

Interactive comment on “Phase-dependent dynamics of breather collisions in the compact Zakharov equation for envelope” by Dmitry Kachulin and Andrey Gelash

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 30 March 2018

Dear Authors

Note that in Fedele (JFM, 2014) the projection operator P_+ is discussed after Eq. 2.5 of that paper. The assumption of narrow-band envelope and/or large peak wavenumber k_0 allows neglecting P_+ . Thus, the peakons found by Fedele and Dutykh (JFM, 2012) are asymptotically correct for asymptotically large peak wavenumber $k_0 \gg 1$, suggesting a local structure (at small scales) of a breaking wave of the compact Zakharov equation.

Furthermore, irrespective of the P_+ operator, the compact Zakharov equation manifests superharmonic instability above the critical steepness $\mu_c = 0.577$ (also proven for the

C1

full Zakharov equation with no restrictions on wavenumbers, see paper by CRAWFORD et al. 1981). Thus, such instability is unaffected by P_+ and it indicates a trend to breaking at high wavenumbers.

Note that both NLS and Dysthe do not manifest super harmonic instability. Thus, the compact Zakharov equation, with or without the P_+ operator (Fedele & Dutykh 2012), goes beyond the NLS and Dysthe models as it has a built-in breaking-type mechanism at high wavenumbers. It may well be that the presence of the P_+ operator may delay breaking to larger steepnesses (?!??).

Clearly, the P_+ operator acts as a dissipation mechanism at low wavenumbers, and that's why peakons are not observed or one has to go to larger steepnesses/wavenumbers to see them.

The two versions of the compact Zakharov equation, with or without the P_+ operator, are both important for understanding wave breaking and the local structure of a breaker at high wavenumbers.

Said that, the revised manuscript is acceptable for publication.

References

CRAWFORD, D. R., LAKE, B. M., SAFFMAN, P. G. & YUEN, H. C. 1981 Stability of weakly nonlinear deep-water waves in two and three dimensions. *J. Fluid Mech.* 105, 177–191.

Interactive comment on Nonlin. Processes Geophys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/npg-2018-14, 2018.

C2