

***Interactive comment on “Magnitude correlations
in a self-similar aftershock rates model of
seismicity” by
Andres F. Zambrano Moreno and Jörn Davidsen***

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 10 August 2019

article graphicx

C1

Review of the manuscript *Magnitude correlations in a
self-similar aftershock rates model of seismicity*
by

Andres F. Zambrano Moreno and Jörn Davidsen

August 10, 2019

1 General comments

The authors test the existence of magnitude correlations for a self-similar earthquake occurrence rate model. As a first observation I would like to remark that magnitude correlations are intrinsic to this kind of models simply because the occurrence probability cannot be factorized.

A second crucial observation is that this kind of model was firstly introduced by (; ; ; ;) and all these articles should be quoted.

C2

2 Specific comments

As stated in the previous section this approach is not new. The only difference is in the introduction of two scaling exponents instead of only one. More precisely the previous model used an occurrence rate model described by

$$r(m_{as}, t|m', 0) = f\left(\frac{t}{c_{\Delta m}}\right) \quad (1)$$

with $c_{\Delta m} = c_0 10^{b\Delta m}$

Conversely the new self-similar model uses

$$r(m_{as}, t|m', 0) = \frac{1}{\tau_{\Delta m}} f\left(\frac{t}{c_{\Delta m}}\right) \quad (2)$$

with $\tau_{\Delta m} = \tau_0 10^{g\Delta m}$ and $c_{\Delta m} = c_0 10^{z\Delta m}$ where $b = g + z$

The authors should discuss the advantage of introducing the two exponents in respect of using only one.

The only novelty in the article is represented by the introduction of the sub-catalog randomizing. This aspect remain, however, obscure and should be better described and discussed. In particular, at my opinion, the differences between the sub-catalog randomizing and the full-catalog randomizing are not sufficiently enlightened. Moreover I suggest that the sub-catalog randomizing should be applied to real catalogs.

C3

References

- Eugenio Lippiello, Cataldo Godano, and Lucilla de Arcangelis. Dynamical scaling in branching models for seismicity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 98:098501, Feb 2007.
- E. Lippiello, M. Bottiglieri, C. Godano, and L. de Arcangelis. Dynamical scaling and generalized omori law. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 34(23):L23301, 2007.
- E. Lippiello, L. de Arcangelis, and C. Godano. Time, space and magnitude correlations in earthquake occurrence. *International Journal of Modern Physics B*, 23(28n29):5583–5596, 2009.
- E. Lippiello, C. Godano, and L. de Arcangelis. The earthquake magnitude is influenced by previous seismicity. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39(5):L05309, 2012.
- E. Lippiello, C. Godano, and L. de Arcangelis. Magnitude correlations in the olami-federchristensen model. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, 102(5):59002, 2013.
- Lucilla de Arcangelis, Cataldo Godano, Jean Robert Grasso, and Eugenio Lippiello. Statistical physics approach to earthquake occurrence and forecasting. *Physics Reports*, 628:1 – 91, 2016. Statistical physics approach to earthquake occurrence and forecasting.

C4