Nonlin. Processes Geophys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/npg-2019-60-RC2, 2020 © Author(s) 2020. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



NPGD

Interactive comment

## Interactive comment on "Vertical profiles of wind gust statistics from a regional reanalysis using multivariate extreme value theory" by Julian Steinheuer and Petra Friederichs

## Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 11 January 2020

The authors present a novel approach to modelling hourly peak wind speed using a generalized extreme value (GEV) distribution with height and time dependent parameters. These GEV parameters are functions of several covariates from the COSMO-REA6 reanalysis. The presented results are convincing and the paper is well written. There are only minor inaccuracies requiring clarification:

P2,L44-46. Ensemble model output statistic is often referred as non-homogeneous regression, so I don't see any reason for treating the two notions here separately.

P4,L85. I don't see the reason of formulating Gnedenko's theorem. I would just cite it and define the GEV distribution.

**Printer-friendly version** 

**Discussion paper** 



P4,L105. What do the authors mean by "generalized height".

P10,L271. Please clarify the sentence "We conclude  $\dots$  " as something seem to be missing here.

Typos:

P2,L47. "In order to generate" instead of "In order generate"

P3,L78. "We pre-select" instead of "We pre-selection"

P5,L138. "All scores are evaluated" instead of "All scores are evaluation"

- P7,:181. "COSMO" instead of "COMSO"
- P11,L33. "therefore" instead of "therefor"

P26, Figure 11. In the legend I would write "30% neutral (b), and 11% unstable (c) cases."

Interactive comment on Nonlin. Processes Geophys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/npg-2019-60, 2019.

NPGD

Interactive comment

**Printer-friendly version** 

**Discussion paper** 

