Dear Giovanni,

I have received a review of the latest version of your paper. The referee is the same as referee 1 of the previous versions.

He/she is satisfied with the new results you have included in your paper, but has two comments about them, which I think should be easy to deal with.

He/she also has a number of additional comments, which had been withheld, as announced, from the previous review. These comments bear mostly on points in your paper which the referee thinks should be clarified or qualified. He/she mentions a few papers in that respect. I think these points should also be easy to deal with. There is however one comment (number 3) which may be of more importance. The reviewer writes you do not clearly say what you mean by 'nudging', and that it makes no real sense to distinguish between papers that use nudging, or do not. Please say clearly whether you consider there is something intrinsic in nudging (and if yes, what), or whether it is fundamentally a question of vocabulary.

I hope you will submit a revised version. When you do so, please mention how you have dealt with each of the referee's comments and suggestions. Should you disagree with a particular comment, or decide not to follow a particular suggestion, please state precisely your reasons for that.

I look forward to receiving a new version of your paper,