Nonlin. Processes Geophys. Discuss., 1, C1–C2, 2014 www.nonlin-processes-geophys-discuss.net/1/C1/2014/ © Author(s) 2014. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



**NPGD** 1, C1–C2, 2014

> Interactive Comment

## Interactive comment on " $C \approx 0.85$ scaling and the universal clustering structure of earthquake networks" by S. Abe and N. Suzuki

## Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 18 February 2014

This brief work tries to explain properties of seismicity on the basis of results found in a network of seismic areas. The method connects two cells x and y if an earthquake takes place in cell y following another one in cell x. One of the main results is a universal clustering coefficient C for the resulting network, found both for epicenters and hypocenters and for different catalogs.

I am hesitating to recommend the publication of this manuscript, for the following reasons:

(1) It is not clear what we should understand on seismicity by knowing the C value; the Authors should add a contribution going beyond the simple discovery that C is as it is.

(2) It is not true that the cell size L is the only parameter. Implicitly, by considering a





catalog, one inherits a lower cutoff magnitude. This was not considered but actually, as many recent studies have demonstrated, it is a relevant aspect. The Authors might consider studying the same network by filtering events according to a chosen lower cutoff that is higher than that of the catalog.

(3) The adjacency matrix A is symmetric, but events are ordered in time: what is the logic of using a time-symmetric matrix? Is there any relation with other studies where the connections are not symmetric but somewhat "causal"?

(4) The discovery via this method that seismicity is quite two-dimensional in Iran was not supported by an independent analysis of the three-dimensional distribution of hypocenters.

Given that the paper is quite brief, I recommend to expand it to better illustrate the points mentioned above.

Minor point: are the figures inverted?

Interactive comment on Nonlin. Processes Geophys. Discuss., 1, 39, 2014.

## NPGD

1, C1-C2, 2014

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

**Printer-friendly Version** 

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 

