

## *Interactive comment on* "Lyapunov analysis of multiscale dynamics: The slow manifold of the two-scale Lorenz '96 model" *by* Mallory Carlu et al.

## Mallory Carlu et al.

mallory.carlu@gmail.com

Received and published: 19 December 2018

Dear Editor,

please find enclosed a revised version of the paper "Lyapunov analysis of multiscale dynamics: the slow bundle of the two-scale Lorenz '96 model" that we wish to resubmit to your attention (included here as supplement).

The remarks of the referees have been addressed in the modified version of the manuscript (and our manuscript title slightly changed according to the first referee remarks) and a detailed answer to all the points raised in the reports is provided in the other attached pdf (included as Fig.1 here).

All changes of note we have made to the manuscript have been marked in red for easy

C1

reference. Additionally, an indexing mistake has been corrected in Table 1 and its LEs estimates have been slightly improved by newer numerical data.

Sincerely yours, The authors

Please also note the supplement to this comment: https://www.nonlin-processes-geophys-discuss.net/npg-2018-41/npg-2018-41-AC1supplement.pdf

Interactive comment on Nonlin. Processes Geophys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/npg-2018-41, 2018.

## Dear Editor,

please find enclosed a revised version of the paper "Lyapunov analysis of multiscale dynamics: the slow bundle of the two-scale Lorenz '96 model" that we wish to resubmit to your attention. The remarks of the referees have been addressed in the modified version of the manuscript (and our manuscript title slightly changed according to the first referee remarks) and a detailed answer to all the points raised in the reports is provided below.

All changes of note we have made to the manuscript have been marked in red for easy reference. Additionally, an indexing mistake has been corrected in Table 1 and its LEs estimates have been slightly improved by newer numerical data.

Sincerely yours,

The authors

## Reply to Referee 1

We wish to thank the referee for carefully reading our manuscript and for judging our results novel, interesting and highly relevant.

We believe, however, that some criticisms have been induced by a misunderstanding due to our careless use of the term "slow manifold" while referring to the sub-space spanned by the effectively slow variables. For this reason, we have decided to change our terminology, and renamed the subspace "**slow bundle**".

and renamed the subspace slow dunce : In any case, we wish to make clear that the "wide spectral band", whose covariant Lyapunov vectors project strongly not to beso wariables, is not "close to a neutral spectrum" in an *absolute* sense. With the only exception of the single zero LE associated to the flow, the absolute value of all other LEs of the non-conservative, full US model is strictly larger than zero; there is no trace of any band characterized by a sub-exponential grow, which would correspond to the central manifold and make the system only paralially hyperbolic. In fact, in our numerical analysis we are able to perfectly discriminate the single zero exponent from the rest of the spectrum with a precision of one or two orders of magnitude. See for instance the example in the first figure included in this reply.

Fig. 1.

СЗ