

Nonlin. Processes Geophys. Discuss.,  
https://doi.org/10.5194/npg-2019-24-RC3, 2019  
© Author(s) 2019. This work is distributed under  
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Nonlinear Processes  
in Geophysics

Discussions

Open Access



NPGD

Interactive  
comment

# ***Interactive comment on “CNOP based on ACPW for Identifying Sensitive Regions of Typhoon Target Observations with WRF Model” by Bin Mu et al.***

**Anonymous Referee #3**

Received and published: 1 July 2019

[12pt]article epsfig, amsmath

**Review of "CNOP based on ACPW for identifying... WRF  
model  
by Mu et al."**

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



The paper describes an algorithm –ACPW– to compute conditional nonlinear opti-

mal perturbation –CNOP– using the WRF–ARW model to identify sensitive areas of typhoon-target observations. The authors apply it to two cases –Filow and Matmo. Results are based on maximizing the total dry energy. They then compare their results with those obtained using the adjoint model algorithm.

The authors conclude that the ACPW provides over all better results than the adjoint algorithm, particularly in the sensitive regions, and is more efficient.

[Interactive  
comment](#)

Recommendation

Reject and resubmit.

Although the idea put forward in the paper is good the writing really needs attention. Besides, I find that the notation related to the equations is not proper. I was caught between major revision and reject/resubmit. But it seems that the paper needs major rewriting and also need to be checked by a native speaker.

Major concern

### *Equations and notation*

Starting with the line 10, pg3, – a perturbation of a quantity  $\varphi$  is conventionally noted  $\delta\varphi$  (like  $\varphi'$ ), where  $\delta$  is understood to be an operator. The notation  $\zeta\varphi_0$  is misleading.

In addition,  $\delta\varphi_0$  of  $\varphi_0$  not  $\Phi_0$ .

Also requiring  $\|\varphi_0\|^2 \leq \zeta$  ?  $\zeta$  is an operator in the text and now it is like a number?

The costfunction  $J$  is introduced in top of pg 3, but only explained and detailed 2 pages later?

P: projection operator – what kind of projection, and on which space?

$\Phi_t$  (should be  $\varphi_t$  for consistency) is not an operator – it is the state of the system at

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



l18: CNOP is an optimization algorithm and not a cost-function

l23: environment idealized ??? Forecast income ???

Time consumption: CPU time.

## *Content*

1. Above all, it is not clear what is the main difference with Zhang et al. (2108), and what is the advantage of the new algorithm. Any concrete results ?
2. The authors use PCs to reduce the problem dimension. It is not clear how the PCs are obtained: PCs of what, and what is the sample size used to get these PCs? Are the authors using the 24-hr data with 6-hr sampling?
3. Not clear how is the sensitive region determined as CNOP only identifies initial perturbations. Are the authors computing the costfunction for different regions then compare them?

[Interactive  
comment](#)

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

