We would like to thank the editor and reviewers for giving us insightful suggestions which would help us in depth to improve the quality of the paper. We made a significant revision and the detailed responses are as follows.

Response to Referee comment 1:

Specific comments:

The language is quite poor as it presents some traduction and grammar errors and it is sometimes difficult to follow the logic of the text. Some parts are rather obscure (e.g. lines 123-124 or 249-253).

Response: We have tried our best to improve the grammatical errors and also consulted an English speaker. We hope it will meet with approval. A revision by a mother-tongue has been uploaded. The lines 123-124 have been revised as the lines 149-157 in a new revision of this manuscript. The lines 249-253 have been revised as the lines 286-294 in a new revision of this manuscript.

1. The histogram of Cu % (Fig. 5) seems to be log-normal. If this is the case, the statistical results (mean value and semivariogram parameters) can be biased. The authors are invited to check data distribution and, in case, to make a logarithmic transformation.

Response 1: Thank you. Accept this point. We have checked the Cu data distribution of Pulang deposit. We made a logarithmic transformation for the original data. The histogram and Q-Q plot of the log-transformed Cu data indicate that the distribution of Cu data is log-normal (Fig. 5). We revised the statistical results. The experimental semivariogram of Cu data of Pulang deposit indicates a range and nugget effect of 320.0 m and 0.25, respectively (Fig. 6).



Fig. 5. Histograms of (a) the Cu raw and (b) logarithmic transformation data and (c) Q-Q plot of the log-transformed Cu data in the Pulang deposit.



Fig. 6. The experimental semivariogram of Cu data in Pulang deposit.

2. The authors, following Afzal et al. (2011), apply kriging in order to make a 3D interpolation of Cu content. It is not clear if authors use kriging or block kriging. The last procedure in particular (but even the first one) introduces a bias because the fractal behaviour refers to interpolated concentration and not to original data and this aspect may influence fractal analysis. I suggest adding comments on the consequences of the application of an interpolation method on the found fractal ranges.

Response 2: Thank you. Accept this point. The 3D model of the Cu concentration distribution of the Pulang deposit was produced with the ordinary kriging method using Geovia Surpac software on the basis of the semivariogram and anisotropic ellipsoid. Fundamentally, the accuracy of the interpolation results mainly depends on whether the interpolation model accurately fits the spatial distribution characteristics of the deposit. The original drillhole data of ore element concentrations were interpolated by using the ordinary kriging method to calculate the $V(\leq v)$ and $V(\geq v)$ enclosed by a concentration contour in a 3D model in this study. The method estimates values in unsampled locations based on the moving average of the interest variables, satisfying various distribution forms of data. Ordinary kriging is a spatial estimation method that provides a minimum error-variance estimate of any unsampled value. The

correct variogram in kriging interpolation can guarantee the accuracy of the interpolation results. The accuracy of the spatial interpolation analysis is verified by comparing the difference between the measured values and the predicted values to select the best variogram model. In order to test the variogram model, the cross-validation method is used to determine whether the parameters of the variogram model are correct (Fig. 7). The distribution of the residual is normal and the mean of error between the actual and estimated Cu grade values is equal to 0 (Table 1). This result indicates that this model is reasonable and that the variogram parameters used for estimating the Cu grade are unbiased.



Fig. 7. The cross-validation results: (a) residual VS Cu grade; (b) the residual distribution histogram.

Table 1 The results of statistical characteristics of the residual.

Variables	Residual
Mean	0.000
Variance	0.016
Standard Deviation	0.127

3. The paper basically presents a comparison between two methods of analysis, for this reason, more comments should be added in the conclusions instead of simply describing the results.

Response 3: Thank you. Accept this point. We have revised the conclusions. Given the referee comment 2, we have tried to add the N-S fractal model to improve the structure of the paper and revolutionize the style of the paper. We have added more comments and rewritten the conclusion as follows.

In many cases, drillhole logging is dealing with the lack of proper diagnosis of geological phenomena, which can undermine the delineation of mineralized zones because it depends on the subjective interpretation of individual loggers, and no two loggers provide the same interpretations. However, conventional geological modeling based on drillhole data is fundamentally important for understanding the orebody spatial structure. Grades of ore elements are not determined by conventional methods of geological ore modeling, while the variation in ore grades in a mineral deposit is an obvious and salient feature. Given the problems mentioned above, using a series of newly established methods based on mathematical analyses such as fractal modeling seems to be inevitable.

In this paper, the number-size (N-S), concentration-volume (C-V) and power spectrum-volume (S-V) fractal models were used to delineate and recognize various Cu mineralized zones of the Pulang porphyry copper deposit in the southern end of the Yidun continental arc, Southwest China. All these fractal models reveal that high-grade Cu mineralized zones are situated in the central and southern parts of the deposit. The Cu threshold values of highly mineralized zones are 1.45% and 1.88% based on the N-S and C-V fractal models. The Cu threshold of supergene enrichment zones is 1.33% based on the S-V fractal model. The models of moderately mineralized zones contain 0.28-1.45% Cu according to the N-S model and 1.48-1.88% Cu according to the C-V model. The hypogene zones contain 0.23-1.33% Cu according to the S-V model. The N-S model reveals weakly mineralized zones and barren host rocks containing <0.28% Cu. In contrast, the C-V model reveals that the barren host rocks contain <0.25% and that the weakly mineralized zones contain

0.25-1.48% Cu. The S-V model reveals that the barren host rock and leached zone contain <0.23% Cu.

The comparison between highly mineralized zones based on the fractal models and potassic zones resulting from the 3D geological model illustrates that the S-V fractal model is better than the N-S and C-V model because the number of overlapped voxels (A) in the S-V model is higher than those in the N-S and C-V model. The overall accuracies for the C-V, N-S and S-V models are 0.50, 0.51 and 0.52, respectively (Table 6), which indicates that the S-V model gives the best results for identifying highly mineralized zones in the deposit. On the other hand, the correlation (from OA results) between the highly mineralized zones obtained from S-V modeling and the potassic alteration zones is better than those of the N-S and C-V models because of a strong proportional relationship between the extension and positions of the voxels in the S-V model and potassic alteration zones in the 3D geological model.

A comparison between phyllic alteration zones obtained from the 3D geological model and moderate grade mineralization zones obtained from the fractal models indicates that the OA values of the C-V, N-S and S-V fractal methods in reference to the phyllic alteration zones of the geological model are 0.59, 0.54 and 0.56, respectively. The overall accuracy of the moderately and weakly mineralized zones obtained from C-V modeling is higher than the mineralized zones obtained from N-S and S-V modeling (Table 7).

According to the correlation between the results driven by fractal modeling and geological logging from drillholes in the Pulang porphyry copper deposit, high-grade mineralization zones generated by fractal models, especially the S-V model, have a better correlation with potassic alteration zones resulting from the 3D geological model than from the N-S and C-V models. The highly and moderately mineralized zones obtained from the fractal models are both situated in the southern and central parts of the Pulang deposit and coincide with potassic and phyllic alteration zones. There is a better relationship between the moderately and weakly mineralized zones derived by the C-V model and the phyllic alteration zones from the 3D geological model than those derived by the N-S and S-V models.

4. The lines 268-274 refer to particular samples that could validate results, but the outcome is not clear.

Response 4: Thank you. Accept this point. We have revised this part.

It could be considered that there are spatial correlations between different modeled Cu zones and geological features such as alterations and mineralogy. Several samples were collected from different drillholes in different grade mineralization zones of the Pulang deposit to validate the results of the fractal models. These samples were analyzed by microscopic identification and XRF (X-ray fluorescence spectrometry). The PL-B82 sample was collected from the drillhole situated in a high-grade mineralization zone and includes a high chalcopyrite content and some molybdenite (Fig. 16a). The PL-B62 sample was collected from the drillhole situated in a moderate-grade mineralization zone and includes a low chalcopyrite content and some pyrrhotite in the polished section (Fig. 16b). The PL-B74 sample was collected from the drillhole located in a weakly mineralized zone with lower chalcopyrite content and some pyrrhotite (Fig. 16c and Fig. 16d). The results obtained from the mineralogy, microscopic identification and drillhole scanning by XRF of these samples indicate that the Cu concentrations are 1.80%, 1.32% and 0.41% in the PL-B82, PL-B62 and PL-B74 samples, respectively (Table 8).

Sample no.	Mineralized zones obtained by	Cu(%)	
	fractal models		
PL-B74	Weakly mineralized zones	0.41	
PL-B62	Moderately mineralized zones	1.32	
PL-B82	Highly mineralized zones	1.80	

Table	8
-------	---



Fig.16. Chalcopyrite content in several samples based on mineralographical study: (a) PL-B82 sample was collected from the drillhole situated in the high grade mineralization zones.; (b) PL-B62 sample was collected from the drillhole situated in the moderately grade mineralization zones.; (c) and (d) PL-B74 sample was collected from the drillhole located at the weakly mineralized zones.

5. Many of the articles listed in References are not cited in the text.

Response 5: Thank you. Accept this point. We have revised the manuscript. Many of the articles listed in references have been added and cited in the text. A new revision by a mother-tongue has been uploaded.

Response to Referee comment 2:

1. Typing/spacing issues

Response 1: Thank you. Accept this point. We have revised it as suggested. A revision by a mother-tongue has been uploaded.

2. Grammatical issues

Response 2: Accept this point. We have tried our best to improve the grammatical issues and also consulted an English speaker, hope it will meet with approval. A revision by a mother-tongue has been uploaded.

3. You can improve the paper with many other, even newer references.

Response 3: Accept this point. We have added many newer references to improve the paper. And a new revision of this manuscript has been uploaded.

4. In some cases, the paper is prolonged by repeating obvious things. For example, about the amounts of the thresholds, some tables could be informative enough and no need to mention them.

Response 4: Accept this point. We have checked this paper and found that the paper is prolonged by repeating obvious things for example the amounts of the thresholds. We have deleted these obvious things. And a new revision of this manuscript has been uploaded.

5. Honestly to me, there was nothing new in this paper and the paper was totally like what Afzal et al have done but on a different case study. This is acceptable, but the readers may need at least a very tiny interesting, innovative or new thing in it. If you make something different, for example from a different point of view, it would make a big bonus for your paper. So, I believe if you revolutionize the style of the paper or even add one more fractal model, like N-S fractal model, to improve and change the structure of the paper, it would be great.

Response 5: Accept this point. Given the referee comments, we have tried to add the N-S fractal model to improve the structure of the paper and revolutionize the style of

the paper. Furthermore, the results of N-S fractal model were compared with the C-V and S-V fractal models.

Number-size (N-S) fractal model

The number-size (N-S) method proposed by Mandelbrot (1983) can be utilized to describe the distribution of geochemical populations (Sadeghi et al., 2012). In this method, geochemical data does not undergo any preprocessing (Mao et al., 2004). This model shows a relationship between desirable attributes (e.g., Cu concentration in this study) and their cumulative number of samples (Sadeghi et al., 2012). A power-law frequency model has been proposed to explain the N-S relationship according to the frequency distribution of elemental concentrations and cumulative number of samples with those attributes (e.g., Li et al., 1994; Sadeghi et al., 2012; Sanderson et al., 1994; Shi and Wang, 1998; Turcotte, 1996; Zuo et al., 2009a).

The N-S model proposed by Mandelbrot (1983) can be expressed as follows:

$$N(\geq \rho) = F \rho^{-D} \tag{1}$$

where ρ denotes the element concentration, $N(\geq \rho)$ denotes the cumulative number of samples with concentrations greater than or equal to ρ , F is a constant and D is the scaling exponent or fractal dimension of the distribution of element concentrations. According to Mandelbrot (1983), log-log plots of $N(\geq \rho)$ versus ρ show linear segments with different slopes -D corresponding to different concentration intervals.

Number-size (N-S) fractal modeling

The N-S model was applied to the Cu data (Fig. 8). The selection of breakpoints as threshold values is an objective decision because geochemical populations are defined by different line segments in the N-S log-log plot. The straight fitted lines were obtained based on least-square regression (Agterberg et al., 1996; Spalla et al., 2010). In other words, the intensity of element enrichment is depicted by each slope of the line segments in the N-S log-log plots (Afzal et al., 2010; Bai et al., 2010).

Based on the classification of the 3D model of Cu data and the thresholds obtained from the N-S fractal model (Table 2), highly mineralized zones are situated in the southern and central parts of the Pulang deposit and coincide with the potassium-silicate alterations. However, small and highly mineralized zones are located in the central parts of the Pulang deposit (Fig. 9). Moderately mineralized zones occur along a northwest-southeast trend and correlate with the phyllic zones. Weakly mineralized zones and barren host rocks are situated in the marginal parts of the area.



Fig.8. N–S log–log plot for Cu concentrations in the Pulang deposit.

Table 2 Thresholds concentrations obtained by using N-S model based on Cu% in Pulang deposit.

Mineralized zones	Thresholds(Cu%)	Range(Cu%)
Barren host rock and		<0.28
weakly mineralized		
Moderatelymineralized	0.28	0.28-1.45
Highly mineralized	1.45	>1.45



Fig.9. Zones in the Pulang deposit based on thresholds defined from the N–S fractal model of Cu data: (a) highly mineralized zones; (b) moderately mineralized zones; (c) weakly mineralized zones and barren host rocks. (Scale is in m³.)

A comparison between highly mineralized zones based on the fractal models and potassic alteration zones resulting from the 3D geological model shows that there is a similarity among these fractal models. The overall accuracies for the C-V, N-S and S-V models are 0.50, 0.51 and 0.52, respectively (Table 6), which indicate that the S-V model gives better results for identifying highly mineralized zones in the deposit. The number of overlapped voxels (A) in the S-V model is higher than those in the N-S and C-V models. The correlation (from OA results) between highly mineralized zones obtained from S-V modeling and potassic alteration zones is better than that of the N-S and C-V model because of a strong proportional relationship between the extension and positions of voxels in the S-V model and the potassic alteration zones in the 3D geological model.

A comparison between phyllic alteration zones resulting from the 3D geological model and moderately and weakly mineralized zones from the fractal modeling shows that the overall accuracies of the C-V, N-S and S-V fractal models with respect to phyllic alteration zones of the geological model are 0.59, 0.54 and 0.56, respectively. The overall accuracy of moderately and weakly mineralized zones obtained from C-V modeling is higher than that of mineralized zones obtained from N-S and S-V modeling (Table 7). On the other hand, moderately mineralized zones defined by C-V modeling overlap with phyllic zones defined by the 3D geological model. However, the results of the C-V model are more accurate than those of the N-S and S-V models with respect to the phyllic zones defined by the 3D geological model.



(b)



(c)

(d)



Fig.14. Highly mineralized zones in the Pulang deposit: (a) potassium-silicate zone resulted from the 3D geological model from drillhole geological data; (b) N–S modeling of Cu data; and (c) C–V modeling of Cu data; (d) S–V modeling of Cu data (Scale is in m^3 .)

(a)



(b)



(c)

(d)





Fig.15. Moderately mineralized zones in the Pulang deposit:(a) quartz–sericite zones resulted from the 3D geological model from drillhole geological data; (b) N–S modeling of Cu data; and (c) C–V modeling of Cu data; (d) S–V modeling of Cu data (Scale is in m³.)

(a)

values of Cu obtained through C–V, N–S and S–V fractal modeling.			
with respect to potassic alteration zone resulted from geological model and threshold			
Table 6 Overall accuracy (OA), Type I and Type II errors (TTE and T2E, respectively)			

		Potassic alteration	of geological
		model	
		Inside zones	Outside zones
C-V fractal model of	Inside zones	A 2850	B 1360
highly mineralized zones	Outside zones	C 77927	D 76913
		T1E 0.96	T2E 0.02
		OA	0.50
N–S fractal model of	Inside zones	A 3092	B 1570
highly mineralized zones	Outside zones	C 75025	D 75473
		T1E 0.96	T2E 0.02
		OA	0.51
S–V fractal model of	Inside zones	A 4431	B 2318
supergene enrichment	Outside zones	C 72985	D 75726
zones		T1E 0.94	T2E 0.03
		OA	0.52

Table 7 Overall accuracy (OA), Type I and Type II errors (T1E and T2E, respectively) with respect to phyllic alteration zone resulted from geological model and threshold values of Cu obtained through C–V, N–S and S–V fractal modeling.

		Phyllic	alteration of
		geological m	odel
		Inside zones	Outside zones
C–V fractal model of	Inside zones	A 36518	B 48027
moderately and weakly	Outside zones	C 25461	D 69155
mineralized zones		T1E 0.41	T2E 0.40
		OA	0.59
N–S fractal model of	Inside zones	A 35555	B 46943
moderately mineralized	Outside zones	C 23955	D 48223
zones		T1E 0.40	T2E 0.49
		OA	0.54
S-V fractal model of the	Inside zones	A 40080	B 44943
hypogene zones	Outside zones	C 26899	D 54239
		T1E 0.40	T2E 0.45
		OA	0.56

Application of fractal models to delineate mineralized zones in 1 the Pulang porphyry copper deposit, Yunnan, Southwest China 2 Xiaochen Wang^a, Qinglin Xia^{a,b,*}, Tongfei Li^a, Shuai Leng^a, Yanling Li^a, 3 Li Kang^a, Zhijun Chen^a, Lianrong Wu^c 4 ^a Faculty of Earth Resources, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan 430074, China 5 ^bCollaborative Innovation Center for Exploration of Strategic Mineral Resources, 6 7 Wuhan 430074, China ^cYunnan Diging Nonferrous Metal Co., Ltd., Shangri-La 674400, China 8 Abstract 9 10 The aim of this study is to delineate and identify various mineralized zones and barren host rocks based on surface and subsurface lithogeochemical data from the 11 Pulang porphyry copper deposit, Southwest China, utilizing the number-size (N-S), 12 13 concentration-volume (C-V) and power spectrum-volume (S-V) fractal models. The N-S model reveals three mineralized zones characterized by Cu thresholds of 0.28% 14 and 1.45%: <0.28% Cu represents weakly mineralized zones and barren host rocks, 15 0.28%-1.45% Cu represents moderately mineralized zones, and >1.45% Cu represents 16 highly mineralized zones. The results obtained by the C-V model depict four 17 geochemical zones defined by Cu thresholds of 0.25%, 1.48% and 1.88%, 18 representing nonmineralized wall rocks (Cu<0.25%), weakly mineralized zones 19 (0.25%-1.48%), moderately mineralized zones (1.48%-1.88%), 20 and highly mineralized zones (Cu>1.88%). The S-V model is used by performing a 3D fast 21 22 Fourier transformation of assay data in the frequency domain. The S-V model reveals three mineralized zones characterized by Cu thresholds of 0.23% and 1.33%: <0.23% 23 Cu represents leached zones and barren host rocks, 0.23%-1.33% Cu represents 24 hypogene zones, and >1.33% Cu represents supergene enrichment zones. All the 25 multifractal models indicate that high-grade mineralization occurs in the central and 26 southern parts of the ore deposit. Their results are compared with the alteration and 27

mineralogical models resulting from the 3D geological model using a logratio matrix.
The results show that the S-V model is best at identifying highly mineralized zones in
the deposit. However, the results of the C-V model for moderately and weakly
mineralized zones are more accurate than those obtained from the N-S and S-V
models.

Keywords: Fractal; Concentration-volume (C-V) model; Number-size (N-S) model;
Power spectrum-volume (S-V) model; Mineralized zone; the Pulang porphyry copper
deposit

36 **1. Introduction**

The definition and delineation of different mineralized zones and non-mineralized 37 38 wall rocks are the main goal in economic geology and mineral exploration. Investigation of ore mineralogy and paragenetic sequence provides useful data on 39 ore-forming processes in deposits because typical characteristics of various types of 40 ore deposits are reflected by their mineral assemblages (Craig and Vaughan, 1994; 41 42 White and Hedenquist, 1995). Common methods generally use mineralography, petrography and alteration mineral assemblage analysis to delineate various 43 44 mineralized zones in porphyry deposits (Beane, 1982; Schwartz, 1947; Sillitoe, 1997; Berger et al., 2008). Lowell (1968) first proposed a conceptual model of the lateral 45 and vertical variations in mineralogy within alteration zones. Some similar models 46 were developed for potassic alteration, which is usually situated in the center and deep 47 parts of porphyry ore deposits, based on this conceptual model (Sillitoe and Gappe, 48 1984; Cox and Singer, 1986; Melfos et al., 2002). Fluid inclusion and stable isotope 49 studies are other methods used to outline different mineralization phases based on 50 51 thermometric and isotope element parameters and other geological parameters (e.g., Boyce et al., 2007; Faure et al., 2002; Wilson et al., 2007). Drillhole data and logging 52 information, including mineralographical information, host rock changes and 53 alterations are helpful in delineating mineralization zones. Different geological 54 interpretations could be used to detect zone boundaries, which may also lead to 55 different results because the elemental grade distribution may not be taken into 56

57 consideration.

Non-Euclidean fractal geometry (Mandelbrot, 1983) is an important branch of 58 59 nonlinear mathematical sciences and has been applied in various research fields of the geosciences since the 1980s. The relationships between geology, geochemistry and 60 mineralogical settings and spatial information can be researched by methods based on 61 fractal geometry (Afzal et al., 2011; Carranza, 2008, 2009). Bolviken et al. (1992) 62 and Cheng et al. (1994) have shown that geochemical patterns of various elements 63 64 have fractal dimensions. The concentration-area (C-A) model was proposed by Cheng et al. (1994) to recognize geochemical anomalies from background 65 concentrations and calculate elemental thresholds of different geochemical data. 66 Furthermore, many other fractal models have been proposed and applied in 67 geochemical exploration work, including the number-size (N-S) fractal model 68 proposed by Mandelbrot (1983) and Agterberg (1995), the power spectrum-area (S-A) 69 fractal model proposed by Cheng et al. (1999), the concentration-distance (C-D) 70 fractal model proposed by Li et al. (2003), the concentration-volume (C-V) fractal 71 72 model proposed by Afzal et al. (2011) and the power spectrum-volume (S-V) fractal model proposed by Afzal et al. (2012). 73

Methods of fractal analysis also illustrate the relationships between geological, 74 geochemical and mineralogical settings and spatial information derived from the 75 analysis of mineral deposit occurrence data (Carranza, 2008; Carranza et al., 2009; 76 Goncalves et al., 2001). Various geochemical processes can be described based on the 77 differences in fractal dimensions obtained from the analysis of relevant geochemical 78 79 data. Afzal et al. (2011) considered that the log-log plots obtained by fractal methods are useful tools to delineate different geological populations of geochemical data, and 80 81 the thresholds could be determined as some breakpoints in those plots.

The application of fractal models to delineate various grade mineralization zones was dependent on the relationships between the metal grades and volumes (Afzal et al., 2011; Agterberg et al., 1993; Cheng, 2007; Sim et al., 1999; Turcotte, 1986). Afzal et al. (2011 and 2012) proposed a concentration-volume (C-V) and power spectrum-volume (S-V) fractal model to delineate different porphyry-Cu mineralized zones and barren host rocks. In this paper, N-S, C-V and S-V fractal models were
applied to delineate various mineralized zones and barren host rocks in the Pulang
porphyry copper deposit, Yunnan, Southwest China.

90 **2. Fractal models**

91 2.1. Number-size (N-S) fractal model

92 The number-size (N-S) method proposed by Mandelbrot (1983) can be utilized 93 to describe the distribution of geochemical populations (Sadeghi et al., 2012). In this method, geochemical data does not undergo any preprocessing (Mao et al., 2004). 94 This model shows a relationship between desirable attributes (e.g., Cu concentration 95 in this study) and their cumulative number of samples (Sadeghi et al., 2012). A 96 power-law frequency model has been proposed to explain the N-S relationship 97 according to the frequency distribution of elemental concentrations and cumulative 98 number of samples with those attributes (e.g., Li et al., 1994; Sadeghi et al., 2012; 99 Sanderson et al., 1994; Shi and Wang, 1998; Turcotte, 1996; Zuo et al., 2009a). 100

101 The N-S model proposed by Mandelbrot (1983) can be expressed as follows:

102
$$N(\geq \rho) = F \rho^{-D}$$
(1)

103 where ρ denotes the element concentration, N($\geq \rho$) denotes the cumulative number of 104 samples with concentrations greater than or equal to ρ , F is a constant and D is the 105 scaling exponent or fractal dimension of the distribution of element concentrations. 106 According to Mandelbrot (1983), log-log plots of N($\geq \rho$) versus ρ show linear 107 segments with different slopes -D corresponding to different concentration intervals.

108 2.2. Concentration-volume (C-V) fractal model

Afzal et al. (2011) proposed a concentration-volume (C-V) fractal model based on the same principle of the concentration-area (C-A) model (Cheng et al., 1994) to analyze the relationship between the concentration of ore elements and accumulative volume with concentrations greater than or equal to a given value (Afzal et al., 2011; Zuo et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2013; Sadeghi et al., 2012; Soltani et al., 2014; Sun and Liu, 2014; Wang, G. et al., 2012). This model can be expressed as follows:

115
$$V(\rho \le \upsilon) \propto \rho^{-a_1}; V(\rho \ge \upsilon) \propto \rho^{-a_2}$$
(2)

116 $V(\rho \ge \upsilon)$ and $V(\rho \le \upsilon)$ represent the occupied volumes with concentrations above or 117 equal to and less than or equal to the contour value υ ; υ indicates the threshold value 118 of a zone; and a_1 and a_2 are the characteristic indexes. The thresholds obtained by this 119 method indicate the boundaries between the different grade mineralization zones and 120 barren host rocks of ore deposits. The drillhole data of the elemental concentrations 121 were interpolated by using geostatistical estimation to compute $V(\rho \ge \upsilon)$ and $V(\rho \le \upsilon)$, 122 which are the volume values enclosed by a contour level ρ in a 3D model.

123 2.3. Power spectrum-volume (S-V) fractal model

Different geochemical patterns in the spatial domain could be seen as layered signals of various frequencies. Cheng et al. (1999) proposed the power spectrum-area (S-A) fractal model to recognize geochemical anomalies from backgrounds utilizing the method of spectrum analysis in the frequency domain according to this argument. This model is combined with a concentration-area (C-A) model (Cheng et al. 1994), offering a useful tool to determine an optimum threshold value between various patterns based on the scaling property.

131 Afzal et al. (2012) proposed the power spectrum-volume (S-V) fractal model to delineate different grade mineralization zones based on the same principle as the S-A 132 model proposed by Cheng et al. (1999). The S-V model was utilized in the frequency 133 domain by applying a fast Fourier transformation to the assay data. The straight lines 134 obtained by log-log plotting indicate the relationships between the power spectra and 135 136 relevant volumes of ore elements. These relationships were utilized to recognize the hypogene zones and supergene enrichment zones from the barren host rocks and the 137 leached zone of the deposit. The recognition of various mineralization zones is based 138 on the power-law relationships between the power spectra and occupied volumes. The 139 formula is as follows: 140

141

$$V(\geq S) \propto S^{-2/\beta} \tag{3}$$

where the power-law relationships between the power spectra (S=-||F(Wx, Wy, Wz)||) and occupied volumes with power spectra greater than or equal to S can be

indicated by this form; F represents the fast Fourier transformation of the measurement $\mu(x, y, z)$; and Wx, Wy and Wz indicate wave numbers or angular frequencies in the X, Y and Z directions in a 3D model. The range of index β is $0 < \beta \le 2$ or $1 \le 2/\beta$ with the special cases of $\beta=2$ and $2/\beta=1$ corresponding to nonfractal and monofractal expressions, and $1 < 2/\beta$ corresponding to multifractals (Cheng, 2006).

By using the method of geostatistical estimation, the drillhole data of elemental 149 concentration values were interpolated to construct a block model of ore element 150 distribution. The power spectrum values can be obtained by 3D fast Fourier 151 transformation of the ore element grades. The logarithm of all the power spectrum 152 values and accumulative volume values were calculated. Additionally, the log-log plot 153 between power spectrum and volume was drawn according to previously determined 154 values. Then, the filters were constructed on the basis of threshold values obtained by 155 the log-log plot of S-V. Finally, the power spectra were converted back to the space 156 domain by utilizing inverse fast Fourier transformation. 157

3. Geological setting of the Pulang porphyry copper deposit

The Pulang porphyry copper deposit is situated in the southern end of the Yidun 159 160 continental arc, Southwest China (Fig. 1). The continental arc was produced due to the westward subduction of Garze-Litang oceanic crust (Deng et al., 2014b, 2015; Wang 161 et al., 2014). The Pulang ore deposit, one of the largest porphyry copper deposits in 162 China (Deng et al., 2012, 2014a; Mao et al., 2012, 2014), is characterized by a typical 163 porphyry-type alteration zone. The geological characteristics of the deposit, including 164 the alteration types and their zonation, the geometry of the orebody, the metallogenic 165 time and the geodynamic settings, have been systematically researched (Leng et al., 166 167 2012; Li et al., 2011, 2013). The deposit consists of five ore-bearing porphyry bodies covering an area of approximately 9 km², and the explored ore tonnage of Cu is 168 estimated to be 6.50 Mt (Liu et al., 2013). 169

The outcrop strata of the Pulang deposit are dominated by Upper Triassic
Tumugou Formation clastic rocks and andesite and Quaternary sediments (Fig. 1c).
The Triassic porphyry intrusions primarily comprise quartz diorite porphyry, quartz

monzonite porphyry, quartz diorite porphyrite and granodiorite porphyry. The 173 Tumugou Formation strata were intruded by the quartz diorite porphyry with an age 174 of 219.6 \pm 3.5 Ma (zircon U-Pb dating) (Pang et al., 2009). Then, quartz monzonite 175 porphyry with an age of 212.8 ± 1.9 Ma and granodiorite porphyry with an age of 176 206.3 ± 0.7 Ma (zircon U-Pb dating) (Liu et al., 2013) crosscut the quartz diorite 177 porphyry. The quartz monzonite porphyry is considered to be associated with 178 mineralization because its age is similar to the molybdenite Re-Os isochron age of 179 180 213 ± 3.8 Ma from the orebody (Zeng et al., 2004). Moreover, the Cu concentrations of the quartz monzonite porphyry are higher than those of the other porphyries. 181

The porphyry-type alteration zones transition from early potassium-silicate 182 alteration through quartz-sericite alteration to propylitization, upward and outward 183 from the core of the quartz monzonite porphyry (Fig. 4). The wall rocks near the 184 porphyries were mostly changed into hornfels. Systematic drilling has demonstrated 185 that the potassium-silicate and quartz-sericite zones host the main orebodies, 186 constituting the core of mineralized zones. The propylitic zones and hornfels only 187 188 develop weak mineralization. The orebodies occur mainly in potassium-silicate and quartz-sericite and occur as veins in the propylitic zones and hornfels. The major rock 189 types in the deposit are quartz monzonite porphyry, quartz diorite porphyrite, granite 190 diorite porphyry, quartz diorite porphyry and hornfels (Fig. 2). Metallic minerals 191 mainly include pyrite, chalcopyrite with a small amount of molybdenite and pyrrhotite 192 (Fig. 3). 193

194 **4. Fractal modeling**

Based on the geological data (which include the collar coordinates of each drillhole, azimuth and dip (orientation), lithology and mineralogy) recorded from 130 drillholes in the Pulang deposit, 20492 lithogeochemical samples were collected at 2 m intervals. The laboratory of the 3rd Geological Team of the Yunnan Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources utilized the iodine-fluorine and oscillo polarographic method to analyze the concentrations of Cu and associated paragenetic elements, and its analytical uncertainty is less than 7% (Yunnan Diqing Nonferrous Metal Co. Ltd.,

2009). Only Cu concentrations were studied in this study. The histogram and O-O plot 202 of the log-transformed Cu data indicate that the distribution of Cu data is log-normal 203 204 (Fig. 5). The experimental semivariogram of the Cu data of the Pulang deposit indicates a range and nugget effect of 320.0 m and 0.25, respectively (Fig. 6). The 205 spherical model is fitted with regard to the experimental semivariogram. The 3D 206 model of the Cu concentration distribution of the Pulang deposit was produced with 207 the ordinary kriging method using Geovia Surpac software on the basis of the 208 209 semivariogram and anisotropic ellipsoid. Fundamentally, the accuracy of the interpolation results mainly depends on whether the interpolation model accurately 210 fits the spatial distribution characteristics of the deposit. Ordinary kriging was used 211 because it is compatible with a stationary model; it only requires a variogram, and it is 212 the most commonly used form of kriging (Chilès and Delfiner, 1999). Goovaerts 213 214 (1997) showed that the values in unsampled locations are estimated by the ordinary kriging method according to the moving average of the interest variables, satisfying 215 various distribution forms of data. Ordinary kriging is a spatial estimation method in 216 217 which the error variance is minimized. This error variance is based on the configuration of the data and its variogram (Yamamoto, 2005). The correct variogram 218 in kriging interpolation can guarantee the accuracy of the interpolation results. 219

The accuracy of the spatial interpolation analysis is verified by comparing the 220 difference between the measured values and the predicted values to select the best 221 variogram model. To test the variogram model, the cross-validation method was used 222 223 to determine whether the parameters of the variogram model were correct. The 224 distribution of the residual is normal (Fig. 7), and the mean error between the actual 225 and estimated Cu grades is equal to 0 (Table 1). This result indicates that this model is 226 reasonable and that the variogram parameters used for estimating the Cu grade are unbiased. 227

The obtained block models were used as inputs to the fractal models. The Pulang deposit was modeled by $20 \text{ m} \times 20 \text{ m} \times 5 \text{ m}$ voxels, and they were decided by the grid drilling dimensions and geometrical properties of the deposit (David, 1970). The Pulang deposit is totally modeled with 150973 voxels. The terms "highly", "moderately" and "weakly" have been used to classify mineralized zones based onfractal modeling, in accordance with the classification of the ore grades in the deposit.

234

4 4.1. Number-size (N-S) fractal modeling

The N-S model was applied to the Cu data (Fig. 8). The selection of breakpoints as threshold values is an objective decision because geochemical populations are defined by different line segments in the N-S log-log plot. The straight fitted lines were obtained based on least-square regression (Agterberg et al., 1996; Spalla et al., 2010). In other words, the intensity of element enrichment is depicted by each slope of the line segments in the N-S log-log plots (Afzal et al., 2010; Bai et al., 2010).

241 Based on the classification of the 3D model of Cu data and the thresholds obtained 242 from the N-S fractal model (Table 2), highly mineralized zones are situated in the southern and central parts of the Pulang deposit and coincide with the 243 potassium-silicate alterations. However, small and highly mineralized zones are 244 located in the central parts of the Pulang deposit (Fig. 9). Moderately mineralized 245 246 zones occur along a northwest-southeast trend and correlate with the phyllic zones. Weakly mineralized zones and barren host rocks are situated in the marginal parts of 247 the area. 248

4.2. Concentration-volume (C-V) fractal modeling

The occupied volumes corresponding to the Cu grades were computed to obtain 250 the concentration-volume model according to the 3D model of the Pulang deposit. 251 Through the obtained C-V log-log plot, the threshold values of the Cu grades were 252 determined (Fig. 10). These results indicate the power-law relationship between Cu 253 254 grade and volume. According to these results (Table 3), the low-concentration zones exist in many parts of the deposit and occur along a northwest-southeast trend. 255 Moderately and highly mineralized zones are situated in several parts of the central 256 deposit and to the south of the deposit (Fig. 11). 257

4.3. Power spectrum-volume (S-V) fractal modeling

Based on the geological data (which include the collar coordinates of each drillhole, azimuth and dip (orientation), lithology and mineralogy) recorded from 130 drillholes in the deposit, a 3D model and block model of the distribution of Cu in thePulang deposit were constructed with ordinary kriging using Geovia Surpac software.

263 The power spectrum (S) was calculated for the 3D elemental distribution using 3D fast Fourier transformation in MATLAB (R2016a). The logarithmic values of the 264 power spectra and relevant volumes were plotted against each other (Fig. 12). The 265 straight lines fitted in the log-log plot indicate different relationships between the 266 power spectra and occupied volumes. The thresholds of $\log S = 7.81$ and $\log S = 8.70$ 267 were determined by the log-log S-V plot. The 3D filters were designed to separate 268 different mineralization zones on the basis of these threshold values. Inverse fast 269 Fourier transformation was used to convert the decomposed components back into the 270 space domain by using MATLAB (R2016a). According to the results, the Cu 271 concentrations of the hypogene zones range from 0.23% to 1.33% (Table 4), and 272 values of >1.33% Cu correspond to the supergene enrichment zones, whereas values 273 of <0.23% Cu correspond to the leached zone and barren host rocks (Fig. 13). 274

5. Comparison of the fractal models and geological model of the

276 **deposit**

Alteration models have a key role in zone delineation and in presenting 277 geological models, as described by Lowell and Guilbert (1970). The potassic and 278 279 phyllic alterations control major mineralization within supergene enrichment and hypogene zones according to these models. Models of Cu mineralization zones 280 derived via fractal models can be compared with geological data to validate the results 281 of analysis in different porphyry Cu deposits. The results of the fractal modeling of 282 283 the Pulang deposit were compared with the 3D geological model of the deposit constructed by using Geovia Surpac and drillhole data (Fig. 2). Moreover, the results 284 obtained from these fractal models were controlled by mineralogical investigations. 285

Carranza (2011) has illustrated an analysis for the calculation of spatial correlations between two binary datasets, especially mathematical and geological models. An intersection operation between the mineralization zones obtained from fractal models and the different alteration zones in the geological model was performed to derive the amount of voxels corresponding to each of the classes of
overlap zones (Table 5). Using the obtained numbers of voxels, the Type I error (T1E),
Type II error (T2E), and overall accuracy (OA) of the fractal model were estimated
with respect to different alteration zones and the geological data (Carranza, 2011).
The OAs of the fractal models of the mineralized zones were compared as follows.

295 A comparison between highly mineralized zones based on the fractal models and potassic alteration zones resulting from the 3D geological model shows that there is a 296 297 similarity among these fractal models. The overall accuracies for the C-V, N-S and S-V models are 0.50, 0.51 and 0.52, respectively (Table 6), which indicate that the 298 299 S-V model gives better results for identifying highly mineralized zones in the deposit. The number of overlapped voxels (A) in the S-V model is higher than those in the 300 N-S and C-V models. The correlation (from OA results) between highly mineralized 301 zones obtained from S-V modeling and potassic alteration zones is better than that of 302 the N-S and C-V model because of a strong proportional relationship between the 303 extension and positions of voxels in the S-V model and the potassic alteration zones 304 305 in the 3D geological model.

306 A comparison between phyllic alteration zones resulting from the 3D geological model and moderately and weakly mineralized zones from the fractal modeling shows 307 that the overall accuracies of the C-V, N-S and S-V fractal models with respect to 308 phyllic alteration zones of the geological model are 0.59, 0.54 and 0.56, respectively. 309 The overall accuracy of moderately and weakly mineralized zones obtained from C-V 310 modeling is higher than that of mineralized zones obtained from N-S and S-V 311 modeling (Table 7). On the other hand, moderately mineralized zones defined by C-V 312 modeling overlap with phyllic zones defined by the 3D geological model. However, 313 the results of the C-V model are more accurate than those of the N-S and S-V models 314 with respect to the phyllic zones defined by the 3D geological model. 315

It could be considered that there are spatial correlations between different modeled Cu zones and geological features such as alterations and mineralogy. Several samples were collected from different drillholes in different grade mineralization zones of the Pulang deposit to validate the results of the fractal models. These

samples were analyzed by microscopic identification and XRF (X-ray fluorescence 320 spectrometry). The PL-B82 sample was collected from the drillhole situated in a 321 high-grade mineralization zone and includes a high chalcopyrite content and some 322 molybdenite (Fig. 16a). The PL-B62 sample was collected from the drillhole situated 323 in a moderate-grade mineralization zone and includes a low chalcopyrite content and 324 some pyrrhotite in the polished section (Fig. 16b). The PL-B74 sample was collected 325 from the drillhole located in a weakly mineralized zone with lower chalcopyrite 326 327 content and some pyrrhotite (Fig. 16c and Fig. 16d). The results obtained from the mineralogy, microscopic identification and drillhole scanning by XRF of these 328 samples indicate that the Cu concentrations are 1.80%, 1.32% and 0.41% in the 329 PL-B82, PL-B62 and PL-B74 samples, respectively (Table 8). 330

6. Conclusions

In many cases, drillhole logging is dealing with the lack of proper diagnosis of 332 geological phenomena, which can undermine the delineation of mineralized zones 333 334 because it depends on the subjective interpretation of individual loggers, and no two loggers provide the same interpretations. However, conventional geological modeling 335 336 based on drillhole data is fundamentally important for understanding the orebody spatial structure. Grades of ore elements are not determined by conventional methods 337 of geological ore modeling, while the variation in ore grades in a mineral deposit is an 338 obvious and salient feature. Given the problems mentioned above, using a series of 339 newly established methods based on mathematical analyses such as fractal modeling 340 341 seems to be inevitable.

In this paper, the number-size (N-S), concentration-volume (C-V) and power spectrum-volume (S-V) fractal models were used to delineate and recognize various Cu mineralized zones of the Pulang porphyry copper deposit in the southern end of the Yidun continental arc, Southwest China. All these fractal models reveal that high-grade Cu mineralized zones are situated in the central and southern parts of the deposit. The Cu threshold values of highly mineralized zones are 1.45% and 1.88% based on the N-S and C-V fractal models. The Cu threshold of supergene enrichment

zones is 1.33% based on the S-V fractal model. The models of moderately 349 mineralized zones contain 0.28-1.45% Cu according to the N-S model and 1.48-1.88% 350 Cu according to the C-V model. The hypogene zones contain 0.23-1.33% Cu 351 according to the S-V model. The N-S model reveals weakly mineralized zones and 352 barren host rocks containing <0.28% Cu. In contrast, the C-V model reveals that the 353 barren host rocks contain <0.25% and that the weakly mineralized zones contain 354 0.25-1.48% Cu. The S-V model reveals that the barren host rock and leached zone 355 contain <0.23% Cu. 356

The comparison between highly mineralized zones based on the fractal models 357 and potassic zones resulting from the 3D geological model illustrates that the S-V 358 fractal model is better than the N-S and C-V model because the number of overlapped 359 voxels (A) in the S-V model is higher than those in the N-S and C-V model. The 360 overall accuracies for the C-V, N-S and S-V models are 0.50, 0.51 and 0.52, 361 respectively (Table 6), which indicates that the S-V model gives the best results for 362 identifying highly mineralized zones in the deposit. On the other hand, the correlation 363 364 (from OA results) between the highly mineralized zones obtained from S-V modeling and the potassic alteration zones is better than those of the N-S and C-V models 365 because of a strong proportional relationship between the extension and positions of 366 the voxels in the S-V model and potassic alteration zones in the 3D geological model. 367

A comparison between phyllic alteration zones obtained from the 3D geological model and moderate grade mineralization zones obtained from the fractal models indicates that the OA values of the C-V, N-S and S-V fractal methods in reference to the phyllic alteration zones of the geological model are 0.59, 0.54 and 0.56, respectively. The overall accuracy of the moderately and weakly mineralized zones obtained from C-V modeling is higher than the mineralized zones obtained from N-S and S-V modeling (Table 7).

According to the correlation between the results driven by fractal modeling and geological logging from drillholes in the Pulang porphyry copper deposit, high-grade mineralization zones generated by fractal models, especially the S-V model, have a better correlation with potassic alteration zones resulting from the 3D geological model than from the N-S and C-V models. The highly and moderately mineralized zones obtained from the fractal models are both situated in the southern and central parts of the Pulang deposit and coincide with potassic and phyllic alteration zones. There is a better relationship between the moderately and weakly mineralized zones derived by the C-V model and the phyllic alteration zones from the 3D geological model than those derived by the N-S and S-V models.

385 Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2016YFC0600508). The authors thank Tao Dong, Haijun Yu, Qiwu Shen, Zhipeng Li, Baosheng Shi and Jinhong Yang for supporting in field investigation and providing parts of raw data.

408 **References**

- Agterberg, F.P., Cheng, Q., and Wright, D.F.: Fractal modeling of mineral deposits, in:
 Proceedings of the 24th APCOM Symposium, Montreal, Canada, 43–53, 1993.
- 411 Agterberg, F.P.: Multifractal modeling of the sizes and grades of giant and sup
- 412 ergiant deposits, International Geology Review, 37, 1–8, https://doi.org/10.1080/0
- 413 0206819509465388, 1995.
- Agterberg, F.P., Cheng, Q., Brown, A., Good, D.: Multifractal modeling of fractures in
 the Lac du Bonnet batholith, Manitoba. Comput. Geosci. 22, 497–507, 1996.
- 416 Afzal, P., Khakzad, A., Moarefvand, P., Rashidnejad Omran, N., Esfandiari, B.,
- 417 Fadakar Alghalandis, Y.: Geochemical anomaly separation by multifractal modeling
- 418 in Kahang (GorGor) porphyry system, Central Iran. J. Geochem. Explor. 104, 34–46,
 419 2010.
- Afzal, P., Fadakar Alghalandis, Y., Khakzad, A., Moarefvand, P., and Rashidnejad
 Omran, N.: Delineation of mineralization zones in porphyry Cu deposits by fractal
- 422 concentration–volume modeling, J. Geochem. Explor., 108, 220–232, https://doi.org/
 423 10.1016/j.gexplo.2011.03.005, 2011.
- 424 Afzal, P., Fadakar Alghalandis, A., Moarefvand, P., Rashidnejad Omran, N., and Asadi
- 425 Haroni, H.: Application of power-spectrum-volume fractal method for detecting
- 426 hypogene, supergene enrichment, leached and barren zones in Kahang Cu porphyry
- 427 deposit, Central Iran, J. Geochem. Explor., 112, 131–138, https://doi.org/10.1016/
- 428 j.gexplo.2011.08.002, 2012.
- Bai, J., Porwal, A., Hart, C., Ford, A., Yu, L.: Mapping geochemical singularity using
- 430 multifractal analysis: application to anomaly definition on stream sediments data from
- 431 Funin Sheet, Yunnan, China, J. Geochem. Explor., 104, 1–11, 2010.
- 432 Beane, R.E.: Hydrothermal alteration in silicate rocks, in: Advances in Geology of the
- 433 Porphyry Copper Deposits, Southwestern North America, Titley, S.R. (Ed.), The
- 434 University of Arizona Press, Tucson, 117–137, 1982.
- 435 Bolviken, B., Stokke, P.R., Feder, J., and Jossang, T.: The fractal nature of
- 436 geochemical landscapes, J. Geochem. Explor., 43, 91–109, 1992.

- Boyce, A.J., Fulgnati, P., Sbrana, A., and Fallick, A.E.: Fluids in early stage
 hydrothermal alteration of high-sulfidation epithermal systems: a view from the
 volcano active hydrothermal system (Aeolian Island, Italy), Journal of Volcanology
 and Geothermal Research, 166, 76–90, 2007.
- Berger, B. R., Ayuso, R. A., Wynn, J. C., and Seal, R. R.: Preliminary Model of
 Porphyry Copper Deposits, USGS, Open-File Report, 1321 pp., 2008.
- 443 Cox, D. and Singer, D.: Mineral deposits models, US Geological Survey Bulletin,
 444 1693 pp., 1986.
- 445 Craig, G.R. and Vaughan, D.: Ore Microscopy and Ore Petrography, John Wile446 yandSons, 1994.
- Chilès, J.P. and Delfiner, P.: Geostatistics: Modeling Spatial Uncertainty, Wiley,
 NewYork, 695 pp., 1999.
- 449 Carranza, E.J.M.: Geochemical Anomaly and Mineral Prospectivity Mapping in GIS.
- Handbook of Exploration and Environmental Geochemistry, 11, Amsterdam, Elsevier,
 351 pp., 2008.
- 452 Carranza, E.J.M.: Controls on mineral deposit occurrence inferred from analysis of
 453 their spatial pattern and spatial association with geological features, Ore Geol. Rev.,
 454 35, 383–400, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2009.01.001, 2009.
- 455 Carranza, E.J.M., Owusu, E.A., and Hale, M.: Mapping of prospectivity and
- 456 estimation of number of undiscovered prospects for lode gold, southwestern Ashanti
- 457 Belt, Ghana, Mineralium Deposita, 44, 915–938, https://doi.org/10.1007/
 458 s00126-009-0250-6, 2009.
- 459 Carranza, E.J.M.: From predictive mapping of mineral prospectivity to quantitative
 460 estimation of number of undiscovered prospects. Resource Geology 61, 30–51, 2010.
- 461 Carranza, E.J.M.: Analysis and mapping of geochemical anomalies using
- 462 logratio-transformed stream sediment data with censored values, J. Geochem. Explor.,
- 463 110, 167–185, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2011.05.007, 2011.
- 464 Cheng, Q., Agterberg, F.P., and Ballantyne, S.B.: The separation of geochemical
- anomalies from background by fractal methods, J. Geochem. Explor., 51, 109–130,
- 466 https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-6742(94)90013-2, 1994.

- 467 Cheng, Q.: Spatial and scaling modelling for geochemical anomaly separation,
- J. Geochem. Explor., 65, 175–194, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-6742(99)00028X,1999.
- Cheng, Q.: Multifractal modelling and spectrum analysis: methods and applications to
 gamma ray spectrometer data from southwestern Nova Scotia, Canada, Science in
 China, Series D: Earth Sciences 49 (3), 283–294, 2006.
- 473 Cheng, Q.: Mapping singularities with stream sediment geochemical data for
- 474 prediction of undiscovered mineral deposits in Gejiu, Yunnan Province, China, Ore
- 475 Geol. Rev., 32, 314–324, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2006.10.002, 2007.
- 476 David, M.: Geostatistical Ore Reserve Estimation, Amsterdam, Elsevier, 283 pp.,477 1970.
- Deng, J., Wang, C.M., and Li, G.J.: Style and process of the superimposed
 mineralization in the Sanjiang Tethys, Acta Petrologica Sinica, 28 (5), 1349–1361 (in
 Chinese with English abstract), 2012.
- Deng, J., Wang, Q.F., Li, G.J., and Santosh, M.: Cenozoic tectono-magmatic and
 metallogenic processes in the Sanjiang region, southwestern China, Earth Sci. Rev.,
 138, 268–299, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2014.05.015, 2014a.
- 484 Deng, J., Wang, Q.F., Li, G.J., Li, C.S., and Wang, C.M.: Tethys tectonic evolution
- and its bearing on the distribution of important mineral deposits in the Sanjiang region,
- 486 SW China, Gondwana Research, 26 (2), 419–437, https://doi.org/10.1016/
 487 j.gr.2013.08.002, 2014b.
- Deng, J., Wang, Q.F., Li, G.J., Hou, Z.Q., Jiang, C.Z., and Danyushevsky, L.: Geology
 and genesis of the giant Beiya porphyry–skarn gold deposit, northwestern Yangtze
 Block, China, Ore Geol. Rev., 70, 457–485, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.
 2015.02.015, 2015.
- Faure, K., Matsuhisa, Y., Metsugi, H., Mizota, C., and Hayashi, S.: The Hishikari
 Au–Ag epithermal deposit, Japan: oxygen and hydrogen isotope evidence in
 determining the source of paleo hydrothermal fluids, Economic Geology, 97, 481–498,
 https://doi.org/10.2113/gsecongeo.97.3.481, 2002.
- 496 Goovaerts, P.: Geostatistics for Natural Resources Evaluation, Oxford University

Press, New York, 496 pp., 1997. 497

- Goncalves, M. A., Mateus, A., and Oliveira, V.: Geochemical anomaly separation by 498 multifractal modeling, J. Geochem. Explor., 72, 91-114, https://doi.org/10.1016/ 499 S0375-6742(01)00156-X, 2001. 500
- Lowell, J.D.: Geology of the Kalamazoo orebody, San Manuel district, Arizona, 501 Economic Geology, 63, 645–654, https://doi.org/10.2113/gsecongeo.63.6.645, 1968. 502
- Lowell, J.D. and Guilbert, J.M.: Lateral and vertical alteration-mineralization zoning 503
- 504 in porphyry ore deposits, Economic Geology, 65, 373–408, https://doi.org/10.2113/ gsecongeo.65.4.373, 1970. 505
- Li, C., Xu, Y., Jiang, X.: The fractal model of mineral deposits. Geol. Zhejiang 10, 506 25–32 (In Chinese with English Abstract), 1994. 507
- Li, C., Ma, T., and Shi, J.: Application of a fractal method relating concentrations and 508
- distances for separation of geochemical anomalies from background, J. Geochem. 509 Explor., 77, 167–175, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-6742(02)00276-5, 2003. 510
- Li, W.C., Zeng, P.S., Hou, Z.Q., and White, N.C.: The Pulang porphyry copper 511
- deposit and associated felsic intrusions in Yunnan Province, Southwest China, Economic Geology, 106 (1),79–92, https://doi.org/10.2113/econgeo.106.1.79, 2011. 513
- Leng, C.B., Zhang, X.C., Hu, R.Z., Wang, S.X., Zhong, H., Wang, W.Q., and Bi, X.W.: 514
- Zircon U-Pb and molybdenite Re-Os geochronology and Sr-Nd-Pb-Hf isotopic 515
- constraintson the genesis of the Xuejiping porphyry copper deposit in Zhongdian, 516
- Northwest Yunnan, China, Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 60, 31-48, 2012. 517
- Liu, X.L., Li, W.C., Yin, G.H., and Zhang, N.: The geochronology, mineralogy and 518 geochemistry study of the Pulang porphyry copper deposits in Geza arc of Yunnan 519 Province, Acta Petrologica Sinica, 29(9), 3049-3064 (in Chinese with English 520 521 abstract), 2013.
- Mandelbrot, B. B.: The Fractal Geometry of Nature, W. H. Freeman, San Fransisco, 522 523 468 pp., 1983.
- Melfos, V., Vavelidis, M., Christodes, G., and Seidel, E.: Origin and evolution of the 524
- Tertiary Maronia porphyry copper-molybdenum deposit, Thrace, Greece, Mineralium 525
- Deposita, 37, 648–668, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00126-002-0277-4, 2002. 526

- Mao, Z., Peng, S., Lai, J., Shao, Y., Yang, B.: Fractal study of geochemical
 prospecting data in south area of Fenghuanshan copper deposit, Tongling Anhui, J.
 Earth Sci. Environ, 26, 11–14, 2004.
- 530 Mao, J.W., Zhou, Z.H., Feng, C.Y., Wang, Y.T., Zhang, C.Q., Peng, H.J., and Yu, M.:
- A preliminary study of the Triassic large-scale mineralization in China and its geodynamic setting, Geology in China, 39(6), 1437–1471 (in Chinese with English abstract), 2012.
- Mao, J.W., Pirajno, F., Lehmann, B., Luo, M.C., and Berzina, A.: Distribution of
 porphyry deposits in the Eurasian continent and their corresponding tectonic settings,
 Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 79 (PartB), 576–584, https://doi.org/10.1016/
- 537 j.jseaes.2013.09.002, 2014.
- Pang, Z.S., Du, Y.S., Wang, G.W., Guo, X., Cao, Y., and Li, Q.: Single-grain zircon
- 539 U–Pb isotopic ages, geochemistry and its implication of Pulang complex in Yunnan
- 540 Province, China, Acta Petrologica Sinica, 25(1), 159–165 (in Chinese with English
 541 abstract), 2009.
- 542 Pyrcz, M.J. and Deutsch, C.V.: Geostatistical Reservoir Modeling, Oxford University
 543 Press, 2014.
- Sanderson, D.J., Roberts, S., Gumiel, P.: A fractal relationship between vein thickness
 and gold grade in drill core from La Codosera, Spain. Econ. Geol., 89, 168–173,
 1994.
- 547 Schwartz, G.M.: Hydrothermal alteration in the "porphyry copper" deposits, 548 Economic Geology, 42, 319–352, https://doi.org/10.2113/gsecongeo.42.4.319, 1947.
- Shi, J., Wang, C.: Fractal analysis of gold deposits in China: implication for giant
 deposit exploration. Earth Sci. J. China Univ. Geosci. 23, 616–618 (In Chinese with
- 551 English abstract), 1998.
- Sillitoe, R.H. and Gappe, I.M.: Philippine porphyry copper deposits: geologic setting
 and characteristics, Common Coordination Joint Resource (CCOP), 14, 1–89, 1984.
- 554 Sillitoe, R.H.: Characteristics and controls of the largest porphyry copper–gold and
- epithermal gold deposits in the circum-pacific region, Australian Journal of Earth
- 556 Sciences, 44, 373–388, https://doi.org/10.1080/08120099708728318, 1997.

- Sim, B.L., Agterberg, F.P., and Beaudry, C.: Determining the cutoff between
 background and relative base metal contamination levels using multifractal methods,
 Comput. Geosci., 25, 1023–1041, 1999.
- 560 Sadeghi, B., Moarefvand, P., Afzal, P., Yasrebi, A.B., and Saein, L.D.: Application of
- fractal models to outline mineralized zones in the Zaghia iron ore deposit, Central Iran,
- 562 J. Geochem. Explor., 122, 9–19, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2012.04.011, 2012.
- 563 Soltani, F., Afzal, P., and Asghari, O.: Delineation of alteration zones based on
- 564 Sequential Gaussian Simulation and concentration-volume fractal modeling in the
- hypogene zone of Sungun copper deposit, NW Iran, J. Geochem. Explor., 140, 64–76,
- 566 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2014.02.007, 2014.
- Spalla, M.I., Morotta, A.M., Gosso, G.: Advances in interpretation of geological
 processes: refinement of multi-scale data and integration in numerical modelling.
 Geological Society, London, 240 pp, 2010.
- 570 Sun, T. and Liu, L.: Delineating the complexity of Cu-Mo mineralization in a 571 porphyry intrusion by computational and fractal modeling: A case study of the 572 Chehugou deposit in the Chifeng district, Inner Mongolia, China, J. Geochem. Explor.,
- 573 144, 128–143, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2014.02.015, 2014.
- 574 Turcotte, D.L.: A fractal approach to the relationship between ore grade and tonnage,
 575 Economic Geology, 18, 1525–1532, 1986.
- Turcotte, D.L.: Fractals in geology and geophysics, Pure Appl. Geophys., 131,
 171–196, 1989.
- 578 Turcotte, D.L.: Fractals and Chaos in Geophysics. second ed. Cambridge University
- 579 Press, Cambridge UK, pp. 81–99, 1996.
- 580 White, N.C. and Hedenquist, J.W.: Epithermal gold deposits: styles, characteristics 581 and exploration, SEG Newsletter, 23, 1–14, 1995.
- 582 Wilson, A.J., Cooke, David, R., Harper, B.J., and Deyell, C.L.: Sulfur isotopic
- zonation in the Cadia district, southeastern Australia: exploration significance and
- implications for the genesis of alkalic porphyry gold-copper deposits, Mineralium
- 585 Deposita, 42, 465–487, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00126-006-0071-9, 2007.
- 586 Wang, Q.F., Deng, J., Liu, H., Wang, Y., Sun, X., and Wan, L.: Fractal models for

- 587 estimating local reserves with different mineralization qualities and spatial variations,
- J. Geochem. Explor., 108, 196–208, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2011.02.008,
 2011.
- Wang, Q.F., Deng, J., Li, C.S., Li, G.J., Yu, L., and Qiao, L.: The boundary between the
 Simao and Yangtze blocks and their locations in Gondwana and Rodinia: constraints
 from detrital and inherited zircons, Gondwana Research, 26(2), 438–448,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2013.10.002, 2014.
- Wang, G. W., Emmanuel John M. Carranza, Zuo, R., Hao, Y. L., Du, Y. S., Pang, Z. S.,
- and Sun Y.: Mapping of district-scale potential targets using fractal models, J.
- 596 Geochem. Explor., 122, 34–46, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2012.06.013, 2012.
- 597 Yamamoto, J.K.: Comparing Ordinary Kriging Interpolation Variance and Indicator
- 598 Kriging Conditional Variance for Assessing Uncertainties at Unsampled Locations, in:
- 599 Application of Computers and Operations Research in the Mineral Industry, edited by:
- 600 Dessureault, S., Ganguli, R., Kecojevic, V., and Girard-Dwyer, J., Balkema, 2005.
- Yunnan Diqing Nonferrous Metal Co. Ltd.: Exploration Report of Pulang Copper
 Deposit, Diqing, Yunnan, China, Yunnan Diqing Nonferrous Metal Co. Ltd., Diqing
 Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture (in Chinese), 2009.
- Zeng, P.S., Hou, Z.Q., Li, L.H., Qu, W.J., Wang, H.P., Li, W.C., Meng, Y.F., and Yang,
- Z.S.: Age of the Pulang porphyry copper deposit in NW Yunnan and its geological
 significance, Geological Bulletin of China, 23(11), 1127–1131 (in Chinese with
 English abstract), 2004.
- Zuo, R., Cheng, Q., and Xia, Q.: Application of fractal models to characterization of
 vertical distribution of geochemical element concentration, J. Geochem. Explor., 102,
- 610 37–43, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2008.11.020, 2009.
- EXAMPLE 200, R. and Wang, J.: Fractal/multifractal modeling of geochemical data: A review, J.
- Geochem. Explor., 164, 33-41, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2015.04.010, 2016.
- 613
- 614
- 615
- 616

Fig.1. Geological map of the Pulang porphyry copper deposit, SW China. Modifiedafter Yunnan Diqing Nonferrous Metal Co. Ltd., 2009.

Fig.2. Geological 3D models including lithology, alteration and 3D drill hole plot with the legend of each in the Pulang porphyry copper deposit. (Scale is in m^3 .)

621 Fig.3. Photographs of alteration and mineralization in the Pulang porphyry copper

622 deposit, SW China. (a) Quartz monzonite porphyry with potassium-silicate alteration;

623 (b) Quartz diorite porphyrite with quartz-sericite alteration; (c) Quartz diorite 624 porphyrite with propylitic alteration; (d) Hornfels. Qtz=quartz; Pl=plagioclase;

porphyrite with propylitic alteration; (d) Hornfels. Qtz=quartz; Pl=plagioclase;
Kfs=K-feldspar; Bt=biotite; Ser=sericite; Chl=chlorite; Ep=epidote; Py=pyrite;
Con shale equation Ma maluh denite. Pa cumbatite

- 626 Ccp=chalcopyrite; Mo=molybdenite; Po= pyrrhotite.
- Fig.4. Cross section along exploration line 0 in the Pulang porphyry copper deposit,SW China. Modified after Wang et al., 2012.
- Fig.5. Histograms of (a) the Cu raw and (b) logarithmic transformation data and (c)Q-Q plot of the log-transformed Cu data in the Pulang deposit.
- **Fig.6.** The experimental semivariogram (omni-directional) of Cu data in Pulang deposit.
- **Fig.7.** The cross-validation results: (a) residual VS Cu grade; (b) the residual d istribution histogram.
- **Fig.8.** N–S log–log plot for Cu concentrations in the Pulang deposit.
- **Fig.9.** Zones in the Pulang deposit based on thresholds defined from the N–S fractal
- model of Cu data: (a) highly mineralized zones; (b) moderately mineralized zones; (c)
 weakly mineralized zones and barren host rocks. (Scale is in m³.)
- **Fig.10.** C–V log–log plot for Cu concentrations in the Pulang deposit.
- 640 Fig.11. Zones in the Pulang deposit based on thresholds defined from the C–V fractal
- model of Cu data: (a) highly mineralized zones; (b) moderately mineralized zones; (c)
 weakly mineralized zones; (d) barren host rock.(Scale is in m³.)
- **Fig 12** S. V log log plot for Cu concentrations in the Pulsag dance
- **Fig.12.** S–V log–log plot for Cu concentrations in the Pulang deposit.
- **Fig.13.** Zones in the Pulang deposit based on thresholds defined from the S–V fractal model of Cu data: (a) the supergene enrichment zones; (b) the hypogene zones; (c) the leached zone and barren host rock (Scale is in m^3 .)
- Fig.14. Highly mineralized zones in the Pulang deposit: (a) potassium-silicate zone
 resulted from the 3D geological model from drillhole geological data; (b) N–S
 modeling of Cu data; and (c) C–V modeling of Cu data; (d) S–V modeling of Cu data
 (Scale is in m³.)
- Fig.15. Moderately mineralized zones in the Pulang deposit:(a) quartz–sericite zones
 resulted from the 3D geological model from drillhole geological data; (b) N–S
 modeling of Cu data; and (c) C–V modeling of Cu data; (d) S–V modeling of Cu data
 (Scale is in m³.)
- Fig.16. Chalcopyrite content in several samples based on mineralographical study: (a)
 PL-B82 sample was collected from the drillhole situated in the high grade
- 657 mineralization zones.; (b) PL-B62 sample was collected from the drillhole situated in
- the moderately grade mineralization zones.; (c) and (d) PL-B74 sample was collected
- from the drillhole located at the weakly mineralized zones.
- 660

Table 1 The results of statistical characteristics of the residual.

Table 2 Thresholds concentrations obtained by using N-S model based on Cu% inPulang deposit.

Table 3 Thresholds concentrations obtained by using C-V model based on Cu% inPulang deposit.

Table 4 Ranges of power spectrum (S) for different mineralization zones in Pulangdeposit.

Table 5 Matrix for comparing performance of fractal modeling results with geological model. A, B, C, and D represent number of voxels in overlaps between classes in the binary geological model and the binary results of fractal models (Carranza, 2011).

Table 6 Overall accuracy (OA), Type I and Type II errors (T1E and T2E, respectively)

with respect to potassic alteration zone resulted from geological model and threshold values of Cu obtained through C–V, N–S and S–V fractal modeling.

Table 7 Overall accuracy (OA), Type I and Type II errors (T1E and T2E, respectively)

with respect to phyllic alteration zone resulted from geological model and threshold
values of Cu obtained through C–V, N–S and S–V fractal modeling.

Table 8 Results of XRF analysis of samples collected from different mineralizedzones in the Pulang porphyry copper deposit.

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687 688

689

690

691

692 693

694

695

























Fig. 9



(a)





± 4









Base









887 (c)









Table 1

	Variables			Residual
			0.000	
		Variance		0.016
	Standa	rd Deviation		0.127
938	Table 2			
	Mineralized zones	Thresholds (Cu	.%)	Range (Cu%)
	Barren host rock and weakly mineralized	d		<0.28
	Moderately mineralized	0.28		0.28-1.45
	Highly mineralized	1.45		>1.45
939	Table 3			
	Mineralized zones	Thresholds (Cu%)	Range (Cu%)
	Barren host rock			<0.25
	Weakly mineralized	0.25		0.25–1.48
	Moderately mineralized	1.48		1.48–1.88
	Highly mineralized	1.88		>1.88
940	Table 4			
	Mineralized	PS threshold	Range of PS	Range (Cu%)
	zones			
	leached zone		<7.81	<0.23
	and barren host			
	rock			
	hypogene	7.81	7.81-8.70	0.23-1.33
	zones			
	supergene	8.70	>8.70	>1.33
	enrichment zones			
941	Table 5			

		Geological model	
		Inside zone	Outside zone
Fractal model	Inside zone	True positive (A)	False positive (B)
	Outside	False negative (C)	True negative (D)
	zone	TypeIerror=C/(A+C)	TypeIIerror=B/(B+D)
		Overallaccuracy=(A+D)/(A+B	
		+C+D)	

		Potassic alteration	of geological
		model	
		Inside zones	Outside zones
C–V fractal model of	Inside zones	A 2850	B 1360
highly mineralized zones	Outside zones	C 77927	D 76913
		T1E 0.96	T2E 0.02
		OA	0.50
N-S fractal model of	Inside zones	A 3092	B 1570
highly mineralized zones	Outside zones	C 75025	D 75473
		T1E 0.96	T2E 0.02
		OA	0.51
S–V fractal model of	Inside zones	A 4431	B 2318
supergene enrichment	Outside zones	C 72985	D 75726
zones		T1E 0.94	T2E 0.03
		OA	0.52

Table 7

		Phyllic a	alteration of
	geological model		odel
		Inside zones	Outside zones
C–V fractal model of	Inside zones	A 36518	B 48027
moderately and weakly	Outside zones	C 25461	D 69155
mineralized zones		T1E 0.41	T2E 0.40
		OA	0.59
N–S fractal model of	Inside zones	A 35555	B 46943
moderately mineralized	Outside zones	C 23955	D 48223
zones		T1E 0.40	T2E 0.49
		OA	0.54
S-V fractal model of the	Inside zones	A 40080	B 44943
hypogene zones	Outside zones	C 26899	D 54239
		T1E 0.40	T2E 0.45
		OA	0.56
Table 8			
Sample no. Mine	eralized zones obtaine	ed by	Cu (%)
	fractal models		

Sample no.	Mineralized zones obtained by	Cu (%)
	fractal models	
PL-B74	Weakly mineralized zones	0.41
PL-B62	Moderately mineralized zones	1.32
PL-B82	Highly mineralized zones	1.80

Table 6