Response to Reviewer #2's comments

on paper "Tipping point analysis of ocean acoustic noise"

by V. N. Livina, A. Brouwer, P. Harris, L. Wang, K. Sotirakopoulos, S. Robinson

We would like to thank the Reviewer for the helpful comments on the paper. We have prepared a marked manuscript with added text as described below.

- **Comment 1.** One can see the signature of the recent (2016) very strong El Niño in the potential analysis plot, Fig 5, which supports their hypothesis. Do the authors suggest using acoustic signal as a possible precursor of El Niño? Will this be useful in detection of El Niño in future?
 - **Response.** We do not claim that the potential colour plot could be used for early warning signals, in this system or in others. Moreover, there may be other factors causing structural changes in the acoustic data, rather than El Niño or La Niña. On the other hand, detection of such changes, indeed, can be useful for other studies that could investigate attribution of structural variability, and here the technique of potential analysis might be very useful.

We have added this into the revised manuscript.

- **Comment 2.** The lower panel of Fig 4, shows a long-term decreasing trend in variance, which seems to stabilise shortly before 2015. There is a sharp increase in autocorrelations around the time when the variance seems to stabilise. Do the authors attribute this to El Niño, too?
 - **Response.** In our opinion, the noticeable change in these indicators is related to the unusually large El Niño event of 2015-2016. One can see that the variance decline slows down and autocorrelation sharply rises, which means that the increase in memory is not accompanied by increasing amplitude of acoustic fluctuations. Such effects may happen when a dynamical system experiences critical slowing down prior to a bifurcational tipping. As we hypothesise that the El Niño signature may be related to changes in both oceanic dynamics and fauna, the increasing memory in the acoustic data may reflect, for instance, the observation that during the El Niño the Cape Leeuwin current slows down [Feng et al 2003]. Such slower ocean dynamics introduces more inertia in the dynamical system, and therefore higher temporal memory/autocorrelations.

We have added this text in the manuscript.

Comment 3. Although the clearest change in the number of potential wells (Fig 5, page 10) coincides with the strongest El Niño in 2016, a few less clear changes in potential structure are visible in the upper panel of Fig 5 which apparently do not coincide

with El Niño events (lower panel). It may be useful to signal the El Niño events more clearly. I have a few suggestions regarding Figure 5. The x-axes of the upper and lower panels are not well aligned. This makes it harder to notice the coincidence between the 2016 El Niño event (lower panel), and the change from triple to double potential wells (upper panel). To make the Figure clearer, the scale could be aligned, and a gridline added in the lower panel.

- **Response.** We have prepared a new version of Figure 5, with three panels, and aligned the scales of the panels more accurately. We calculated, for easier comparison, two binary indices derived from the ONI index and from the single level of the potential plot at the scale 0.5 yr. The bars in the bottom panel show the occurence of El Niño events in the ONI index (which is less noisy than SOI), and at the same time we plot a binary index showing periods when the system potential does not follow its "regular" three-well-potential pattern; these two indices have agreement in several periods corresponding to the known El Niño events (2003, 2004, 2006, 2009, 2015-2016), which illustrates our hypothesis of the El Niño signature in the acoustic data.
- **Comment 4.** Should the y-axis of the lower panel be aligned to make the zero value for the ONI and SOI coincide?
 - **Response.** We have corrected this.
- **Comment 5.** Is it important that the changes in the number of potential wells span the entire time scale at a given time?
 - **Response.** The vertical span of the features of the potential plot (the specks of different colours) correspond to the time scale of the change, i.e. the size of the time window, within which the change has been detected. As El Niño is a seasonal phenomenon (except the unusually long event of 2015-2016), most of such specks are located within the window of size one year. The large event of 2015-2016, indeed, extends higher than that. To address this time scale, we derived the binary potential index using the detection data at fixed time scale of 0.5 year, at which most El Niño events should be present in the detection statistics.

We have added this text into the manuscript.

- **Comment 6.** Regarding the results shown on Figure 7, page 12, could the authors explain what is the variable "s"?
 - **Response.** The variable 's' is the scale size of the DFA, which is the size of the varying window where the fluctuations are estimated. For more details on the DFA method, we refer the reader to [Kantelhardt et al 2002].

- **Comment 7.** The authors could explain better why using colour noise is important in modelling climatic variables.
 - **Response.** It is important to model the climatic variables with colour noise rather than with basic white noise, especially when a system like this exhibits highly correlated long-term persistence (as estimated by DFA in Fig.7 with $\alpha = 0.96$). The pattern of such fluctuations differs significantly from the pattern of the white noise: the persistent data ('with memory') is likely to have positive fluctuations tomorrow if today the fluctuations are positive. The scaling methods, such as DFA, allow one to quantify this effect, and detect the changes in the data that are not visible in time series by a naked eye, as it is illustrated in Fig.4. If one uses white noise for modelling such complex data, the ability to analyse such data and forecast the system dynamics would be much reduced, with poor skill.

We have added this text into the manuscript.

- **Comment 8.** Page 2, line 55, is it "polynomial of given order", instead of "even order"?
 - **Response.** We use the expression "even order" to refer to the polynomials of order 2n that model n number of wells. In the case of one well (symmetric), it is a polynomial of order 2; in the case of two wells, it is a polynomial of order 4, etc.
- Comment 9. Page 4, line 100: "(: : :) and sigma is the noise level." Replace eta by sigma.

Response. We have corrected this.

Comment 10. Page 7, line 164: what is n in "r=n-d"? In Eq 10, do both t and k run from 1 to m?

Response. We have corrected this.

- **Comment 11.** Fig 7, page 12: could resolution be improved?
 - **Response.** We have a good quality (large-size) eps-file of this figure prepared, but for the lighter version of the pdf-file in the current form we used a low-resolution jpg-figure, to make the manuscript pdf-file lighter.
- Comment 12. The authors should correct the Eq 11, page 13, where the derivative in time should be denoted by dot.

Response. We have corrected this.

Comment 13. Fig 8, page 14: I have difficulty reading the legend in the upper right panel. The font (or figure) is too small and the resolution is not optimal.

Response. Similarly to the above comment, these are low-resolution technical jpg-files, which are used to produce a small-size pdf-file for easy download at reviewing stage. We are prepared to provide all the figures in large heavy eps-files if necessary.

We hope that the revised manuscript is now suitable for publication in the Chaos magazine.

Yours sincerely, V. N. Livina, A. Brouwer, P. Harris, L. Wang, K. Sotirakopoulos, and S. Robinson



Figure 1: Upper panel: potential analysis plot of the broadband SPL data, with varying window length (y-axis) from one day to one year. The colours denote the number of detected potential states: green two, cyan three. Specks of magenta denote very short periods of a higher number of states, which correspond to highly variable (possibly non-potential) subsets of data of small size. Middle panel: ENSO indices ONI and SOI, known to be anti-correlated, which indicate several ENSO events (El Niño and La Niña). These can also be seen in the potential analysis plot. ONI positive and negative values (roughly corresponding to El Niño and La Niña) are shaded by light red and light blue respectively, for better comparison with the indices in the lower panel. Lower panel: binary indices derived from the ONI index and potential plot (at the level 0.5 year of y-scale in the top panel) which have values 1 when there is an El Niño (in the case of ONI-based binary index) or anomalous potential state (in the case of the potential binary index).