Nonlin. Processes Geophys. Discuss., 2, 989–1005, 2015 www.nonlin-processes-geophys-discuss.net/2/989/2015/ doi:10.5194/npgd-2-989-2015 © Author(s) 2015. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics (NPG). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in NPG if available.

# A method to calculate Finite-Time Lyapunov Exponents for inertial particles in incompressible flows

# D. Garaboa and V. Pérez-Muñuzuri

Group of Nonlinear Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain

Received: 15 June 2015 - Accepted: 22 June 2015 - Published: 14 July 2015

Correspondence to: V. Pérez-Muñuzuri (vicente.perez@cesga.es)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union & the American Geophysical Union.



## Abstract

The present study aims to improve the calculus of Finite-Time Lyapunov Exponents (iFTLEs) applied to describe the transport of inertial particles in a fluid flow. To this aim, the deformation tensor used to obtain the iFTLE is modified to take into account

that the stretching rate between particles separated by a certain distance is influenced by the initial velocity of the particles. The advantages of this improvement, if compared to the standard method (Shadden et al., 2005), are discussed for the double-gyre flow and the meandering jet flow. The new method allows to identify the initial velocity that inertial particles must have in order to maximize their dispersion.

#### 10 **1** Introduction

The advection of finite-size or inertial particles in open, unsteady flows was initially assessed independently by Maxey and Riley (1983) and Gatignol (1983). In contrast to the idealized Lagrangian view, the two authors consider a number of additional forces acting upon inertial particles moving in a flow such as buoyancy, the Stokes drag, the added mass, or the Basset-Boussinesq memory force (see Michaelides, 2003 for a review). In this view, the motion of a spherical small solid particle in an incompressible two-dimensional flow can be approximated by a Stokes flow (with Basset-Boussinesq memory force and Faxén terms neglected) governed by the equation (Babiano et al., 2000; Bec, 2003; Boffetta et al., 2004),

$${}_{20} \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 x_i}{\mathrm{d}t^2} - \beta \frac{\mathrm{D}u_i}{\mathrm{D}t} = -\frac{1}{\tau_\mathrm{p}} \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}x_i}{\mathrm{d}t} - u_i \right) \tag{1}$$

where  $\beta = 3\rho_f/(2\rho_p + \rho_f)$ ,  $\tau_p = a^2/(3\nu\beta)$  is the *Stokes time* or particle viscous response time,  $v_i = dx_i/dt$  the velocity of the sphere and  $u_i$  that of the fluid,  $\rho_p$  ( $\rho_f$ ) the density of the particle (fluid) it displaces,  $v = \mu/\rho_f$ , the kinematic viscosity, and *a* the radius of



the sphere. The derivative D/D*t* is taken along the path of a fluid element, whereas the derivative d/d*t* is taken along the trajectory of the particle. The dimensionless Stokes number  $St = \tau_p/\tau_f$  characterizes the effect of particles' inertia and  $\tau_f$  accounts for the characteristic time scale of the fluid. A modified version of Eq. (1) has been recently studied in the context of compressible fluids (Pérez-Muñuzuri, 2015).

During the last years, the dynamics of inertial particles have been studied in many research fields such as sedimentation processes (Santamaria et al., 2013), turbulent flows (Boffetta et al., 2004), rain generation (Falkovich et al., 2002), composite materials (Segurado et al., 2003), volcanic ash transport (Haszpra and Tél, 2011), and the formation of planetesimals in the early Solar system (Bracco et al., 1999), and other processes as transport of dust from soil erosion, combustion or the mixing of sprays.

Albeit the transport of Lagrangian particles as described by Finite-Time Lyapunov Exponents (FTLEs) has been extensively studied to-date, to our knowledge only a few studies have been performed with inertial particles (Haller and Sapsis, 2008; Sapsis

- <sup>15</sup> and Haller, 2009; Peng and Dabiri, 2009; Beron-Vera et al., 2014). Traditionally, FTLEs are calculated as the logarithm of the right Cauchy–Green strain tensor's maximum eigenvalue. They measure the maximum stretching rate at a given location  $r_0$  over the time interval  $\tau = t - t_0$  of trajectories starting near the point  $r_0$  at time  $t_0$  (Shadden et al., 2005). However, the motion of inertial particles following the simplified model mentioned above requires not only the knowledge of their initial positions but also that
- of their initial velocity fields. In this study, a modified procedure for calculating iFTLE is proposed which takes into account this additional factor. Considering two idealized flows (the double-gyre and the meandering jet), the results obtained by the new method are illustrated and compared to those of the traditional one.

#### 25 2 Methods

10

For the dynamical system described above (1), an initial fluid element with coordinates  $\lambda = (\mathbf{r}_0(t_0), \mathbf{v}_0(t_0))$  is advected by the flow  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  during a finite-time period  $\tau$ ,



 $\Lambda = \phi_{t_0}^{t_0+\tau} \lambda$  until reaching the final position  $\Lambda = (\mathbf{r}(t_0+\tau), \mathbf{v}(t_0+\tau))$ , such that the Cauchy problem,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}{\mathrm{d}t} = f\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\boldsymbol{\lambda},t_0+\tau),t_0+\tau\right), \quad \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\boldsymbol{\lambda},t_0) = \boldsymbol{\lambda}$$

holds. The gradient of the flow map (the deformation gradient tensor **F**) is  $F_{m,n} = \partial \Lambda_m / \partial \lambda_n$ , and the right Cauchy–Green strain tensor is then defined as **C** = **F**<sup>T</sup>**F**,

$$C_{m,n} = \frac{\partial \Lambda_k}{\partial \lambda_m} \frac{\partial \Lambda_k}{\partial \lambda_n}.$$
(3)

At each initial condition  $\lambda$ , the tensor  $C(\lambda)$  is represented by a symmetric, positive definite,  $4 \times 4$  matrix (for a 2-D flow), with four positive eigenvalues  $\mu_1 > \ldots > \mu_4 > 0$ , and four eigenvectors ( $\xi_k(\lambda)$ )<sub>1<k<4</sub> satisfying,

10 
$$\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mu_{k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}).$$

In order to characterize the transport of inertial particles, we introduce the inertial Finite-Time Lyapunov Exponents (iFTLE)  $\sigma$  that are computed along their trajectories in the flow as (Peacock and Dabiri, 2010)

$$\sigma(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau} \log \sqrt{\mu_1(\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}))}$$
(5)

<sup>15</sup> where  $\sqrt{\mu_1}$  denotes the ratio of stretching between two particles which are initially located close to each other and have different initial velocities in the direction of the largest stretching. The iFTLE at a given location measures the maximum stretching rate of an infinitesimal fluid parcel over the interval  $[t_0, t_0 + \tau]$  starting at the point  $\lambda$  at time  $t_0$ . Repelling (attracting) coherent structures for  $\tau > 0$  ( $\tau < 0$ ) can be thought of as finite-time generalizations of the stable (unstable) manifolds of the system. These

(2)

(4)

structures govern the stretching and folding mechanism that control the mixing of the flow of inertial particles.

For the computation of the iFTLE field, the integration time  $\tau$  and the initial conditions  $\lambda$  at time  $t_0$  must be predefined. Basically,  $\tau$  has to be long enough to allow the trajecto-<sup>5</sup> ries to explore the coherent structures present in the flow. For the initial state  $\lambda$  at time  $t_0$ , we are interested in studying the iFTLEs as a function of the direction and intensity of the particle velocity with respect to the velocity of the flow  $\boldsymbol{u}$ . To this end, instead of using the cartesian components of the particle velocity, we will use from now on its polar coordinates defined by  $\boldsymbol{v}_0 = R|\boldsymbol{u}|(\cos(\alpha + \alpha_0), \sin(\alpha + \alpha_0)))$ , with R > 0 and  $\alpha_0$  the direction of the flow velocity  $\boldsymbol{u}$  at  $t_0$ . Then, the elements of the deformation gradient tensor are computed by a finite-difference method as,

$$\partial \Lambda_{1} / \partial \lambda_{1} = \frac{x^{1}(i+1,j) - x^{1}(i-1,j)}{x_{0}(i+1,j) - x_{0}(i-1,j)}$$
$$\partial \Lambda_{1} / \partial \lambda_{3} = \frac{x^{1}(i,j) - x^{2}(i,j)}{M_{0}^{1}(i,j) - M_{0}^{2}(i,j)}$$
$$\partial \Lambda_{1} / \partial \lambda_{4} = \frac{x^{1}(i,j) - x^{2}(i,j)}{\alpha_{0}^{1}(i,j) - \alpha_{0}^{2}(i,j)}$$
$$\partial \Lambda_{3} / \partial \lambda_{1} = \frac{M^{1}(i+1,j) - M^{1}(i-1,j)}{x_{0}(i+1,j) - x_{0}(i-1,j)}$$
$$\partial \Lambda_{3} / \partial \lambda_{3} = \frac{M^{1}(i,j) - M^{2}(i,j)}{M_{0}^{1}(i,j) - M_{0}^{2}(i,j)}$$
$$\partial \Lambda_{3} / \partial \lambda_{4} = \frac{M^{1}(i,j) - M^{2}(i,j)}{\alpha_{0}^{1}(i,j) - \alpha_{0}^{2}(i,j)}$$

15



$$\partial \mathbf{\Lambda}_4 / \partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}_4 = \frac{\alpha^1(i,j) - \alpha^2(i,j)}{\alpha_0^1(i,j) - \alpha_0^2(i,j)}$$

5

20

and similarly for the rest of terms. The indices i, j correspond to the directions x, y, respectively, and the superscripts 1 and 2 to two layers of particles with different initial velocities,

$$\boldsymbol{v}_0^1 = |\boldsymbol{u}|(\cos(\alpha + \alpha_0), \sin(\alpha + \alpha_0))$$
$$\boldsymbol{v}_0^2 = R|\boldsymbol{u}|(\cos(\alpha + \delta\alpha + \alpha_0), \sin(\alpha + \delta\alpha + \alpha_0))$$

 $M^k(M_0^k)$  and  $\alpha^k(\alpha_0^k)$  account for the modulus and direction of the particle velocities  $\mathbf{v}^k$  at  $t = \tau$  ( $t = t_0$ ), respectively, in both layers k = 1, 2. The advantage to define the initial velocities as above allows to use a constant initial gradient  $\delta \alpha_0$  for the deformation tensor, although some caution must be taken in order to select *R* in  $\delta M_0$  (see below),

 $\delta x_0(i,j) = x_0(i+1,j) - x_0(i-1,j) = 2\Delta = \text{const}$   $\delta y_0(i,j) = y_0(i,j+1) - y_0(i,j-1) = 2\Delta = \text{const}$   $\delta \alpha_0(i,j) = \alpha_0^1(i,j) - \alpha_0^2(i,j) = \delta \alpha = \text{const}$  $\delta M_0(i,j) = M_0^1(i,j) - M_0^2(i,j) = 0$ 

<sup>15</sup>  $\delta M_0(i,j) = M_0^1(i,j) - M_0^2(i,j) = (R-1)|\boldsymbol{u}|.$ 

with  $\Delta$  the distance between particles initially located in a regular grid.

The results obtained from using the improved iFTLE calculation method will be compared to those obtained from the standard method (Shadden et al., 2005) which only takes into account deformation due to the initial positioning (dim( $\mathbf{F}$ ) = 2). It is recognized that initial velocity has been considered for this purpose in previous studies (see for example Peng and Dabiri, 2009). These studies, however, did not explicitly consider its effect on the deformation tensor  $\mathbf{F}$ , as is done above.



(6)

(7)

(8)

# 3 Results

We investigate the effect of initial velocity  $v_0$  on inertial particle motion in two chaotic flows; the double-gyre flow and the meandering jet flow (see Appendix for equations). The dynamics of these flows are completely different. While the first model describes

- a closed flow, the second one describes an open one. In the double-gyre model, the flow is the combination of two vortices rotating in opposite directions that conversely expand and contract periodically in the *x* direction such that the rectangle enclosing the gyres remains invariant. The periodic perturbation leads to mixing between both gyres. On the other hand, the jet flow is a simple kinematic model for the Gulf Stream and is
   frequently used to describe western boundary current extensions in the ocean. Three
- different regimes can be observed in this flow and the presence of temporal variability in the amplitude of the meander favors mixing and chaotic transport.

The (x, y)-projection of the iFTLE fields calculated with the method depicted above are shown in the upper rows of Figs. 1 and 2, assuming two different initial angles  $\alpha$ .

- <sup>15</sup> For comparison, the FTLE fields calculated with the standard method (Shadden et al., 2005) are shown in the lower rows of these figures. The two methods are applied on identical initial velocities. In what concerns the double-gyre flow (see Fig. 1), the pattern is sensitive to variations in  $\alpha$  for both methods in the sense that the ridges are not equally distributed. Due to inertia, particle motion is affected by the distribution
- of the initial velocities as was already noted by Peng and Dabiri (2009). The iFTLE patterns, however, provide new information as they indicate the regions where larger mixing is expected for a given angle  $\alpha$ . The projection of the 4-D iFTLE onto the (*x*, *y*) plane results in a stroboscopic pattern characterized by crossing ridges.

A comparison between Figs. 2 and 1 reveals that the method-related differences in

<sup>25</sup> the FTLE fields are larger for the meandering jet flow than for the double-gyre flow. For the meandering jet flow, (see Fig. 2), the maximum dispersion (as displayed by red areas) is attained within the central meandering jet in all cases. For  $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ , however, the filamentary structures obtained by our method are wider than those obtained by



the standard method (compare upper and lower panels in Fig. 2). This indicates that the largest dispersion is achieved by those particles that (i) are initially located near the axis of the jet and (ii) have an initial velocity perpendicular to the flow ( $v_0 \cdot u = 0$ ). In this case, particles are trapped within the circulation regimes located above the meander

- <sup>5</sup> troughs and below the meander crests where the mixing of the flow is larger than in the remaining areas. This result is consistent with physical intuition and demonstrates that the initial velocity of inertial particles contributes to their dispersion in the flow. For  $\varepsilon \neq 0$ , due to the periodic modulation of the meander's amplitude, mixing is favored between the circulations and the peripheral currents and between the circulation cells
- and the jet (Prants et al., 2006). Particles are trapped in the eastward currents and in the jet where they may spend larger periods of time. For this flow, dispersion is largest in the circulation cells located above the meander troughs and below the meander crests. The new method allows to identify those areas where maximum dispersion is attained.
- Figure 3 shows the mean iFTLE as a function of  $\alpha$  (on the *x* axis) and *R* (as represented by distinct lines) for heavy and light inertial particles (right and left column respectively) in the two considered flows. A modification of the initial velocity *R* leads to distinct local iFTLE maxima along the range of possible  $\alpha$  values. The mean iF-TLEs obtained by our method are systematically more sensitive to changes *R* and/or  $\alpha$
- than the corresponding values obtained by the standard method (which is insensitive to changes in *R* by definition). These differences are larger for the heavier particles than for the lighter ones (compare right to left column) and are particularly pronounced for the case of a chaotic jet flow ( $\varepsilon = 0.5$ ).

The mean FTLEs are larger for the heavier particles ( $\beta = 0.2$ ) than for lighter ones ( $\beta = 3.0$ ) because clustering diminishes with increasing  $\rho_p$ . Heavier particles are less influenced by the flow (they move slowly) and they are less likely to be displaced towards the trapping areas. Without periodic modulation ( $\varepsilon = 0$ ), light particles concentrate inside the inner cores of the vortices as they are driven inward due to the lesser inertial. On the contrary, heavy particles tend to move away from the inner core to re-



gions characterized by low vorticity and high strain, a process known as *preferential concentration* (Eaton and Fessler, 1994). Thus, when  $\boldsymbol{u} \times \boldsymbol{v}_0$  and  $\nabla \times \boldsymbol{u}$  have the same sign, the dispersion within the vortices will decrease for lighter particles and increase for heavier ones.

Next, the iFTLE-field's response to changes in the initial conditions is assessed by means of the eigenvector, decomposed into the factors "initial position" and "initial velocity". To this end, we calculate the ratio between both,

$$\Gamma = \frac{\langle \xi_1 \rangle_{(M,\alpha)}}{\langle \xi_1 \rangle_{(X,Y)}}$$

where  $\langle \xi_1 \rangle_{(M,\alpha)}$  is the spatial average of the eigenvector  $\xi_1$  corresponding to the largest eigenvalue, Eq. (4), projected onto the  $(M, \alpha)$  plane. Figure 4 shows  $\Gamma$  as a function of R and  $\alpha$ . For some angle ranges, and depending on the initial modulus R, the initial velocity ( $\Gamma > 1$ ) is the largest contributor to the main eigenvector  $\xi_1(\Lambda)$ . Note, for example, that for light particles in the double-gyre flow there is nearly no dependence on the initial velocity parameters. Thus,  $\Gamma$  is a parameter describing the region's sensitivity (in terms of iFTLE) to changes in initial position and velocity.

### 4 Conclusions

In the present study, a new method for calculating iFTLEs used to describe the motion of inertial particles in a fluid flow was suggested which, in contrast to the standard method (Shadden et al., 2005; Peng and Dabiri, 2009), takes into account the particles'

initial velocity. It is shown that the results obtained with the new method are sensitive to variations in initial velocity, indicating that the suggested modifications are meaningful from a practical point of view. The presence of different flow regimes lead to larger differences in the particle dispersion when taking into account the initial velocity, as particles may change between different regimes. Thus, for the jet flow, initial velocities perpendicular to the flow close to the jet stream regime lead to larger dispersion.



(9)

More importantly, our method does not only hold for particle motions described by the Maxey–Riley equation (Eq. 1), but can be applied to any type of equation used to describe the motion in an *N*-dimensional flow as well as the associated transport and mixing properties. It can be generalized to any type of particle motion, such as the dispersion of marine litter (micro and macro plastics) (Lebreton et al., 2012), or the motion of drifting buoys near the coast where inertial effects may be particularly relevant (Huhn et al., 2012).

## **Appendix: Models**

The periodically varying *double-gyre flow* (Shadden et al., 2005) is used as a standard test case for FTLEs and can be considered a local view of a gulf stream ocean front. In this case, the flow is described by the stream function,

$$\psi(x, y, t) = A\sin(\pi f(x, t))\sin(\pi y),$$

where

10

20

$$f(x,t) = a(t)x^2 + b(t)x$$

15  $a(t) = \varepsilon \sin \omega t$ ,

 $b(t) = 1 - 2\varepsilon \sin \omega t,$ 

over the domain  $[0,2] \times [0,1]$ .  $T = 2\pi/\omega$  and *A* are the period and amplitude of the flow, respectively. For  $\varepsilon = 0$  the system can be thought of as a time-independent 2-D Hamiltonian system. For this case there is a heteroclinic connection of the unstable manifold of the fixed point (1,1) with the stable manifold of the fixed point (1,0).

The *meandering jet flow* was introduced as a simple kinematic model for the Gulf Stream (Bower, 1991), and is frequently used to describe western boundary current extensions in the ocean (Samelson, 1992; Cencini et al., 1999; López et al., 2001;

(A1)

Prants et al., 2006). The corresponding dimensionless stream function can be written as (Samelson, 1992)

$$\psi(x,y) = -\tanh\left(\frac{y - B\cos\kappa x}{\left(1 + \kappa^2 B^2 \sin^2\kappa x\right)^{1/2}}\right) + Cy,\tag{A2}$$

over the domain  $[-20,20] \times [-2B_0,2B_0]$ . *B* is the meander's amplitude,  $\kappa$  is the swavenumber and *C* is the phase velocity of the meander.

This flow can be divided into three distinct regimes: a central meandering eastward jet, several closed circulation cells located above the meander troughs and below the meander crests, and an exterior retrograde westward motion (Samelson, 1992). Fluid particles are restricted to each of these regimes and no cross-stream mixing occurs. When adding temporal variability, the boundaries between the regimes break up, allowing transport and exchange of fluid between them. A time-dependent spatially uniform meander amplitude

 $B = B_0 + \varepsilon \cos(\omega t + \phi)$ 

15

20

will be considered here (Samelson, 1992; Prants et al., 2006), where the phase  $\phi \in [0, 2\pi]$  is an arbitrary constant.

For both flows, their advective velocities u are obtained as  $u = e_z \times \nabla \psi$ . For the numerical experiments, initially a cluster of  $1280 \times 640$  (gyre flow) and  $1920 \times 384$  (jet flow) particles were distributed regularly in space. Then, the trajectories of these particles are calculated by integrating Eq. (1) using a 4th order Runge–Kutta scheme and a fixed time step of  $\Delta t = 10^{-3}$ .

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad under Research Grant CGL2013-45932-R.



(A3)

## References

- Babiano, A., Cartwright, H. H. E., Piro, O., and Provenzale, A. Dynamics of a small neutrally buoyant sphere in a fluid and targeting in Hamiltonian systems, Phys. Rev. Lett., 84, 5764– 5767, 2000. 990
- Bec, J.: Fractal clustering of inertial particles in random flows, Phys. Fluids 15, L81–L84, 2003.
   990
  - Beron-Vera, F. J., Olascoaga, M. J., Haller, G., Farazmand, M., Triñanes, J., and Wang, Y.: Dissipative inertial transport patterns near coherent Lagrangian eddies in the ocean, submitted, 2014. 991
- <sup>10</sup> Boffetta, G., de Lillo, F., and. Gamba, A.: Large scale inhomogeneity of inertial particles in turbulent flows, Phys. Fluids, 16, L20–L24, 2004. 990, 991
  - Bower, A. M.: A simple kinematic mechanism for mixing fluid parcels across a meandering jet, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 21, 173–180, 1991. 998
  - Bracco, A., Chavanis, P. H., Provenzale, A., and Spiegel, E. A.: Particle aggregation in a turbulent Keplerian flow, Phys. Fluids, 11, 2280–2287, 1999. 991
  - Cencini, M., Lacorata, G., Vulpiani, A., and Zambianchi, E.: Mixing in a meandering jet: A Markovian approximation, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29, 2578–2594, 1999. 998
    - Eaton, J. K. and Fessler, J. R.: Preferential concentration of particles by turbulence, Int. J. Multiphas. Flow, 20, 169–209, 1994. 997
- <sup>20</sup> Falkovich, G., Fouxon, A., and Stepanov, M. G.: Acceleration of rain initiation by cloud turbulence, Nature, 419, 151–154, 2002. 991
  - Gatignol, R.: The Faxén formulae for a rigid particle in an unsteady non-uniform Stokes flow, J. Mec. Theor. Appl., 1, 143–160, 1983. 990

Haller, G. and Sapsis, T.: Where do inertial particles go in fluid flows?, Physica D, 237, 573–583,

25 2008. 991

15

- Haszpra, T. and Tél, T.: Volcanic ash in the free atmosphere: a dynamical systems approach, J. Phys. Conf. Ser., 333, 012008, 2011. 991
- Huhn, F., von Kameke, A., Allen-Perkins, S., Montero, P., Venancio, A., and Pérez-Muñuzuri, V.: Horizontal Lagrangian transport in a tidal driven estuary: transport barriers attached to promi-
- nent coastal boundaries, Cont. Shelf Res., 30–40, 1–13, 2012. 998
   Lebreton, L. C. M., Greer, S. D., and Borrero, J. C.: Numerical modeling of floating debris in the worlds oceans, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 64, 653–661, 2012. 998

Discussion Pa	NPGD 2, 989–1005, 2015 FTLE for inertial particles		
per			
Discussion	D. Garal V. Pérez-I	D. Garaboa and V. Pérez-Muñuzuri	
ו Pap	Title Page		
θŗ	Abstract	Introduction	
	Conclusions	References	
Discus	Tables	Figures	
sion	14	►I.	
Pap	•	•	
θŗ	Back	Close	
Die	Full Screen / Esc		
CUSS	Printer-friendly Version		
ion P	Interactive Discussion		
aper	CC D		

- López, C., Zoltan, N., Hernández-García, E., and Haynes, P. H.: Chaotic advection of reacting substances: plankton dynamics on a meandering jet, Phys. Chem. Earth B, 26, 313–317, 2001. 998
- Maxey, M. M. and Riley, J. J.: Equation of motion for a small rigid sphere in a nonuniform flow, Phys. Fluids, 26, 883–889, 1983. 990
- Michaelides, E. E.: Hydrodynamic force and heat/mass transfer from particles, bubbles, and drops, J. Fluids Eng., 125, 209–238, 2003. 990

5

- Peacock, T. and Dabiri, J. O.: Introduction to Focus Issue: Lagrangian coherent structures, Chaos, 20, 017501, 2010. 992
- Peng, J. and Dabiri, J. O.: Transport of inertial particles by Lagrangian coherent structures: application to predator prey interaction in jellyfish feeding, J. Fluid Mech., 623, 75–84, 2009. 991, 994, 995, 997
  - Pérez-Muñuzuri, V.: Clustering of inertial particles in compressible chaotic flows, Phys. Rev. E, 91, 052906, 2015. 991
- <sup>15</sup> Prants, S. V., Budyansky, M. V., Uleysky, M. Y., and Zaslavsky, G. M.: Chaotic mixing and transport in a meandering jet flow, Chaos, 16, 033117, 2006. 996, 999
  - Samelson, R. M.: Fluid exchange across a meandering jet, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 22, 431–440, 1992. 998, 999

Santamaria, F., Boffetta, G., Martins-Afonso, M., Mazzino, A., Onorato, M., and Pugliese, D.:

- 20 Stokes drift for inertial particles transported by water waves, Eur. Phys. Lett., 102, 14003, 2013. 991
  - Sapsis, T. and Haller, G.: Inertial particle dynamics in a hurricane, J. Atmos. Sci., 66, 2481–2492, 2009. 991

Segurado, J., González, C., and Llorca, J.: A numerical investigation of the effect of particle

- clustering on the mechanical properties of composites, Acta Materi., 51, 2355–2369, 2003.
   991
  - Shadden, S. C., Lekien, F., and Marsden, J.: Definition and properties of Lagrangian coherent structures from finite-time Lyapunov exponents in two-dimensional aperiodic flows, Physica D, 212, 271–304, 2005. 990, 991, 994, 995, 997, 998

<b>NP</b> 2, 989–10	<b>NPGD</b> 2, 989–1005, 2015		
FTLE for inertial particles			
D. Garaboa and V. Pérez-Muñuzuri			
Title Page			
Abstract	Introduction		
Conclusions	References		
Tables	Figures		
14	►I.		
•	<b>B</b>		
Back	Close		
Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc		
Printer-frier	Printer-friendly Version		
Interactive Discussion			
CC O			

Discussion Paper

**Discussion** Paper

Discussion Paper

**Discussion** Paper



**Figure 1.** Finite-Time Lyapunov Exponents  $\sigma(x, y)$  for heavy inertial particles in a gyre flow, assuming two different initial angles  $\alpha$ . The iFTLE fields in the upper row were calculated using Eq. (5), while those in the lower row were calculated using the standard method. The model parameters are R = 0.6, A = 0.1,  $\varepsilon = 0.25$ , T = 10,  $\tau_p = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0.2$  and the integration time is  $\tau = 30.$ 





**Figure 2.** Finite-Time Lyapunov Exponents  $\sigma(x, y)$  for heavy inertial particles in a meandering jet flow, assuming two different initial angles  $\alpha$ . The iFTLE fields in the upper row were calculated using Eq. (5), while those in the lower row were calculated using the standard method. The model parameter are R = 0.3, C = 0.2,  $B_0 = 2$ ,  $\kappa = 0.3$ ,  $\varepsilon = 0$ ,  $\omega = 0.299$ ,  $\tau_0 = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0.2$ and the integration time is  $\tau = 80$ .





**Figure 3.** Spatial average FTLE as a function of the initial velocity parameters *R* (displayed by distinct lines) and *a* (displayed along the *x* axis) for light ( $\beta$  = 3.0 left column) and heavy ( $\beta$  = 0.2 right column) inertial particles and the double-gyre and meandering jet flow. Color lines correspond to the results obtained by the new method Eq. (5), whereas black dashed lines correspond to those obtained by the standard method. *R* = 0.3 (blue solid line), *R* = 0.6 (red dotted line), *R* = 0.9 (green dashdot line), and *R* = 1.2 (black dashed line). Rest of parameters as in Figs. 1 and 2.





**Figure 4.** Contribution to the eigenvector  $\xi_1$  corresponding to the largest eigenvalue due to the initial velocity and position  $\Gamma$ , Eq. (9), as a function of the parameters R and  $\alpha$  for light ( $\beta = 3.0$  left column) and heavy ( $\beta = 0.2$  right column) inertial particles for the double-gyre and meandering jet flows. For  $\Gamma > 1$  the main contribution to the eigenvector is due to the initial velocity. R = 0.3 (blue solid line), R = 0.6 (red dotted line), R = 0.9 (green dashed-dotted line), and R = 1.2 (black dashed line). Rest of parameters as in Figs. 1 and 2.

