long-term effects of a sequence of extreme events depend upon the economy's behavior: a stable-equilibrium economy with very little flexibility

- or none ($\alpha_{inv} \lesssim 0.5$, cf. Fig. 5) is more vulnerable than a more flexible economy, albeit still at or near equilibrium (e.g., $\alpha_{inv} \simeq 1.0$). Clearly, if investment flexibility is null or very low, the economy is incapable of responding to the natural disasters through investment increases aimed at reconstruction; total production losses, therefore, are quite large. Such an economy behaves according to a pure Solow (1956) growth model, where the savings, and therefore the investment, ratio is constant; see Hallegatte and Ghil (2008, Table 1).
- 25

5 When investment can respond to profitability signals without destabilizing the economy, i.e. when α_{inv} is nonzero but still lower than the critical bifurcation value of $\alpha_{inv} \simeq 1.39$, the economy has greater freedom to improve its overall state and thus respond to productive capital influx. Such an economy is much more resilient to disasters, because it can adjust its level of investment in the disaster's aftermath.

If investment flexibility α_{inv} is larger than its Hopf bifurcation value, the economy undergoes periodic EnBCs and, along such a cycle, NEDyM passes through phases that differ in their stability. This in turn leads to a phase-dependent response to exogenous shocks and consequently to a phase-dependent vulnerability of the economic system, as illustrated in Fig. 7.

The vulnerability paradox. A key point we wish to make in our excursion into the economical aspects of Problem 10 is precisely this phase dependency of economic response to natural hazards.

In fact, Hallegatte and Ghil (2008) found an interesting vulnerability paradox: the indirect costs caused by extreme events during a growth phase of the economy are much higher than those that occur during a deep recession. Figure 7 illustrates this







Figure 7. Vulnerability paradox: the effect of a single natural disaster on an endogenous business cycle (EnBC). (a) The business cycle in terms of annual production, as a function of time, starting at the cycle minimum (time lag = 0). (b) Total production losses due to a disaster that instantaneously destroys 3 % of gross domestic product (GDP), shown as a function of the cycle phase in which the disaster occurs; phase measured as time lag with respect to cycle minimum. A disaster occurring near the cycle's minimum (blue vertical line in both panels) causes a limited indirect production loss (blue circle), while a disaster occurring during the expansion (red vertical line in both panels) leads to a much larger loss (concentric red circles). Courtesy of Andreas Groth.

paradox, by showing in panels (a) a typical business cycle and in panel (b) the corresponding losses for disasters hitting the economy in different phases of this cycle. The vertical lines in both panels, blue at the end of the recession and red in the expansion phase, highlight the paradox. Hallegatte (2016, Sec. 2.2) discussed further aspects of this paradox, and analogous considerations found in the much earlier work of Keynes (1936/2018).

5

Once noted in NEDyM behavior, this apparent paradox can be easily explained: disasters during high-growth periods enhance pre-existing disequilibria. Inventories are low and cannot compensate the reduced production; employment is high, and hiring more employees induces wage inflation; while the producer lacks financial resources to increase investment. The opposite holds during recessions, as mobilizing investment and labor is much easier (e.g., West and Lenze, 1994).

As a consequence, production losses due to disasters that occur during expansion phases are strongly amplified, while they 10 are reduced when the shocks occur during the recession phase. On average, however, (i) expansions last much longer than





recessions, in our NEDyM model as well as in reality; and (ii) amplification effects are larger than damping effects. It follows that the net effect of the cycle is strongly unfavorable to the economy, with an average production loss that is almost as large, for $\alpha_{inv} = 2.5$, as for $\alpha_{inv} = 0$; see again Hallegatte and Ghil (2008, Table 1).

5.2 Fluctuation-dissipation theory (FDT) and synchronization in the economic system

- 5 *The fluctuation–dissipation conjecture.* Beyond the obvious implications for disaster assessment, insurance and other practical issues treated by Hallegatte (2016, and references therein), the findings shown schematically in Fig. 7 suggest a theoretically intriguing connection with fluctuation–dissipation theory (FDT) in statistical mechanics. The FDT has its roots in the classical theory of many-particle systems in thermodynamic equilibrium. The idea goes back to Einstein (1905) and it is very simple: the system's return to equilibrium will be the same whether the perturbation that modified its state is due to a small external
- 10 force or to an internal, random fluctuation. The FDT thus relates natural and forced fluctuations of a system (e.g., Kubo, 1966); it is a cornerstone of statistical physics and has applications in many other areas (Marconi et al., 2008, and references therein). Ghil (2019) and Ghil and Lucarini (2020) have recently reviewed FDT applications in the climate sciences, in the classical form used for systems in equilibrium (Leith, 1975; Gritsun and Branstator, 2007), as well as in its more recent extensions to systems out of equilibrium based on Ruelle response theory (Lucarini, 2008; Ruelle, 2009; Lucarini and Gritsun, 2020).
- 15 The results above strongly suggest that the response to exogenous shocks of an economic system might differ from one phase of a business cycle to another. Hence, it is quite possible that the system's endogenous variability might also vary with the phase of a cycle that the system is in.

There is a long tradition of systematically analyzing cyclic behavior in economic data (Juglar, 1862; Kitchin, 1923; Burns and Mitchell, 1946). Yet there is no trace, as far as we could tell, of an investigation along the lines proposed herein. Hence,

20 Groth et al. (2015a, b) set out to study the U.S. macroeconomic data of the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) for 1954–2005 to evaluate the evidence for the FDT conjecture suggested by the results reviewed in Sec. 5.1 above. The nine macroeconomic indicators these authors used were GDP, investment, consumption, employment rate (in %), total wage, change in private inventories, price, exports, and imports; see http://www.bea.gov/.

The nine indicators were each separately detrended by a Hodrick and Prescott (1997) filter, normalized by the trend values, and then collectively analyzed by using a data-adaptive multichannel singular-spectrum analysis (M-SSA) filter; see Ghil et al. (2002), Alessio (2015, Ch. 12) and Groth et al. (2015a, Appendix B) for details. The statistical significance of the results was carefully tested against an AR(1) null hypothesis (Allen and Smith, 1996; Ghil et al., 2002) and they are illustrated in Fig. 8.

The nine detrended and normalized time series are shown in panel (a), with the leading-mode pair of the joint M-SSA analysis in panel (b). A simple counting of maxima and minima in panel (b) gives 10.5 cycles in 52 years, which agrees rather well with the NEDyM model's 5–6-year period, as well as with the National Bureau of Economic Research's (NBER's) count

30

of 11 cycles for the 65-year interval of 1945-2009, which yields an average period of 69 months = 5.75 years³.

In Fig. 8(c) is plotted the evolution in time of the local variance associated with all nine indicators, as measured over a sliding window of M = 24 quarters = 6 years. It is clear that the local variance of the fluctuations, as defined in Appendix A,

³https://www.nber.org/cycles/cyclesmain.html, consulted on April 6, 2020; pdf version dated April 23, 2012







Figure 8. US business cycles and the implied fluctuation-dissipation result. Time series of nine U.S. macroeconomic indicators, 1954–2005: (a) Normalized trend residuals; (b) data-adaptively filtered business cycles, captured by the leading oscillatory mode of M-SSA; and (c) local variance $V_{\mathcal{K}}(t)$ of the fluctuations. The shaded vertical bars indicate the NBER-defined recessions, cf. https://www.nber.org/cycles/ cyclesmain.html. Reproduced from Groth et al. (2015a) with permission.

is consistent with the FDT hypothesis, especially over the latter part of the BEA dataset; e.g., the local variance $V_{\mathcal{K}}(t)$ of the fluctuations during the NBER-defined recessions of July 1981 (16 months), July 1990 (8 months), and March 2001 (8 months) is at or very near to a minimum, while substantial local maxima of $V_{\mathcal{K}}(t)$ are attained during the expansions in between.

Synchronization of economic activity. Synchronization, known in the 1970s and 1980s as entrainment (Winfree, 1980/2001;
Ghil and Childress, 1987/2012), is a key feature of nonlinear oscillators that has been known since Christian Huyghens' experiment of 1665 in which two pendulum clocks with slightly different lengths synchronized. More recently, the synchronization of chaotic oscillators has become a topic of growing interest in the physical and biological sciences (e.g., Rosenblum et al., 1996; Boccaletti et al., 2002; Pikovsky et al., 2003).

Still, while the emergence of business cycle synchronization across countries has been widely acknowledged (Artis and 10 Zhang, 1997; Süssmuth, 2002; Kose et al., 2003) — especially in view of the ongoing globalization of economic activity







Figure 9. Leading mode of synchronized economic activity: world map of this mode's phase and amplitude relations. For each country, the relations among the variables' phase and amplitude are shown in a polar coordinate system, with the two-letter country code at the origin. The corresponding variable codes and colors of the pointers are given in the small compass inset at the lower left. Estimates for variables with missing values are indicated by transparent pointers. Phase differences are given with respect to US GDP in a clockwise manner; i.e., positive and negative values indicate a phase that leads or lags the US GDP, respectively. The land area of each country is proportional to its maximum amplitude over all of its variables. Reproduced from Groth and Ghil (2017) with permission.

— no agreement has emerged so far on basic issues like the quantification of comovements. Given the relative shortness of macroeconomic time series, efforts at applying advanced univariate analysis methods to them (e.g, de Carvalho et al., 2012; Sella et al., 2016) have provided interesting but not quite conclusive results.

To overcome the difficulties posed by the simultaneous analysis of a large number of time series, Groth and Ghil (2017)
applied a suitable modification of M-SSA (Groth and Ghil, 2011, 2015) to macroeconomic data from the World Development Indicators (WDI) database of the World Bank at http://databank.worldbank.org. The dataset extracted from the WDI database comprised five macroeconomic indicators for 104 countries: GDP, gross fixed capital formation (GDI, formerly gross domestic fixed investment), final consumption expenditure (CON), exports (EXP), and imports (IMP) of goods and services, with all variables in constant 2010 US\$. The data were only analyzed for the 46-year interval 1970–2015, for which at least one of the indicators chosen was available for each of the 104 economies selected. The main result of this analysis is shown in Fig. 9.

The leading mode in the figure has a rough periodicity of 7–11 years, it captures 73 % of the trend residual's variance, and it is statistically significant according to the stringent tests of Groth and Ghil (2011, 2015, 2017). A key ingredient in the tests





applied is the much larger number of data points used by the improved M-SSA methodology in examining not just GDP but a more complete set of indicators, with nine time series for Fig. 8 and five for Fig. 9.

The latter figure clearly illustrates the dominance of the U.S. economy over the 1970–2015 time interval, with the UK perfectly aligned on the U.S. indicators, while other European countries, including even the Russian Federation, lag somewhat

5

behind. Japan is also in very good alignment with the US, while China is in almost perfect phase opposition with it, while India and Indonesia follow the Chinese lead. More complicated lead-and-lag patterns are present in the much smaller economies of South America and Africa.

6 Concluding remarks

In this review, we have covered purely climate science problems in Secs. 2 and 3, while Secs. 4 and 5 were dedicated, respectively, to coupled climate-economy and purely economic problems. Section 2 dealt with Problems 1 and 2 of Ghil (2001) and it showed progress over the last two decades in bringing more advanced nonlinear methods to bear on the issues of atmospheric low-frequency variability (LFV), as well as progress in extended-range forecasting, especially in the subseasonal-to-seasonal (S2S) range. As clearly stated by Ghil (2001) and again in Sec. 1 herein, physical-sciences problems are less likely than the purely mathematical ones formulated by Hilbert (1900) to be solved to everybody's satisfaction: Fig. 1 still shows a rather
broad lack of consensus on the ultimate causes of atmospheric LFV.

In Sec. 3, we examined recent progress in the study of the oceans' wind-driven circulation. A key theme was studying the causes of interannual variability in the midlatitude double-gyre problem and its effect on the atmosphere above. One line of investigation dealt with providing substantial modeling and observational support to the idea that intrinsic ocean variability can have major effects on interannual atmosphetic variability, such as the North Atlantic Oscillation.

- 20 Another major point touched upon in this section was the use of the theory of nonautononomous and random dynamical systems (NDS and RDS) to treat, in a fully self-consistent way, time-dependent and possibly random forcing by the atmosphere of a dynamically active ocean. A noteworthy finding here is the possibility of multiple modes of behavior, both quiescent and chaotic, for a given set of parameter values, cf. Fig. 2. Finally, a 25–30-year mode of a truly coupled ocean–atmosphere model was discussed, and documented in both models and observations.
- In Sec. 4.1, we emphasized the efforts made over the last three decades to gain greater insight into the way that climate change will affect the life of humanity on Earth and, in particular, the world economy. Figure 3 emphasizes that change in climate bears not only on the mean temperatures, but also on the climate system's modes of variability and on the distribution of extreme events. Using here RDS theory is of the essence and has shown already that critical transitions between large and frequent El Niño events and much smaller ones are possible, cf. Fig. 4.
- 30 In Sec. 4.2, a quick introduction to integrated assessment models (IAMs) was provided, while emphasizing the equilibriumbased approach in both their climate and economic modules. The very high sensitivity to parameter values of this type of IAMs has led to rather contradictory results and, therewith, to quite opposite policy recommendations. Section 4 ends with suggesting a broader view of uncertainties than considered heretofore in studying climate change impacts on the world economy.





Section 5 addressed economic aspects of Problem 10, while emphasizing nonequilibrium approaches. We first presented for the geoscientific readership the difference between the real business cycle (RBC) approach, based on general equilibrium theory, and the endogenous business cycle (EnBC) approach, which acknowledges the possibility of imperfect expectations and of the goods and labor markets not clearing, as well as the existence and persistence of involuntary unemployment.

In the latter spirit, we introduced in Sec. 5.1 a highly idealized Nonequilibrium Dynamic Model (NEDyM) and showed, in Fig. 5, its bifurcation sequence, first from equilibrium to purely periodic EnBCs and on to chaotic behavior. In particular, the model exhibits relaxation oscillations with realistically fast contractions and slow expansions; e.g., the mean duration of the U.S. economy's contractions for the post-WWII interval 1945–2009, with 11 cycles, was of 11.1 months, while expansions lasted on average 58.4 months⁴. Such sawtooth behavior cannot be captured by RBC models, in which shocks regress to the mean in AR(1) fashion, independently of the sign of the shock.

The existence of endogenous variability gives rise to a vulnerability paradox, illustrated in Fig. 7, with an exogenous shock producing higher losses during an expansion than during a recession. This asymmetry in response, when integrated over several cycles, produces a net effect that differs from that shown by IAMs based of general equilibrium theory and the so-called Ramsey (1928) planners deduced from that theory.

- In Sec. 5.2, we turned to the fluctuation–dissipation conjecture suggested by the above vulnerability paradox. To wit, internal, endogenous fluctuations are likely to change in variance with the phase of the business cycle in the same way as the exogenously driven ones, i.e., larger "volatility" can be expected during expansions than during contractions of the economy. This conjecture was clearly confirmed by the results reproduced in Fig. 8 of an investigation into U.S. macroeconomic indicators.
- The FDT result captured by Figs. 7 and 8 may hold great promise for the study of an economic entity's sensitivity to 20 environmental, as well as to economic, political or financial shocks. In general, the usefulness of such a result (Kubo, 1966; Leith, 1975) lies in the fact that one has a much longer record of internal fluctuations than of responses to shocks; see also Ghil (2019, Sec. 5.2) and Ghil and Lucarini (2020, Sec. IV.E). Thus the response to the latter — e.g., the decay time of an exogenous shock's effect on the system — can be determined from the system's typical lag-autocorrelation time.
- The vulnerability paradox of Fig. 7 thus led us to the FDT conjecture and its very careful but still tentative verification with U.S. macroeconomic data in Fig. 8. NEDyM, though, is but a highly idealized, aggregate macroeconomic model. It would, therefore, be highly desirable to see such FDT results be reproduced in much more detailed, agent-based models (e.g., Epstein and Axtell, 1996; Bouchaud, 2013; Mazzoli et al., 2019, and references therein). Likewise, producing figures along the lines of Fig. 8 herein with other methods and other datasets would help invalidate, cf. Popper (2005), the present conclusions or, to the contrary, show some consistency with them.
- 30

Section 5 concluded by reviewing a query into the existence of a worldwide synchronization of economic activity, resulting in a positive conclusion, cf. Fig. 9 herein. Synchronization, though, depends sensitively on the coupling parameters between chaotic oscillators (e.g., Colon and Ghil, 2017; Duane et al., 2017, and references therein). It would be quite interesting to investigate the possibility of significant perturbations in world economic productivity due not only to physical losses of

⁴https://www.nber.org/cycles/cyclesmain.html, consulted on April 6, 2020; pdf version dated April 23, 2012





productivity arising from calamitous physical effects but also due to the more subtle losses possibly arising from changes in the world economic activity's synchronization.

Finally, significant steps have been taken to achieve insights into an even broader system, beyond climate and the economy, to encompass sociological aspects of the climate change and control problem as well (e.g., Motesharrei et al., 2014, 2016).

5 Useful pointers in the direction of dynamic modeling of sociological problems can be found in the fairly obvious analogy between the latter and ecological ones, as noted by Samuelson (1971), May et al. (2008) and Colon et al. (2015), among others.

Appendix A. Local variance and the FDT

Given the importance of the FDT conjecture for studying the economic system, we provide herein a quick introduction to the local variance concept used in Sec. 5.1 and illustrated in Fig. 8(c). Please see Ghil et al. (2002) and Groth et al. (2015a) for
the precise definitions and equations used in the M-SSA methodology, and Groth et al. (2015b) for the details of the statistical significance tests applied to the BEA dataset.

Plaut and Vautard (1994) introduced the concept of *local variance fraction* $V_{\mathcal{K}}(t)$,

$$V_{\mathcal{K}}(t) = \frac{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} A_k(t)^2}{\sum_{k=1}^{DM} A_k(t)^2},\tag{1}$$

which quantifies the fraction of the total variance that is described by a subset \mathcal{K} of SSA principal components (temporal PCs 15 or T-PCs) in a sliding window of length M = 24 quarters. The T-PCs here are considered as centered, i.e. starting at M/2 and ending at N - M/2, and D = 9 is the dimension of the phase space into which the macroeconomic indicators are embedded, cf. Groth et al. (2015b, Secs. 2.2 and 2.3). Here N is the total length of the time series, with N = 52 years $\times 4$ quarters = 208 data points for each time series.

The leading oscillatory mode plotted in Fig. 8(b) corresponds to $\mathcal{K}_0 = \{k = 1, 2\}$ and it is considered as the signal, while the 20 complementary set $\mathcal{K}_1 = \{k = 3, ..., DM = 216\}$ is identified with the fluctuations whose evolving variance we wish to track. More precisely, a total of $\mathcal{K}' = \{1 \le k \le 150\}$ eigenvalues in the M-SSA decomposition capture 99 % of the BEA dataset's total variance. Hence, the local variance plotted in Fig. 8(c) corresponds to $\mathcal{K}'' = \{3 \le k \le 150\}$.

- 25 Acknowledgements. It is a pleasure to thank Daniel Schertzer for the invitation to write an update of the Ghil (2001) paper for the Centennial Issue on Nonlinear Geophysics: Accomplishments of the Past, Challenges of the Future of the Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics. Sections 2 and 3 of this review have benefited from interaction with many climate colleagues over the years; quite a few of them have been acknowledged recently in Ghil (2019) and in Ghil and Lucarini (2020). In connection with Secs. 4 and 5, it is a great pleasure to thank M. D. Barnett, E. Biffis, W. A. Brock, E. Chavez, C. Chiarella,
- 30 D. Claessen (RIP), C. Colon, B. Coluzzi, P. Dumas, A. Groth, S. Hallegatte, L. P. Hansen, J.-C. Hourcade, M. Nikolaidi, M. Sadler, L. Sela, P. Terna, G. Vivaldo and G. Weisbuch for all they taught me about economics and its modeling. I am deeply



5



indebted to William A. Brock, Célian Colon, Maria Nikolaidi and Pietro Terna for enlightening comments on a draft of this paper, especially on its Secs. 4 and 5. The present paper is TiPES contribution #19; the TiPES (Tipping Points in the Earth System) project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under Grant Agreement No. 820970. Work on this paper has also been supported by the EIT Climate-KIC; EIT Climate-KIC is supported by the European Institute of Innovation & Technology (EIT), a body of the European Union, under Grant Agreement No. 190733.





References

5

Alessio, S. M.: Digital Signal Processing and Spectral Analysis for Scientists: Concepts and Applications, Springer Science & Business Media, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-25468-5, 2015.

Alkhayuon, H., Ashwin, P., Jackson, L. C., Quinn, C., and Wood, R. A.: Basin bifurcations, oscillatory instability and rate-induced thresholds for AMOC in a global oceanic box model, arXiv:1901.10111, 2019.

- Allen, M. R. and Smith, L. A.: Monte Carlo SSA: Detecting irregular oscillations in the presence of colored noise, Journal of Climate, 9, 3373–3404, 1996.
- Arrow, K. J. and Debreu, G.: Existence of an equilibrium for a competitive economy, Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society, pp. 265–290, 1954.
- 10 Artis, M. J. and Zhang, W.: International business cycles and the ERM: is there a European business cycle?, Int. J. Finance Econ., 2, 1–16, 1997.

Aspromourgos, T.: On the origins of the term 'neoclassical', Cambridge Journal of Economics, 10, 265–270, 1986.

Barnett, M., Brock, W., and Hansen, L. P.: Pricing uncertainty induced by climate change, The Review of Financial Studies, 33, 1024–1066, 2020.

15 Benson, C. and Clay, E.: Understanding the Economic and Financial Impacts of Natural Disasters, The World Bank, 2004. Berloff, P., Hogg, A. M., and Dewar, W.: The turbulent oscillator: A mechanism of low-frequency variability of the wind-driven ocean gyres, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 37, 2363–2386, 2007.

Boccaletti, S., Kurths, J., Osipov, G., Valladares, D. L., and Zhou, C. S.: The synchronization of chaotic systems, Physics Reports, 366, 1–101, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0370-1573(02)00137-0, 2002.

20 Bódai, T. and Tél, T.: Annual variability in a conceptual climate model: Snapshot attractors, hysteresis in extreme events, and climate sensitivity, Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science, 22, 023110, https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3697984, 2012.

Bouchaud, J.-P.: Crises and collective socio-economic phenomena: Simple models and challenges, Journal of Statistical Physics, 151, 567–606, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-012-0687-3, 2013.

Brock, W. and Mirman, L.: Optimal growth under uncertainty, Journal of Economic Theory, 4, 479–513, 1972.
Burns, A. F. and Mitchell, W. C.: Measuring Business Cycles, Tech. rep., National Bureau of Economic Research, 1946.
C. G. Rossby and collaborators: Relations between variations in the intensity of the zonal circulation of the atmosphere and the displacements of the semipermanent centers of action, Journal of Marine Research, 3, 38–55, 1939.

Cessi, P.: The global overturning circulation, Annual Review of Marine Science, 11, 249–270, 2019.

30 Charney, J. G. and DeVore, J. G.: Multiple flow equilibria in the atmosphere and blocking, J. Atmos. Sci., 36, 1205–1216, 1979. Charney, J. G., Arakawa, A., Baker, D. J., Bolin, B., Dickinson, R. E., Goody, R. M., Leith, C. E., Stommel, H. M., and Wunsch, C. I.: Carbon Dioxide and Climate: A Scientific Assessment, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, DC, 1979. Chavez, M., Ghil, M., and Urrutia-Fucugauchi, J., eds.: Extreme Events: Observations, Modeling, and Economics, vol. 214 of *Geophysical*

Chavez, M., Ghil, M., and Urrutia-Fucugationi, J., eds.: Extreme Events: Observations, Modeling, and Economics, Vol. 214 of *Geophysical Monographs*, John Wiley & Sons, 2015.

35 Chekroun, M. D., Simonnet, E., and Ghil, M.: Stochastic climate dynamics: random attractors and time-dependent invariant measures, Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, 240, 1685–1700, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2011.06.005, 2011.



10



- Chekroun, M. D., Ghil, M., and Neelin, J. D.: Pullback attractor crisis in a delay differential ENSO model, in: Advances in Nonlinear Geosciences, edited by Tsonis, A., pp. 1–33, Springer, 2018.
- Chiarella, C., Flaschel, P., and Franke, R.: Foundations for a Disequilibrium Theory of the Business Cycle, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- 5 Clarke, L. E., Jiang, K., Akimoto, K., Babiker, M., Blanford, G. J., Fisher-Vanden, K., Hourcade, J.-C., Krey, V., Kriegler, E., Loschel, A., et al.: Assessing transformation pathways, Chapter 6, in: Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Edenhofer et al., editors, Cambridge University Press, 2014.
 - Colon, C. and Ghil, M.: Economic networks: Heterogeneity-induced vulnerability and loss of synchronization, Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science, 27, 126703, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5017851, 2017.
 - Colon, C., Claessen, D., and Ghil, M.: Bifurcation analysis of an agent-based model for predator-prey interactions, Ecological Modelling, 317, 93–106, 2015.

Crutzen, P. J.: The "anthropocene", in: Earth System Science in the Anthropocene, pp. 13–18, Springer, 2006.

Cvitanović, P. and Eckhardt, B.: Periodic-orbit quantization of chaotic systems, Physical Review Letters, 63, 823, 1989.

- 15 Dafermos, Y., Nikolaidi, M., and Galanis, G.: Climate change, financial stability and monetary policy, Ecological Economics, 152, 219–234, 2018.
 - Davidson, P.: Is probability theory relevant for uncertainty? A Post Keynesian perspective, Journal of Economic Perspectives, 5, 129–143, https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.5.1.129, 1991.

de Carvalho, M., Rodrigues, P. C., and Rua, A.: Tracking the US business cycle with a singular spectrum analysis, Economics Letters, 114,

20 32–35, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2011.09.007, 2012.

- Deser, C., Lehner, F., Rodgers, K. B., Ault, T., Delworth, T. L., DiNezio, P. N., Fiore, A., Frankignoul, C., Fyfe, J. C., Horton, D. E., et al.: Insights from Earth system model initial-condition large ensembles and future prospects, Nature Climate Change, 10, 277–286, 2020.
- Dijkstra, H. A. and Ghil, M.: Low-frequency variability of the large-scale ocean circulation: A dynamical systems approach, Reviews of Geophysics, 43, RG3002, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002RG000122, 2005.
- 25 Duane, G. S., Grabow, C., Selten, F., and Ghil, M.: Introduction to focus issue: Synchronization in large networks and continuous media-data, models, and supermodels, Chaos, 27, 126 601, 2017.
 - Einstein, A.: Über die von der molekularkinetischen Theorie der Wärme geforderte Bewegung von in ruhenden Flüssigkeiten suspendierten Teilchen, Annalen der Physik, 322, 549–560; reprinted in *Investigations on the Theory of the Brownian Movement, five articles by A. Einstein*, R. Furth (ed.) and A. D. Cowper (transl.), 1956, Dover Publ., New York, 122 pp., 1905.
- 30 Epstein, J. M. and Axtell, R.: Growing Artificial Societies: Social Science from the Bottom Up, Brookings Institution Press, 1996. Eurostat: Economic Portrait of the European Union 2001, Tech. rep., European Commission, Brussels, 2002.
 - Farmer, J. D., Hepburn, C., Mealy, P., and Teytelboym, A.: A third wave in the economics of climate change, Environmental and Resource Economics, 62, 329–357, 2015.

Feliks, Y., Ghil, M., and Simonnet, E.: Low-frequency variability in the midlatitude atmosphere induced by an oceanic thermal front, J.

35 Atmos. Sci., 61, 961–981, 2004.

Feliks, Y., Ghil, M., and Simonnet, E.: Low-frequency variability in the midlatitude baroclinic atmosphere induced by an oceanic thermal front, J. Atmos. Sci., 64, 97–116, 2007.





- Flaschel, P., Franke, R., Semmler, W., Day, R. H., et al.: Dynamic Macroeconomics: Instability, Fluctuation, and Growth in Monetary Economies, Mit Press, 1997.
- Frankcombe, L. M. and Dijkstra, H. A.: The role of Atlantic-Arctic exchange in North Atlantic multidecadal climate variability, Geophysical Research Letters, 38, L16 603, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL048158, 2011.
- 5 Ghil, M.: Hilbert problems for the geosciences in the 21st century, Nonlin. Processes Geophys., 8, 211–222, 2001.
 - Ghil, M.: The wind-driven ocean circulation: Applying dynamical systems theory to a climate problem, Discr. Cont. Dyn. Syst.-A, 37, 189–228, doi:10.3934/dcds.2017008, 2017.
 - Ghil, M.: A century of nonlinearity in the geosciences, Earth and Space Science, 6, 1007–1042, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019EA000599, 2019.
- 10 Ghil, M. and Childress, S.: Topics in Geophysical Fluid Dynamics: Atmospheric Dynamics, Dynamo Theory, and Climate Dynamics, Springer Science+Business Media, Berlin/Heidelberg, Reissued in pdf, 2012., 1987/2012.
 - Ghil, M. and Lucarini, V.: The physics of climate variability and climate change, Reviews of Modern Physics, in press, arXiv:1910.00583, 2020.

Ghil, M. and Robertson, A. W.: "Waves" vs. "particles" in the atmosphere's phase space: A pathway to long-range forecasting?, Proc. Natl.

- 15 Acad. Sci. USA, 99, 2493–2500, 2002.
 - Ghil, M. and Zaliapin, I.: Understanding ENSO variability and its extrema: A delay differential equation approach, Chapter 6, in: Extreme Events: Observations, Modeling and Economics, edited by Chavez, M., Ghil, M., and Urrutia-Fucugauchi, J., vol. 214 of *Geophysical Monographs*, pp. 63–78, Wiley Online Library, 2015.
 - Ghil, M., Allen, M. R., Dettinger, M. D., Ide, K., Kondrashov, D., Mann, M. E., Robertson, A. W., Saunders, A., Tian, Y., Varadi, F., and
- Yiou, P.: Advanced spectral methods for climatic time series, Reviews of Geophysics, 40, 31–341, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000RG000092, 2002.
 - Ghil, M., Yiou, P., Hallegatte, S., Malamud, B. D., Naveau, P., Soloviev, A., Friederichs, P., Keilis-Borok, V., Kondrashov, D., Kossobokov, V., Mestre, O., Nicolis, C., Rust, H. W., Shebalin, P., Vrac, M., Witt, A., and Zaliapin, I.: Extreme events: dynamics, statistics and prediction, Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics, 18, 295–350, https://doi.org/10.5194/npg-18-295-2011, 2011.
- 25 Ghil, M., Groth, A., Kondrashov, D., and Robertson, A. W.: Extratropical sub-seasonal–to–seasonal oscillations and multiple regimes: The dynamical systems view, in: The Gap Between Weather and Climate Forecasting: Sub-Seasonal to Seasonal Prediction, edited by Robertson, A. W. and Vitart, F., chap. 6, pp. 119–142, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2018.
 - Ghil, M., Chekroun, M. D., and Simonnet, E.: Climate dynamics and fluid mechanics: Natural variability and related uncertainties, Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, 237, 2111–2126, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2008.03.036, 2008.
- 30 Gill, A. E.: Atmosphere-Ocean Dynamics, Academic Press, New York, U.S.A., 1982.

Gilmore, R.: Topological analysis of chaotic dynamical systems, Reviews of Modern Physics, 70, 1455–1529, 1998.

Gritsun, A. and Branstator, G.: Climate response using a three-dimensional operator based on the fluctuation–dissipation theorem, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 64, 2558–2575, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS3943.1, 2007.

Groth, A. and Ghil, M.: Multivariate singular spectrum analysis and the road to phase synchronization, Phys. Rev. E, 84, 036 206,

35 https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.84.036206, 2011.

Groth, A. and Ghil, M.: Monte Carlo singular spectrum analysis (SSA) revisited: Detecting oscillator clusters in multivariate datasets, Journal of Climate, 28, 7873–7893, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0100.1, 2015.





- Groth, A. and Ghil, M.: Synchronization of world economic activity, Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science, 27, 127 002, 2017.
- Groth, A., Feliks, Y., Kondrashov, D., and Ghil, M.: Interannual variability in the North Atlantic ocean's temperature field and its association with the wind stress forcing, Journal of Climate, 30, 2655–2678, 2017.
- 5 Groth, A., Dumas, P., Ghil, M., and Hallegatte, S.: Impacts of natural disasters on a dynamic economy, in: Extreme Events: Observations, Modeling and Economics, edited by Chavez, M., G. M. and Urrutia-Fucugauchi, J., vol. 214 of *Geophysical Monographs*, chap. 19, pp. 343–359, Wiley Online Library, 2015a.
 - Groth, A., Ghil, M., Hallegatte, S., and Dumas, P.: The role of oscillatory modes in US business cycles, OECD Journal: Journal of Business Cycle Measurement and Analysis, 2015, 63–81, 2015b.
- 10 Hallegatte, S.: The long time scales of the climate–economy feedback and the climatic cost of growth, Environmental Modeling & Assessment, 10, 277–289, 2005.

Hallegatte, S.: Natural Disasters and Climate Change: An Economic Perspective, Springer, 2016.

- Hallegatte, S. and Ghil, M.: Natural disasters impacting a macroeconomic model with endogenous dynamics, Ecological Economics, 68, 582–592, https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2008.05.022, 2008.
- 15 Hallegatte, S., Hourcade, J.-C., and Dumas, P.: Why economic dynamics matter in assessing climate change damages: Illustration on extreme events, Ecological Economics, 62, 330–340, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2006.06.006, 2007.
 - Hallegatte, S., Ghil, M., Dumas, P., and Hourcade, J.-C.: Business cycles, bifurcations and chaos in a neo-classical model with investment dynamics, J. Econ. Behav. Organ., 67, 57–77, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2007.05.001, 2008.

Hannart, A., Pearl, J., Otto, F. E. L., Naveau, P., and Ghil, M.: Causal counterfactual theory for the attribution of weather and climate-related

- 20 events, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 97, 99–110, 2016.
 - Hasselmann, K.: Stochastic climate models. I: Theory, Tellus, 28, 473–485, 1976.
 - Hawkins, E., Smith, R. S., Allison, L. C., Gregory, J. M., Woollings, T. J., Pohlmann, H., and Cuevas, B.: Bistability of the Atlantic overturning circulation in a global climate model and links to ocean freshwater transport, Geophysical Research Letters, 38, L10605, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL047208, 2011.
- 25 Hayek, F. A.: The Pure Theory of Capital; first published by Macmillan & Co. in 1941, vol. 12 of *The Collected Works of F. A. Hayek*, University of Chicago Press, 1941/2007.
 - Held, I. M.: The gap between simulation and understanding in climate modeling, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 86, 1609–1614, https://doi.org/10.1175/bams-86-11-1609, 2005.
 - Hilbert, D.: Mathematische Probleme, Göttinger Nachrichten, pp. 253-297, see also Archiv d. Mathematik u. Physik, 3 (1), 44-63 and
- 30 213–237, 1901; French transl. by M. L. Laugel, Sur les probèmes futurs des mathématiques, in Comptes Rendus du 2ème Congrès International des Mathématiciens, 58–114, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1902; Engl. transl. by M. Winton Newson, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 8, 437–479, 1902;, 1900.
 - Hodrick, R. J. and Prescott, E. C.: Postwar US business cycles: an empirical investigation, Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking, pp. 1–16, 1997.
- 35 Hoover, K.: The New Classical Macroeconomics, Edward Elgar Publishing, see also Kevin D. Hoover, The New Classical Macroeconomics, in The Library of Economics and Liberty, https://www.econlib.org/library/Enc/NewClassicalMacroeconomics.html, 1992.

Hughes, B. B.: International Futures: Building and Using Global Models, Academic Press, 2019.





- IPCC: Climate Change: The IPCC Scientific Assessment. Report Prepared for Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change by Working Group I. J. T. Houghton, G. J. Jenkins, and J. J. Ephraums, editors, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 365+xxxix pp., 1990.
- IPCC: Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. J. T. Houghton et al., editors, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2001.
- 5 IPCC: Climate Change 2007 The Physical Science Basis: Working Group I Contribution to the Fourth Assessment Report of the IPCC. S. Solomon et al., editors, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 2007.
 - IPCC: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. T. Stocker et al., editors, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9781107415324, 2014a.
- 10 IPCC: Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Pachauri, R. K. and Meyer, L. A., editors, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Geneva, Switzerland, 151 pp., 2014b.
 - Itoh, H. and Kimoto, M.: Chaotic itinerancy with preferred transition routes appearing in an atmospheric model, Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, 109, 274–292, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-2789(97)00064-x, 1997.
- 15 Jiang, S., Jin, F.-F., and Ghil, M.: Multiple equilibria and aperiodic solutions in a wind-driven double-gyre, shallow-water model, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 25, 764–786, 1995.
 - Juglar, C.: Des Crises commerciales et leur retour périodique en France, en Angleterre, et aux Etats Unis, Guillaumin, Paris, 1862. Kalecki, M.: A macrodynamic theory of business cycles, Econometrica, Journal of the Econometric Society, pp. 327–344, 1935. Kalnay, E.: Atmospheric Modeling, Data Assimilation and Predictability, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2003.
- Keynes, J. M.: The General Theory of Employment, Interest, and Money; first published by Palgrave Macmillan in 1936, Springer, 1936/2018.
 Kimball, M. S.: The quantitative analytics of the basic neomonetarist model, J. Money Credit Bank, 27, 1241–1277, 1995.
 Kimoto, M. and Ghil, M.: Multiple flow regimes in the Northern Hemisphere winter. Part I: Methodology and hemispheric regimes, J. Atmos. Sci., 50, 2625–2643, 1993a.

Kimoto, M. and Ghil, M.: Multiple flow regimes in the Northern Hemisphere winter. Part II: Sectorial regimes and preferred transitions,

- Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 50, 2645–2673, 1993b.
 Kitchin, J.: Cycles and Trends in Economic Factors, Review of Economics and Statistics, 5, 10–16, https://doi.org/10.2307/1927031, 1923.
 Kolmogoroff, A.: Grundbegriffe der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung, Springer Nature Switzerland AG, https://doi.org/10.1007/2F978-3-642-49888-6, 1933, reissued in 2019.
 - Kondrashov, D., Kravtsov, S., and Ghil, M.: Empirical mode reduction in a model of extratropical low-frequency variability, Journal of the
- 30 atmospheric sciences, 63, 1859–1877, 2006.
 - Kose, M. A., Otrok, C. M., and Whiteman, C. H.: International business cycles: world, region, and country-specific factors, Amer. Econ. Rev., 93, 1216–1239, https://doi.org/10.1257/000282803769206278, 2003.
 - Kubo, R.: The fluctuation-dissipation theorem, Reports on Progress in Physics, 29, 255-284, 1966.

Kydland, F. E. and Prescott, E. C.: Time to build and aggregate fluctuations, Econometrica, 50, 1345–1370, 1982.

- 35 Legras, B. and Ghil, M.: Persistent anomalies, blocking, and variations in atmospheric predictability, J. Atmos. Sci., 42, 433–471, 1985. Leith, C. E.: Climate response and fluctuation dissipation, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 32, 2022–2026, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1975)032<2022:CRAFD>2.0.CO;2, 1975.
 - Lewis, S. L. and Maslin, M. A.: Defining the anthropocene, Nature, 519, 171-180, 2015.



15

25



- Lindzen, R. S.: Stationary planetary waves, blocking, and interannual variability, in: Advances in Geophysics, vol. 29, pp. 251–273, Elsevier, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0065-2687(08)60042-4, 1986.
- Lorenz, E. N.: The Nature and Theory of the General Circulation of the Atmosphere, World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 1967.
- 5 Lorenz, E. N.: Three approaches to atmospheric predictability, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 50, 345–349, 1969.

Lucarini, V.: Response theory for equilibrium and non-equilibrium statistical mechanics: Causality and generalized Kramers-Kronig relations, Journal of Statistical Physics, 131, 543–558, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-008-9498-y, 2008.

- Lucarini, V. and Gritsun, A.: A new mathematical framework for atmospheric blocking events, Climate Dynamics, 54, 575–598, 2020.
- Lucarini, V., Faranda, D., de Freitas, A. C. G. M. M., de Freitas, J. M. M., Holland, M., Kuna, T., Nicol, M., Todd, M., and Vaienti, S.:
- 10 Extremes and Recurrence in Dynamical Systems, Wiley, 2016.

Malinvaud, E.: The Theory of Unemployment Reconsidered, 1977.

Malone, T. F., Houghton, H. G., Byers, H. R., Landsberg, H. E., Wexler, H., Haurwitz, B., Spilhaus, A. F., and Willett, H. C., eds.: Compendium of Meteorology, American Meteorological Society, reissued in pdf by Springer, 2016, 1951/1955/2016.

Marconi, U. M. B., Puglisi, A., Rondoni, L., and Vulpiani, A.: Fluctuation-dissipation: Response theory in statistical physics, Physics Reports, 461, 111, 2008.

- Marshall, J. and Molteni, F.: Toward a dynamical understanding of atmospheric weather regimes, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 50, 1993–2014, 1993.
 - May, R. M., Levin, S. A., and Sugihara, G.: Complex systems: Ecology for bankers, Nature, 451, 893–895, 2008.

Mazzoli, M., Morini, M., and Terna, P.: Rethinking Macroeconomics with Endogenous Market Structure, Cambridge University Press, 2019.

- 20 Minobe, S., Kuwano-Yoshida, A., Komori, N., Xie, S.-P., and Small, R. J.: Influence of the Gulf Stream on the troposphere, Nature, 452, 206, 2008.
 - Morgan, M. G., Vaishnav, P., Dowlatabadi, H., and Azevedo, I. L.: Rethinking the social cost of carbon dioxide, Issues in Science and Technology, 33, 43–50, 2017.

Motesharrei, S., Rivas, J., and Kalnay, E.: Human and nature dynamics (HANDY): Modeling inequality and use of resources in the collapse or sustainability of societies, Ecological Economics, 101, 90–102, 2014.

Motesharrei, S., Rivas, J., Kalnay, E., Asrar, G. R., Busalacchi, A. J., Cahalan, R. F., Cane, M. A., Colwell, R. R., Feng, K., Franklin, R. S., et al.: Modeling sustainability: population, inequality, consumption, and bidirectional coupling of the Earth and Human Systems, National Science Review, 3, 470–494, 2016.

Nakamura, N. and Huang, C. S. Y.: Atmospheric blocking as a traffic jam in the jet stream, Science, 361, 42–47, 2018.

- 30 Nordhaus, W.: Estimates of the Social Cost of Carbon: Concepts and Results from the DICE-2013R Model and Alternative Approaches, Journal of the Association of Environmental and Resource Economists, 1, 273–312, https://doi.org/10.1086/676035, 2014.
 - Nordhaus, W. D.: The Climate Casino: Risk, Uncertainty, and Economics for a Warming World, Yale University Press, 2013.
 - Palmer, T. N.: The primacy of doubt: Evolution of numerical weather prediction from determinism to probability, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 9, 730–734, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017MS000999, 2017.
- 35 Palmer, T. N. and Williams, P., eds.: Stochastic Physics and Climate Modelling, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009. Paradise, A., Rocha, C. B., Barpanda, P., and Nakamura, N.: Blocking statistics in a varying climate: lessons from a "traffic jam" model with pseudostochastic forcing, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 76, 3013–3027, 2019.
 - Pareto, V.: Manuale di Economia Politica, con una Introduzione alla Scienza Sociale, Società Editrice Libraria, Milano, 1919.



5

20



Pearl, J.: Causality, Cambridge University Press, 2009.

Pedlosky, J.: Ocean Circulation Theory, Springer, New York, 1996.

Peixoto, J. P. and Oort, A. H.: Physics of Climate, AIP Press, New York, 1992.

Pierini, S., Ghil, M., and Chekroun, M. D.: Exploring the Pullback Attractors of a Low-Order Quasigeostrophic Ocean Model: The deterministic case, Journal of Climate. 29, 4185–4202, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0848.1, 2016.

Pierini, S., Chekroun, M. D., and Ghil, M.: The onset of chaos in nonautonomous dissipative dynamical systems: A low-order ocean-model case study, Nonlin. Processes Geophys., 25, 671–692, https://doi.org/10.5194/npg-25-671-2018, 2018.

Pikovsky, A., Rosenblum, M., and Kurths, J.: Synchronization: A Universal Concept in Nonlinear Sciences, Cambridge University Press, http://www.cambridge.org/9780521592857, 2003.

10 Pindyck, R. S.: Climate change policy: What do the models tell us?, Journal of Economic Literature, 51, 860–72, 2013.

Plaut, G. and Vautard, R.: Spells of low-frequency oscillations and weather regimes in the Northern Hemisphere, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 51, 210–236, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1994)051<0210:SOLFOA>2.0.CO;2, 1994.

Popper, K.: The Logic of Scientific Discovery, Routledge, Original German: *Logik der Forschung. Zur Erkenntnistheorie der modernen Naturwissenschaft*, 1935; first English edition 1959., 2005.

15 Rahmstorf, S., Crucifix, M., Ganopolski, A., Goosse, H., Kamenkovich, I., Knutti, R., Lohmann, G., Marsh, R., Mysak, L. A., Wang, Z., and Weaver, A. J.: Thermohaline circulation hysteresis: A model intercomparison, Geophysical Research Letters, 32, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL023655, 2005.

Ramsey, F. P.: A mathematical theory of saving, The Economic Journal, 38, 543-559, 1928.

Robertson, A. W. and Vitart, F., eds.: The Gap Between Weather and Climate Forecasting: Sub-Seasonal to Seasonal Prediction, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2018.

Romer, D.: Real-Business-Cycle Theory, in: Advanced Macroeconomics, 4th ed., pp. 189-237, McGraw-Hill, New York, 2011.

Rosenblum, M. G., Pikovsky, A. S., and Kurths, J.: Phase synchronization of chaotic oscillators, Physical Review Letters, 76, 1804–1807, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.76.1804, 1996.

Ruelle, D.: A review of linear response theory for general differentiable dynamical systems, Nonlinearity, 22, 855-870, 2009.

25 Samuelson, P. A.: A synthesis of the principle of acceleration and the multiplier, J. Polit. Econ., 47, 786–797, 1939.

Samuelson, P. A.: Generalized predator-prey oscillations in ecological and economic equilibrium, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 68, 980–983, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.68.5.980, 1971.

Schneider, S. H. and Dickinson, R. E.: Climate modelling, Reviews of Geophysics and Space Physics, 25, 447–493, 1974.

Sella, L., Vivaldo, G., Groth, A., and Ghil, M.: Economic cycles and their synchronization: A comparison of cyclic modes in three European
countries, Journal of Business Cycle Research, 12, 25–48, 2016.

Smyth, P., Ide, K., and Ghil, M.: Multiple regimes in Northern Hemisphere height fields via mixture model clustering, J. Atmos. Sci., 56, 3704–3723, 1999.

Solow, R. M.: A contribution to the theory of economic growth, The quarterly journal of economics, 70, 65–94, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5017851, 1956.

35 Stern, N.: The Economics of Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511817434, 2007.

Stone, D. A. and Allen, M. R.: The end-to-end attribution problem: from emissions to impacts, Climatic Change, 71, 303–318, 2005.



10

25



Summers, L. H.: Some skeptical observations on real business cycle theory, in: A Macroeconomics Reader, edited by Snowdon, B. and Vane, H. R., pp. 389–395, Routledge, London & New York, 1997.

- Süssmuth, B.: National and supranational business cycles (1960-2000): A multivariate description of central G7 and Euro15 NIPA aggregates, Working Paper 658, CESifo, University of Munich and ifo Institute, Munich, Germany, http://ssrn.com/abstract=301262, 2002.
- 5 Tailleux, R.: Entropy versus APE production: On the buoyancy power input in the oceans energy cycle, Geophysical research letters, 37, 2010.
 - Timmermann, A., Latif, M., Voss, R., and Grötzner, A.: Northern Hemispheric interdecadal variability: A coupled air–sea mode, Journal of Climate, 11, 1906–1931, 1998.
 - Tziperman, E., Stone, L., Cane, M. A., and Jarosh, H.: El Niño chaos: Overlapping of resonances between the seasonal cycle and the Pacific ocean-atmosphere oscillator, Science, 264, 72–74, 1994.
 - Vannitsem, S. and Ghil, M.: Evidence of coupling in ocean-atmosphere dynamics over the North Atlantic, Geophysical Research Letters, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL072229, 2017.

Vannitsem, S., Demaeyer, J., De Cruz, L., and Ghil, M.: Low-frequency variability and heat transport in a low-order nonlinear coupled ocean–atmosphere model, Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, 309, 71–85, 2015.

- 15 Veronis, G.: An analysis of the wind-driven ocean circulation with a limited number of Fourier components, J. Atmos. Sci., 20, 577–593, 1963.
 - Von Neumann, J.: Some remarks on the problem of forecasting climatic fluctuations, in: Dynamics of Climate, edited by Pfeffer, R. L., pp. 9–11, Pergamon Press, https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-1-4831-9890-3.50009-8, 1960.
 - Wagner, G. and Weitzman, M. L.: Climate Shock: The Economic Consequences of a Hotter Planet, Princeton University Press, 2015.
- Walras, L.: Elements of Pure Economics: or The Theory of Social Wealth, 1874; translated from the French "Eléments d'économie politique pure" by W. Jaffé, Homewood, Illinois : R. D. Irwin for the American Economic Association and the Royal Economic Society, 1874/1954.
 Weeks, E. R., Tian, Y., Urbach, J. S., Ide, K., Swinney, H. L., and Ghil, M.: Transitions between blocked and zonal flows in a rotating annulus with topography, Science, pp. 1598–1601, 1997.

West, C. T. and Lenze, D. G.: Modeling the regional impact of natural disaster and recovery: A general framework and an application to Hurricane Andrew, International Regional Science Review, 17, 121–150, 1994.

Winfree, A. T.: The Geometry of Biological Time, vol. 12, Springer Science & Business Media, 1980/2001.

World Bank: Marmara Earthquake Assessment (English), Tech. rep., World Bank, Washington, DC, http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/ en/474251468781785112/Turkey-Marmara-earthquake-assessment, 2003.