

Dear Nancy,

Two referees have now sent their reports on the revised version of your paper. They are the same as the referees of the first version. In particular, referee 2, who has again let his name known, is Stephen Cornford.

Referee 2 considers your paper is acceptable as it is. Referee 1 also considers it is acceptable. But he makes a number of suggestions for modifications, which have to do with the presentation of the paper much more than with its scientific content.

Please revise your paper considering all of referee 1's suggestions. When you send the new version, please state how you have responded to all of these suggestions. I do not intend to submit your paper to further review.

I thank you for having submitted your paper to *Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics*, and I look forward to receiving your new version,

With regards,

Olivier Talagrand

Editor

*Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics*